

LIDIA SKÓRA

**REMARKS ON FIRST ORDER IMPULSIVE  
ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS  
WITH ANTI-PERIODIC BOUNDARY CONDITIONS**

ABSTRACT: The paper deals with the anti-periodic boundary value problem for impulsive ordinary differential equations. The impulsive differential inequalities generated by this problem are considered and a uniqueness criterion is obtained.

KEY WORDS: impulsive ordinary differential equations, coupled lower and upper solutions, uniqueness criterion.

**1. Introduction**

The theory of impulsive differential equations has become an important area of investigation. In recent years many authors have considered different problems involving impulsive differential equations. We mention here papers [1]-[9].

The anti-periodic solutions are not as widely discussed but there are some papers on this subject: [5], [6], [8]. In this paper we give simple remarks on lower and upper solutions for first order impulsive ordinary differential equations with anti-periodic boundary conditions. As an application some uniqueness result is obtained. We assume, different than usually, that the solution is right continuous. The method of upper and lower solution coupled with the monotone iterative technique has been widely used in the treatment of differential equations in [5], [8], [9].

**2. Preliminaries**

We consider the following anti-periodic boundary value problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} x'(t) &= f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in J, \quad t \neq t_k, \\ \Delta x|_{t=t_k} &= I_k(x(t_k)), \quad k = 1, \dots, p, \\ x(0) &= -x(T), \end{aligned}$$

where  $J = [0, T]$ ,  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_p < t_{p+1} = T$ , are fixed points  $f : J \times R \rightarrow R$  and  $I_k : R \rightarrow R$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

Denote by  $PC[J, R]$  the set of all functions  $x : J \rightarrow R$  which are continuous at  $t \neq t_k$ ,  $x(t^-)$  and  $x(t^+)$  exist, and  $x(t^+) = x(t)$  for  $t = t_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Let  $J' = J \setminus \cup_{i=1}^p \{t_i\}$ . We denote by  $PC^1[J, R]$  the set of all functions  $x \in PC[J, R]$  that are continuously differentiable for  $t \in J'$ ;  $x'(0^+)$ ,  $x'(T^-)$ ,  $x'(t_k^+)$ ,  $x'(t_k^-)$  exist,  $k = 1, \dots, p$ .

**Definition 1.** We say that a function  $x$  is a solution for Eq. (1) if  $x \in PC^1[J, R]$  and it satisfies Eq. (1).

It is possible to define the concept of lower and upper solution for Eq. (1) as follows (see [5]).

**Definition 2.** We say that  $u \in PC^1[J, R]$  and  $v \in PC^1[J, R]$  are pair of lower and upper related solutions for Eq. (1) if they satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t) &\leq f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in J', \\ \Delta u|_{t=t_k} &\leq I_k(u(t_k)), \quad k = 1, \dots, p, \\ u(0) + v(T) &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v'(t) &\geq f(t, v(t)), \quad t \in J', \\ \Delta v|_{t=t_k} &\geq I_k(v(t_k)), \quad k = 1, \dots, p, \\ v(0) + u(T) &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem is the most important result of this section:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $u$  and  $v$  be a pair of lower and upper related solution of Eq. (1). Suppose that:

- (i) For each  $t \in J$  the function  $f(t, \cdot) : R \rightarrow R$  is strictly decreasing on  $R$ .
- (ii) For each  $k = 1, \dots, p$  the function  $I_k : R \rightarrow R$  is strictly decreasing on  $R$ .

Then we have

$$(2) \quad u(t) \leq v(t), \quad t \in J.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\epsilon = \sup\{u(t) - v(t), t \in J\}$ . Suppose (2) is not true, then  $\epsilon > 0$  and there exists  $\bar{t} \in J$  such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} u(\bar{t}) - v(\bar{t}) &= \epsilon, \\ u(t) - v(t) &\leq \epsilon, \quad t \in J. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the following four cases.

*Case 1:* Suppose that  $\bar{t} \in (0, T)$ ,  $\bar{t} \neq t_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, p$ . Since  $u - v$  attains its maximum at  $t = \bar{t}$  we have  $(u - v)'(\bar{t}) = 0$ . On the other hand from the definition of the functions  $u$  and  $v$  it follows that

$$0 = (u - v)'(\bar{t}) \leq f(\bar{t}, u(\bar{t})) - f(\bar{t}, v(\bar{t})).$$

Then

$$f(\bar{t}, u(\bar{t})) \geq f(\bar{t}, v(\bar{t}))$$

which is a contradiction because for each  $t \in J$ , the function  $f(t, \cdot) : R \rightarrow R$  is strictly decreasing on  $R$ .

*Case 2:* Suppose that  $\bar{t} = t_k$  for some  $k, 1 \leq k \leq p$ . Then we have

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} u(t_k) - v(t_k) &= \epsilon, \\ u(t_k^-) - v(t_k^-) &\leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of the function  $u, v$  and by the monotone character of the function  $I_k$ , we obtain

$$u(t_k) - v(t_k) \leq u(t_k^-) + I_k(u(t_k)) - [v(t_k^-) + I_k(v(t_k))] < \epsilon,$$

which is a contradiction with (4).

*Case 3:* If  $u(t) - v(t) < \epsilon$  for all  $t \in J$ , then

$$u(t_k^-) - v(t_k^-) = \epsilon$$

for some  $1 \leq k \leq p + 1$ .

Let  $m : [t_{k-1}, t_k] \rightarrow R$  be defined by

$$(5) \quad m(t) = \begin{cases} u(t) - v(t), & t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k), \\ \epsilon, & t = t_k. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $m$  is continuous function. Since  $m(t) < \epsilon$  for  $t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k)$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{\tau_\nu\}$  such that  $\tau_\nu \in [t_{k-1}, t_k)$ ,  $\tau_\nu < \tau_{\nu+1}$  and  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \tau_\nu = t_k$  and  $D_-m(\tau_\nu) \geq 0$  for  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $D_-m(\tau_\nu) = \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{m(\tau_\nu + h) - m(\tau_\nu)}{h}$ .

Let  $N_0$  be such a positive integer that for  $\nu \geq N_0$  we have  $u(\tau_\nu) - v(\tau_\nu) > 0$ . Then it follows that

$$0 \leq D_-m(\tau_\nu) \leq u'(\tau_\nu) - v'(\tau_\nu) \leq f(\tau_\nu, u(\tau_\nu)) - f(\tau_\nu, v(\tau_\nu)).$$

Thus,

$$f(\tau_\nu, u(\tau_\nu)) - f(\tau_\nu, v(\tau_\nu)) \geq 0$$

which contradicts condition (i) of the theorem.

*Case 4:* Suppose that  $\bar{t} = 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(0) - v(0) &= \epsilon, \\ u(t) - v(t) &\leq \epsilon, \quad t \in J. \end{aligned}$$

In particular  $u(T) - v(T) \leq \epsilon$ . From the definition of the functions  $u, v$  we have

$$\epsilon = u(0) - v(0) \leq -v(T) + u(T) \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence

$$u(T) - v(T) = \epsilon.$$

However, this imply again a contradiction as in Case 3. The proof of Theorem 1 is completed.  $\blacksquare$

**Example 1.** Let  $J = [0, 1]$ ,  $p = 1$ ,  $t_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  and suppose that  $f(t, x) = e^{-x}$ ,  $I_1(x) = -12x$ ,  $x \in R$ . Conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. For instance,

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} -\frac{t}{2} - 3, & t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}) \\ -\frac{t}{2}, & t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad v(t) = \begin{cases} t + 1, & t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}) \\ t - \frac{1}{4}, & t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

are pair of lower and upper related solutions for Eq. (1). Employing Theorem 1 we obtain  $u(t) \leq v(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

We apply Theorem 1 to obtain a uniqueness result for the problem (1).

**Theorem 2.** *Let the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *For each  $t \in J$  the function  $f(t, \cdot) : R \rightarrow R$  is strictly decreasing on  $R$ .*
- (ii) *For each  $k = 1, \dots, p$  the function  $I_k : R \rightarrow R$  is strictly decreasing on  $R$ .*

*Then problem (1) has at most one solution in the class  $PC^1[J, R]$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $u_1, u_2 \in PC^1[J, R]$  be two distinct solutions of (1). From boundary conditions we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(0) + u_1(T) &= 0, \\ u_2(0) + u_2(T) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(0) + u_1(T) + u_2(0) + u_2(T) &= 0, \\ u_1(0) + u_2(T) &= -(u_1(T) + u_2(0)). \end{aligned}$$

We have either  $u_1(0) + u_2(T) \geq 0$  or  $u_1(0) + u_2(T) \leq 0$ .

We assume without of generality that  $u_1(0) + u_2(T) \geq 0$  and  $u_1(T) + u_2(0) \leq 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} u_1'(t) &= f(t, u_1(t)), \quad t \in J', \\ \Delta u_1 |_{t=t_k} &= I_k(u_1(t_k)), \quad k = 1, \dots, p, \\ u_1(0) + u_2(T) &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_2'(t) &= f(t, u_2(t)), \quad t \in J', \\ \Delta u_2 |_{t=t_k} &= I_k(u_2(t_k)), \quad k = 1, \dots, p, \\ u_2(0) + u_1(T) &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Emploing Theorem 1 we obtain

$$u_2(t) \leq u_1(t), \quad t \in J.$$

In particular  $u_2(0) \leq u_1(0)$ ,  $u_2(T) \leq u_1(T)$ , This together with boundary conditions yields

$$0 \leq u_1(0) - u_2(0) = -u_1(T) + u_2(T) \leq 0.$$

Hence  $u_1(0) = u_2(0)$ ,  $u_1(T) = u_2(T)$ . The functions  $u_1, u_2$  satisfy the following systems

$$\begin{aligned} u_1'(t) &= f(t, u_1(t)), \quad t \in J', \\ \Delta u_1 |_{t=t_k} &= I_k(u_1(t_k)), \quad k = 1, \dots, p, \\ u_1(0) + u_2(T) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_2'(t) &= f(t, u_2(t)), \quad t \in J', \\ \Delta u_2 |_{t=t_k} &= I_k(u_2(t_k)), \quad k = 1, \dots, p, \\ u_2(0) + u_1(T) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 1 we obtain that

- (i)  $u_1(t) \leq u_2(t)$ ,  $t \in J$ ,
- (ii)  $u_1(t) \geq u_2(t)$ ,  $t \in J$ ,

which proves the statement of the theorem. ■

**Example 2.** Suppose that  $J = [0, T]$ ,  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_p < t_{p+1} = T$  are fixed points,  $f(t, u) = -u^5$ ,  $I_k(u) = -u^3$ ,  $t \in J$ ,  $u \in R$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, p$ . Then the unique anti-periodic solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t) &= -u^5, \quad t \in J', \\ \Delta u |_{t=t_k} &= -u^3(t_k), \quad k = 1, \dots, p, \\ u(0) + u(T) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

is the zero solutions.

**References**

- [1] BAINOV D., KAMONT Z., MINCHEV E., Periodic solutions of impulsive hyperbolic equations of first order, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 1(1997), 115–127.
- [2] BYSZEWSKI L., A system of impulsive degenerate nonlinear parabolic functional-differential inequalities, *J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal.*, 8.1(1994), 59–68.
- [3] BYSZEWSKI L., A system of impulsive nonlinear parabolic functional-differential inequalities in arbitrary domains, *Ann. Soc. Math. Polon., Series I: Commentationes Math.*, 35(1995), 83–95.
- [4] LAKSHMIKANTHAM V., BAINOV D., SIMEONOV P.S., *Theory of impulsive differential equations*, Singapore: World Scientific, 1989.
- [5] FRANCO D., NIETO J., First-order impulsive ordinary differential equations with anti-periodic and nonlinear boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 42(2000), 163–173.
- [6] FRANCO D., NIETO J., Existence of solutions for first order ordinary differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, (to appear).
- [7] FRANCO D., LIZ E., NIETO J., ROGOVCHENKO Y., A contribution to the study of functional differential equations with impulses, *Math. Nachr.*, 218(2000), 49–60.
- [8] SKÓRA L., Monotone iterative method for differential systems with impulses and anti-periodic boundary condition, *Ann.Soc.Math.Polon., Series I:Commentationes Math. XLII*, 2(2002), 237–249 .
- [9] SKÓRA L., Monotone iterative technique for impulsive retarded differential-functional equations, *Demonstratio Mathematica XXXVII*, 1(2004), 101–113.

LIDIA SKÓRA

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICE, CRACOW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY  
WARSZAWSKA 24, 31-155 CRACOW, POLAND;  
*e-mail*: lskora@usk.pk.edu.pl

*Received on 22.01.2004 and, in revised form, on 09.06.2004.*