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Abstract: The basic idea of this paper is to use Lyapunov functions to show that the trivial solution functional-differential equations of the form $\dot{x}(t) \in F\left(t, x_{t}\right)$ is stable and is asymptotically stable, and that of perturbed functional-differential equations of the form $\dot{x}(t) \in F\left(t, x_{t}\right)+G\left(t, x_{t}\right)$ is asymptotically stable.
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## 1. Introduction

Many papers have been devoted to the stability problem of functionaldifferential equations. For example, in the papers ([1], [5], [7]) stability problems of functional-differential equations in the form of $\dot{x}(t)=f\left(t, x_{t}\right)$ are investigated, where $x_{t}$ denotes a mapping of $[-r, 0]$ into $R^{n}$ defined by the formula $x_{t}(\theta)=x(t+\theta)$ for $\theta \in[-r, 0]$ where $x:[-r, T] \rightarrow R^{n}, r \geq 0$, $T>0$.

The aim of this paper is to give some results concerning stability and asymptotic stability of the zero solution of functional-differential relations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}(t) \in F\left(t, x_{t}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is a multivalued mapping with nonempty compact values in $R^{n}$ and asymptotic stability of perturbed functional-differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}(t) \in F\left(t, x_{t}\right)+G\left(t, x_{t}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where perturbation $G$ is a multivalued mapping with nonempty compact values in $R^{n}$.

The results obtain in this paper generelize some stability problems dealing with functional-differential equations in the form of $\dot{x}(t)=f\left(t, x_{t}\right)$ and inclusions of the form $\dot{x}(t) \in F\left(t, x_{t}\right)+G\left(t, x_{t}\right)$ that have been investigated by M.M. Hapajew, O.P. Filatow ([3]), M.A. Bouduries and J. Schinas ([2]), respectively.

## 2. Notations and Definitions

Let $r \geq 0$ and $T>0$, and let $C_{T}, C_{0}$ denote the Banach spaces of all the continuous mappings of $[-r, T]$ and $[-r, 0]$, respectively, into $R^{n}$ with the usual norms $\|x\|=\sup _{-r \leq t \leq T}|x(t)|$ and $\|x\|=\sup _{-r \leq t \leq 0}|x(t)|$ for $x \in C_{T}$ and $x \in C_{0}$, respectively, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Eucdidean norm of $n$-dimensional linear vector space $R^{n}$.

Let $L$ denote the Banach space of (equivalent classes of) Lebesgue integrable function defined on the interval $[0, \infty)$ into $R$. For every fixed $t \in[0, T]$ let $x_{t}$ denote a mapping of $[-r, 0]$ into $R^{n}$ defined by the formula $x_{t}(\theta)=x(t+\theta)$ for $\theta \in[-r, 0]$ where $x:[-r, T] \rightarrow R^{n}$ is given. Moreover, let $\Omega$ denote a collection of all nonempty compact subsets of the $n$-dimensional Eucdidean space $R^{n}$ with the Hausdorff metric $H$ defined by the formula

$$
H(A, B)=\min \left\{\varepsilon>0: A \subset S_{\varepsilon}(B), \quad B \subset S_{\varepsilon}(A)\right\}
$$

where $S_{\varepsilon}(U)$ is closed $\varepsilon$-neighbourhood of compact $U \in R^{n}$.
Throughout this paper we assume that the multivalued mapping $F$ : $[0, \infty) \times C_{0} \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $F(\cdot, u):[0, \infty) \rightarrow \Omega$ is measurable for every fixed $u \in C_{0}$
(ii) $F(t, \cdot): C_{0} \rightarrow \Omega$ is Lipschitzean for every fixed $t \in[0, T]$, that is there exists a Lebesgue integrable function $K:[0, T] \rightarrow R^{+}$such that $H(F(t, u), F(t, \bar{u})) \leq K(t) \mid u-\bar{u} \|$, where $H$ is the Hausdorff metric defined in $\Omega$
(iii) There exists a Lebesqgue integrable function $m:[0, \infty) \rightarrow R^{+}$such that $H(F(t, u),\{0\}) \leq m(t)$ for almost every $t \in[0, \infty)$ and any $u \in C_{0}$.
(iv) $0 \in F(t, \hat{0})$, where $\hat{0}$ is the null of $C_{0}$.

We state the following initial valued problem for (1):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t) \in F\left(t, x_{t}\right) \text { for almost every } t \in[0, \infty)  \tag{3}\\
x(t)=\varphi(t) \text { for } t \in[-r, 0]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varphi \in C_{0}$ is a given absolutely continuous function.
An absolutely continuous function $x \in C_{[-r, \infty)}$ satisfying the above conditions in each closed interval $[-r, T] \subset[-r, \infty)(T>0)$ will be called a solution of an initial value problem (3) on $[-r, \infty)$. In virtue of $([6])$ the problem (3) has at least one solution.

By an absolutely continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ we mean a function that is absolutely continuous on every compact subinterval of $[0, \infty)$.

For any $t_{0} \geq 0$ let $x\left(\cdot ; t_{0}, \varphi\right)$ denote a solution of (3).
A trivial solution of the initial value problem (3) corresponding to $\varphi \equiv 0$ will be called:
(a) stable, if for each $\varepsilon>0$ and any $t_{0} \geq 0$ there exists $\sigma=\sigma\left(\varepsilon, t_{0}\right)>0$ such that $\|\varphi\|<\sigma, \varphi \in C_{0}$ implies that $\left|x\left(t ; t_{0}, \varphi\right)\right|<\varepsilon, t \geq t_{0}$ where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean norm in $R^{n}$,
(b) uniformly stable, if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a number $\sigma(\varepsilon)>0$ such that the relation $t \geq t_{0} \geq 0$ and $\varphi \in C_{0}$ then $\|\varphi\|<\sigma(\varepsilon)$ implies that $\left|x\left(t ; t_{0}, \varphi\right)\right|<\varepsilon$. Notice that above $\sigma$ can be chosen independently of $t_{0}$,
(c) asymptotically stable, if it is stable and, furthermore, there is $\sigma=$ $\sigma\left(t_{0}\right)>0$ such that for all $\varphi \in C_{0}$ satisfying $\mid \varphi \|<\sigma$ we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left|x\left(t, t_{0}, \varphi\right)\right|=0$.
Let us denote by $K$ the class of all continuous, monotonically increasing real function on $[0, \infty)$ vanishing at 0 .

We assume that there exist a continuous function $V:[0, \infty) \times C_{0} \rightarrow R$ (called a Lyapunov function) with the following properties:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\mathrm{h}_{i}\right) V(t, \hat{0})=0 \text { for } t \in[0, \infty) \\
&\left(\mathrm{h}_{i i}\right) V(t, \varphi) \geq \lambda_{1}(|\varphi|) \text { for some } \lambda_{1} \in K, t \geq 0, \varphi \in C_{0}, \\
&\left(\mathrm{~h}_{i i i}\right) V(t, \varphi) \leq \lambda_{2}(|\varphi|) \text { for some } \lambda_{2} \in K, t \geq 0, \varphi \in C_{0}, \\
&\left(\mathrm{~h}_{i v}\right) V^{*}(t)=V\left(t, x\left(\cdot ; t_{0}, \varphi\right)_{t}\right) \text { is absolutely continous for each solution } \\
& x\left(\cdot ; t_{0}, \varphi\right) \text { of }(3), \text { where } x\left(\cdot ; t_{0}, \varphi\right)_{t}(\theta)=x\left(t+\theta, t_{0}, \varphi\right) \text { for } \varphi \in C_{0}, \\
& t_{0} \geq 0, \text { and } \theta \in[-r, 0] \\
&\left(\mathrm{h}_{v}\right) \frac{d}{d t} V^{*}(t)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{h}\left(V\left(t+h, x\left(\cdot ; t_{0}, \varphi\right)_{t+h}\right)-V\left(t, x\left(\cdot ; t_{0}, \varphi\right)_{t}\right) \leq-\lambda_{3}(\| \varphi \mid)\right. \\
& \text { for some } \lambda_{3} \in K, \varphi \in C_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Stability Theorems

Now we start presentation of some theorems concerning the stability of the trivial solution of $(3)$. We will assume that $x(t) \equiv 0$ is the unique solution of (3).

Furthermore the solution $x\left(\cdot ; t_{0}, \varphi\right)$ of (3) will be denote by $x(\cdot ; \varphi)$. The first of the theorem concerning stability of the null solution (3) can be proved by the same technique as in the single-valued case [see [4] p.15].

Theorem 1. Let $F:[0, \infty) \times C_{0} \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfy the conditions (i)-(iv) and suppose that $V$ is a Lyapunov function such that the conditions $\left(\mathrm{h}_{i}\right),\left(\mathrm{h}_{i i}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{h}_{i v}\right)$ are satisfied. Then, a trivial solution of (3) is stable.

## Sketch of the proof.

$1^{\circ}$ Take arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$. By the hypothes $\left(h_{i i}\right)$ we have $V(t, \varphi) \geq \lambda_{1}(|\varphi|)$ $=\lambda_{1}(\varepsilon)>0$ for each $\varphi \in S_{\varepsilon}$ and $t \geq t_{0}=0$ where $S_{\varepsilon}=\left\{\varphi \in C_{0}:\|\varphi\|\right.$ $=\varepsilon\}$.
$2^{\circ}$ Denote by $\alpha=\inf \left\{V(t, \varphi): t \geq t_{0}=0, \varphi \in S_{\varepsilon}\right\}>0, \alpha>0$. For $V$ is continuous with respect $\varphi$ so we have $0 \leq V(0, \varphi)<\alpha$ for each $\varphi \in C_{0}$ such that $\|\varphi\|<\sigma$.
$3^{\circ}$ Let $x(\cdot, \varphi)$ be a solution of (3) corresponding to $\varphi$ satisfying $\|\varphi\|<\sigma$. There we show that for every $t \geq t_{0}=0$ we have $|x(t, \varphi)|<\varepsilon$.

The proof this fact we use the method contradiction and we get that $V(0, \varphi) \geq$ $\alpha$.

Theorem 2. Suppose $F:[0, \infty) \times C_{0} \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfies the conditions (i)-(iv) and let $V$ be a Lyapunov function such that the hypoteses $\left(\mathrm{h}_{i}\right)-\left(\mathrm{h}_{v}\right)$ are satisfied. Then the trivial solution of (3) is asymptotically stable.

Proof. Let us observe that, by Theorem 1. the trivial solution of (3) is stable. Hence, it suffices to show that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}|x(t, \varphi)|=0$ for each $\varphi \in C_{0}$ satisfying $|\varphi|<\sigma(\varepsilon)$, where $\sigma(\varepsilon)$ is a number determinated in the definition of the stability.

We have

$$
\lambda_{1}(|\varphi|) \leq V(t, \varphi) \leq \lambda_{2}(|\varphi|) \quad \text { for } \quad \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in K, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \varphi \in C_{0}
$$

Take arbitrary $\eta>0$. By continuity of $\lambda_{2}$ and with fact that $\lambda_{2}(0)=0$, it follows that there exists a number $\sigma_{1}(\eta)<\eta$ such that $\lambda_{2}(|\varphi|)<\lambda_{1}(\eta)$ for each $\varphi \in C_{0}$ satisfying $\|\varphi\|<\sigma_{1}(\eta)$. For each $\varphi \in C_{0}$ such that $\|\varphi\|=\eta$ we obtain $\lambda_{1}(\eta)=\lambda_{1}(|\varphi|) \leq V(t, \varphi)$ for $t \geq 0$.

Thus $\lambda_{1}(\eta) \leq \inf \left\{V(t, \varphi): \varphi \in C_{0},\|\varphi\|=\eta, t \geq 0\right\}$. Hence, it follows

$$
V(t, \varphi) \leq \lambda_{2}(\|\varphi\|)<\lambda_{1}(\eta) \leq \inf _{\|\varphi\|=\eta}\{V(t, \varphi): t \geq 0\}
$$

for $t \geq 0$ and $|\varphi|=\eta$.
Now, we prove that there exists $t^{*}>0$ such that for each $t>t^{*}$ there holds the estimation $\|x(\cdot, \varphi)\|<\eta$ for every $\varphi \in C_{0}$ satisfying $\|\varphi\|<\sigma(\varepsilon)$ ( $\eta>0$ is given above).

Let us observe that there exists $t^{*}>0$ such that $\left\|x(\cdot, \varphi)_{t^{*}}\right\|<\sigma_{1}(\eta)$, where $\left|x(\cdot, \varphi)_{t^{*}}\right|=\sup _{-r \leq \theta \leq 0}\left|x\left(t^{*}+\theta, \varphi\right)\right|$.

Indeed, if is not true, then, for each $t \geq 0$ it will be $\| x(\cdot, \varphi)_{t} \mid \geq \sigma_{1}(\eta)$. By the stability of the trivial solution of (3) it follows that $|\varphi|<\sigma(\varepsilon)$ implies $\sigma_{1}(\eta) \leq\left\|x(\cdot, \varphi)_{t}\right\| \leq \bar{\varepsilon}$, where $0<\bar{\varepsilon}<\varepsilon$. In virtue of the hypotheses $\left(h_{v}\right)$ there exists a function $\lambda_{3} \in K$ such that for all $\varphi \in C_{0}$ and $t \geq 0$ we have $\frac{d}{d t} V\left(t, x(\cdot, \varphi)_{t}\right) \leq-\lambda_{3}(\|\varphi\|)$. But $\left|x(\cdot, \varphi)_{t}\right| \in\left[\sigma_{1}(\eta), \bar{\varepsilon}\right]$ and $\lambda_{3}$ are continuous. Then $\alpha=\inf \lambda_{3}\left(\left\|x(\cdot, \varphi)_{t}\right\|\right)>0$ where inf is taken after all $\left|x(\cdot, \varphi)_{t}\right| \in\left[\sigma_{1}(\eta), \bar{\varepsilon}\right]$ and by the definition $\lambda_{3}$ is reach in the point $\sigma_{1}(\eta)$.

Thus $\| x(\cdot, \varphi)_{t} \mid \in\left[\sigma_{1}(\eta), \bar{\varepsilon}\right]$ implies that $-\lambda_{3}\left(\left|x(\cdot, \varphi)_{t}\right|\right) \leq-\alpha$ for each $t \geq 0$.

Hence, and by virtue of the hypothese $\left(h_{v}\right)$ we have $V\left(t, x(\cdot, \varphi)_{t}\right)$ $V(0, \varphi) \leq-\alpha t$ for $t \geq 0$ and $\left|x(\cdot, \varphi)_{t}\right| \in\left[\sigma_{1}(\eta), \bar{\varepsilon}\right]$

Therefore $V\left(t, x(\cdot, \varphi)_{t}\right)<0$ for $\left|x(\cdot, \varphi)_{t}\right| \in\left[\sigma_{1}(\eta), \bar{\varepsilon}\right]$ and $t>\frac{1}{\alpha} V(0, \varphi)$. It contradicts the property $\left(h_{i i}\right)$ of function $V$. Hence there exists a point $t^{*}<\frac{1}{\alpha} V(0, \varphi)$ such that $\left|x(\cdot, \varphi)_{t^{*}}\right|<\sigma_{1}(\eta)<\eta$.

Let us observe that there exists $t_{1}>t^{*}$ such that $\left|x\left(t_{1}, \varphi\right)\right|=\eta$ and $|x(t, \varphi)|<\eta$ for $t \in\left[t^{*}, t_{1}\right)$.

In a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 1. we can obtain a contradiction.

Therefore for every $t_{1} \geq t^{*}$ we get $|x(t, \varphi)|<\eta$.
The proof this complete.

## 4. Stability of Perturbed Multivalued Differential Equations

We shall be concerned with the perturbed multivalued differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}(t) \in F\left(t, x_{t}\right)+G\left(t, x_{t}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the perturbation $G:[0, \infty) \times C_{0} \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfies the following condition: $G(\cdot, \cdot) \rightarrow \Omega$ is an upper semi-continuous for $(t, u) \in[0, \infty) \times C_{0}$.

Let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ stand for the inner product in $R^{n}$. Given $z \in R^{n}$ and a bounded subset $A$ of $R^{n}$, we define $(z, A)=\sup \{(z, y): y \in A\}$. From now on, we assume that the Lyapunov function $V:[0, \infty) \times R^{n} \rightarrow R$ is continuous on the $[0, \infty) \times R^{n}$ and $V(t, 0)=0$ for all $t \in[0, \infty)$. Following ([4], p.22, Th. 1.4) and using the hypothesis $\left(h_{i}\right),\left(h_{i i}\right)$ and conditions

$$
\left(\mathrm{h}_{v i}\right) \quad \frac{d}{d t} V^{*}(t) \leq-\lambda_{3}(V(t, x)) \text { for some } \lambda_{3} \in K,(t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times R^{n}
$$

the asymptotic stability of the null solution of (3) can be proved by the same technique as in the single - valued case. We consider the following hypotheses
$\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right) V(t, x) \geq \lambda_{1}(|x|)$ for $\lambda_{1} \in K,(t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times R^{n}$,
$\left(\mathrm{h}_{2}\right) \frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial t}+\left\langle\frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial x}, F\left(t, x_{t}\right)\right\rangle \leq-\lambda_{3}(V(t, x))$ for $\lambda_{3} \in K,(t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times$ $R^{n}$ where we mean $V^{\prime}\left(t, x_{t}\right)=\frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial t}+\left\langle\frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial x}, F\left(t, x_{t}\right)\right\rangle$ for inclusion (3), of course $V^{\prime}:[0, \infty) \times C_{0} \rightarrow R$,
$\left.\left(\mathrm{h}_{3}\right) \quad \| x_{t}| | \frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial x} \right\rvert\, \leq \lambda_{2}(V(t, x))$ for $\lambda_{2} \in K,(t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times R^{n}$.
Now we will present a theorem concerning stability of the trivial solution of (4)

Theorem 3. Suppose that $\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{h}_{2}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{h}_{3}\right)$ hold, where $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3} \in K$ and there exists $\rho \neq 0$ such that for $\lambda_{2}(l) \leq \rho \lambda_{3}(l)$ for every $l \geq 0$. If $H(G(t, u),\{0\}) \leq \gamma\|u\|$ for all $(t, u) \in[0, \infty) \times C_{0}$ and $\gamma<\rho^{-1}$ then the null solution (4) is asymptotically stable.

Proof. In virtue of the hypotheses $\left(h_{1}\right),\left(h_{2}\right)$ and $\left(h_{3}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(t, x_{t}\right) & =\frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial t}+\left\langle\frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial x}, \dot{x}(t)\right\rangle \\
& \leq \frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial t}+\left\langle\frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial x}, F\left(t, x_{t}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle\frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial x}, G\left(t, x_{t}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq-\lambda_{3}(V(t, x))+\left\langle\frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial x}, G\left(t, x_{t}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq-\lambda_{3}(V(t, x))+\left|\frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial x}\right|\left|G\left(t, x_{t}\right)\right| \\
& \left.\leq-\lambda_{3}(V(t, x))+\left|\frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial x}\right| \gamma \| x_{t} \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq-\lambda_{3}(V(t, x))+\lambda_{2}(V(t, x)) \gamma \\
& \leq-\lambda_{3}(V(t, x))+\rho \gamma \lambda_{3}(V(t, x)) \\
& =(\gamma \rho-1) \lambda_{3}(V(t, x))=(1-\gamma \rho) \lambda_{3}(V(t, x))
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $1-\gamma \rho>0$ there is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(t, x_{t}\right) \leq-\lambda_{4}(V(t, x)), \quad \text { where } \quad \lambda_{4}=(1-\gamma \rho) \lambda_{3} \in K \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can proceed as in the proof given in [4] (p. 22, Th. 1.4) in case of the equation $\frac{d x}{d t}=f(t, x)$. The condition ( $h_{i v}$ ) holds true, so by inequality (5) it follows that the null solution (4) is asymptoticaly stable. This completes the proof.

Now we give an example where the zero solution of functional-differential equation of the form $\dot{x}(t)=F\left(t, x_{t}\right)$ is asymptotical stable.

Consider the scalar equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}(t)=-a(t) x(t)+b(t) x(t-r) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ are continuous functions, $0<a \leq a(t)<\infty$, $|b(t)| \leq b<\frac{4}{3} a$.

One can choose $V\left(t, x_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{2} x^{2}(t), \lambda_{1}(|x(t)|)=\frac{1}{4} x^{2}(t), \lambda_{2}(|x(t)|)=x^{2}(t)$. Furthermore for $t \geq 0, r \geq 0$ we have $x(t-r) \leq \| x_{t} \mid$ and let $\frac{1}{2} x^{2}(t)=\mid x_{t} \|^{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V^{\prime}\left(t, x_{t}\right)=x(t) x^{\prime}(t)=[-a(t) x(t)+b(t) x(t-r)] x(t) \\
& \quad=-a(t) x^{2}(t)+b(t) x(t) x(t-r) \\
& \quad \leq-a x^{2}(t)+\frac{b}{2}\left[x^{2}(t)+x^{2}(t-r)\right] \leq-\left(a-\frac{b}{2}\right) x^{2}(t)+\frac{b}{2} \|\left. x_{t}\right|^{2} \\
& \left.\quad=-\frac{1}{2}(2 a-b) x^{2}(t)+\frac{b}{2}\left|x_{t}\right|^{2} \leq-\left(2 a-\frac{3}{2} b\right) \right\rvert\, x_{t} \|^{2}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see that the condition of the Theorem 2 are satisfied. Therefore, the zero solution of $(*)$ is asymptotically stable.
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