

SESHADEV PADHI

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF OSCILLATORY SOLUTIONS OF n -TH ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

ABSTRACT: In this paper, sufficient conditions have been obtained so that all oscillatory solutions of the n -th order differential equations with quasi derivatives tend to zero as t tends to infinity.

KEY WORDS: Oscillatory, asymptotic behaviour, delay-differential equations.

1.

Recently Singh [3] obtained sufficient conditions to ensure that all oscillatory solutions of the general n -th order equation

$$(1) \quad (r(t)y'(t))^{(n-1)} + a(t)y(t - \tau(t)) = f(t)$$

approach to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where $a(t)$, $r(t)$, $\tau(t)$ and $f(t)$ are real valued continuous functions on the whole real line with $r(t) > 0$, $\tau(t) > 0$ and $\tau(t)$ is bounded above by a real constant $k > 0$. He obtained the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that*

$$(2) \quad \int^{\infty} t^{n-2}|f(t)| dt < \infty,$$

$$(3) \quad \int^{\infty} t^{n-2}|a(t)| dt < \infty$$

and

$$(4) \quad \int^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(t)} dt < \infty.$$

Then all oscillatory solutions of (1) tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1.1 does not cover an important class of differential equations of the form (1) with $\int^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(t)} dt = \infty$. It may be noted that the example cited

in Singh [4] shows that the condition (4) on $r(t)$ cannot be changed keeping the conditions (2) and (3) intact in Theorem 1.1. Most probably, this is the reason for which Singh [4] obtained a result with the same conclusion of this theorem for the equations of the type (1) with $\int^\infty \frac{1}{r(t)} dt = \infty$ by relaxing the conditions (2) and (3). In [2], Chen and Yeh improved Singh's result [4] to a more general n -th order equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{r_{n-1}(t)}\left(\frac{1}{r_{n-2}(t)}\left(\dots\left(\frac{x(t)}{r_0(t)}\right)'\dots\right)'\right)'\right)' + f(t, x[g(t)]) = h(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad n \geq 2,$$

where $\int^\infty r_i(t) dt = \infty, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. More recently, in the monograph [1], Bainov and Mishev gave results concerning the asymptotic decay of the oscillatory solutions of the operator differential equations of the form

$$(r_{n-1}(t)(r_{n-2}(t)(\dots(r_1(t)x'(t))'\dots)')' + F(t, x(t), (A(x))(t)) = b(t),$$

where A is an operator with certain properties (see Theorem 3.3.3, [1]).

The motivation for the present work has come from Theorem 1.1 and the paper by Chen and Yeh [2] and Theorem 3.3.3 in [1]. Our purpose is to improve the conditions of Theorem 1.1 and extend the result to a more general equation

$$(5) \quad (r_{n-1}(t)(r_{n-2}(t)(\dots(r_1(t)y'(t))'\dots)')' + p(t)h(y(g(t))) = f(t),$$

$t \geq 0, n \geq 2$, where $r_i(t) > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1; p, f$ and $h \in (R, R), g(t) \leq t$ and $g(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Our result is stronger and more easily verifiable than the results in [1, 2, 3, 4]. In [1], sufficient conditions are given for the oscillation of (5).

We always assume that h satisfies the condition:

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} &uh(u) > 0 \text{ for } u \neq 0 \text{ and there exists a positive real } m \\ &\text{and } \gamma \in (0, 1] \text{ such that } |h(u)| \leq m|u|^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

2.

Define

$$L_0 y(t) = y(t), L_i y(t) = r_i(t) \frac{dL_{i-1} y(t)}{dt}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and $r_n(t) = 1$. Then (5) can be written in the form

$$L_n y(t) + p(t)h(y(g(t))) = f(t).$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let*

$$(7) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^\infty \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^\infty |p(s_n)| ds_n \dots ds_2 ds_1 < \infty$$

and

$$(8) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^\infty \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^\infty |f(s_n)| ds_n \dots ds_2 ds_1 < \infty$$

hold. Then all oscillatory solutions of (5) satisfy the property

$$(9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (L_i y)(t) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

Proof. From (7) and (8), it follows that there exist a $T > 0$ and a real $\beta > 0$ such that

$$(10) \quad \int_T^\infty \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^\infty \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^\infty |p(s_n)| ds_n \dots ds_2 ds_1 < \frac{\beta^{1-\gamma}}{m}$$

and

$$(11) \quad \int_T^\infty \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^\infty \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^\infty |f(s_n)| ds_n \dots ds_2 ds_1 < \frac{\beta}{4}.$$

Suppose that $y(t)$ is an oscillatory solution of (5). Since $y(t)$ is oscillatory, then $L_i y(t), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is also oscillatory. Let $T \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{n-1}$ be the sequence of zeros of $L_0 y(t), L_1 y(t), L_2 y(t), \dots, L_{n-1} y(t)$ respectively. We claim that $y(t)$ is bounded. If not, then $y(t)$ is unbounded. Let $M = \max\{|y(t)|; T \leq t \leq t_{n-1}\} > \beta$. Integrating (5) from $t(\geq T)$ to t_{n-1} , we have

$$-L_{n-1}y(t) = - \int_t^{t_{n-1}} p(s_n)h(y(g(s_n))) ds_n + \int_t^{t_{n-1}} f(s_n) ds_n,$$

that is

$$-(L_{n-2}y(t))' = -\frac{1}{r_{n-1}(t)} \int_t^{t_{n-1}} p(s_n)h(y(g(s_n))) ds_n + \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(t)} \int_t^{t_{n-1}} f(s_n) ds_n.$$

repeating the integration of the above from $t(\geq T)$ to $t_{n-2}, t_{n-3}, \dots, t_2$ and t_1 respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n y(t) = & - \int_T^{t_1} \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \\ & \int_{s_{n-2}}^{t_{n-2}} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{t_{n-1}} p(s_n) h(y(g(s_n))) ds_n \dots ds_2 ds_1 \\ & + \int_T^{t_1} \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{t_{n-2}} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{t_{n-1}} f(s_n) ds_n ds_{n-1} \dots ds_2 ds_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| = & \int_T^{t_1} \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \\ & \int_{s_{n-2}}^{t_{n-2}} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{t_{n-1}} |p(s_n) h(y(g(s_n)))| ds_n \dots ds_2 ds_1 \\ & + \int_T^{t_1} \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{t_{n-2}} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{t_{n-1}} |f(s_n)| ds_n ds_{n-1} \dots ds_2 ds_1. \end{aligned}$$

Taking maximum $y(t)$ in $[T, t_{n-1}]$ and using (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M \leq & m M^\gamma \int_T^{t_1} \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{t_{n-2}} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{t_{n-1}} |p(s_n)| ds_n \dots ds_2 ds_1 \\ & + \int_T^{t_1} \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{t_{n-2}} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{t_{n-1}} |f(s_n)| ds_n ds_{n-1} \dots ds_2 ds_1 \\ \leq & m M^\gamma \int_T^\infty \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^\infty \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^\infty |p(s_n)| ds_n \dots ds_2 ds_1 \\ & + \int_T^\infty \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^\infty \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^\infty |f(s_n)| ds_n ds_{n-1} \dots ds_2 ds_1, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq & \frac{m}{M^{1-\gamma}} \int_T^\infty \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^\infty \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^\infty |p(s_n)| ds_n \dots ds_2 ds_1 \\ & + \frac{1}{M} \int_T^\infty \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^\infty \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^\infty |f(s_n)| ds_n ds_{n-1} \dots ds_2 ds_1, \end{aligned}$$

or,

$$1 \leq \frac{m}{\beta^{1-\gamma}} \int_T^\infty \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^\infty \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^\infty |p(s_n)| ds_n \dots ds_2 ds_1$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\beta} \int_T^\infty \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^\infty \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^\infty |f(s_n)| ds_n ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_2 ds_1.$$

Using (10) and (11), the above inequality yields a contradiction. Hence our claim holds, that is, $y(t)$ is bounded. Thus there exist a constant $\lambda > 0$ and a real T_1 such that $|y(t)| < \lambda$ and $|y(g(t))| < \lambda$ for $t \geq T_1$. Then the rest of the proof is same as in the lines of proof of Theorem 3.3.1 in [1]. However, for the sake of completeness, we give the proof.

Since $y(t)$ is oscillatory, then $L_i(t)$ is oscillatory, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $\{t'_k\}, t'_k \geq T_1$ be a sequence of numbers such that $L_{n-1}y(t'_k) = 0$. Let $\alpha'_k \in (t'_k, t'_{k+1})$ and

$$|L_{n-1}y(\alpha'_k)| = \max\{|L_{n-1}y(t)|; t'_k \leq t \leq t'_{k+1}\}.$$

Integrating (5) from t'_k to α'_k , we see that

$$|L_{n-1}y(\alpha'_k)| \leq m\lambda^\gamma \int_{t'_k}^{\alpha'_k} |p(s)| ds + \int_{t'_k}^{\alpha'_k} |f(s)| ds.$$

Taking sum with respect to k , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |L_{n-1}y(\alpha'_k)| \leq m\lambda^\gamma \int_{t'_k}^{\infty} |p(s)| ds + \int_{t'_k}^{\infty} |f(s)| ds.$$

Since (7) and (8) hold, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L_{n-1}y(\alpha'_k) = 0$ and hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} L_{n-1}y(t) = 0.$$

Then integrating (5) from t to ∞ , we get

$$(12) \quad L_{n-1}y(t) = \int_t^\infty p(s)h(y(g(s))) ds - \int_t^\infty f(s) ds.$$

Now we shall prove that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} L_{n-2}y(t) = 0$. let $\{t''_k\}, t''_k \geq T_1$ be a sequence of numbers such that $L_{n-2}y(t''_k) = 0$. Let $\alpha''_k \in (t''_k, t''_{k+1})$ and

$$|L_{n-2}y(\alpha''_k)| = \max\{|L_{n-2}y(t)|; t''_k \leq t \leq t''_{k+1}\}.$$

Integrating (12) from t''_k to α''_k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{n-2}y(\alpha''_k)| &\leq m\lambda^\gamma \int_{t''_k}^{\alpha''_k} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^\infty |p(s_n)| ds_n ds_{n-1} \\ &+ \int_{t''_k}^{\alpha''_k} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^\infty |f(s_n)| ds_n ds_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, summing the above integral inequality with respect to k , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |L_{n-2}y(\alpha_k'')| &\leq m\lambda^\gamma \int_{t_k''}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{\infty} |p(s_n)| ds_n ds_{n-1} \\ &+ \int_{t_k''}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{\infty} |f(s_n)| ds_n ds_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which in turn implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} L_{n-2}y(t) = 0.$$

Proceeding as above repeatedly, we see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} L_0y(t) = 0,$$

that is,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0.$$

Thus the theorem is proved. \blacksquare

Remark. Our Theorem 2.1 is more general than Theorem 1.1. In Theorem 2.1, one may consider either $\int^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i(t)} dt = \infty$ or $\int^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i(t)} dt < \infty, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. However, in both these cases, $\int^{\infty} |p(t)| dt < \infty$ and $\int^{\infty} |f(t)| dt < \infty$. Further our Theorem 2.1 cannot be comparable with the results in Section 3.2-3.3 in [1]. The function $H(t)$ cannot be reduced to a constant.

The following example strengthens Theorem 2.1.

Example 2.2. Consider

$$(13) \quad (t(ty'(t)))' + \frac{1}{t^2}y^{1/2}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) = -\frac{4\sin 2t}{t^2} - \frac{18\cos 2t}{t^3} + \frac{16\sin t/2}{t^4} + \frac{21\sin 2t}{t^4} + \frac{16\sin 2t}{t^5} - \frac{80(\sin t)^2}{t^6},$$

$t \geq 1$. By Theorem 2.1, all oscillatory solution of (13) satisfy the property (9). In particular, $y(t) = \frac{1}{t^4}(\sin t)^2$ is such a solution satisfying (9).

Remark. In Example 2.2, the case $\int^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i(t)} dt = \infty, i = 1, 2$ are satisfied. In the following, we give an example for the case $\int^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i(t)} dt < \infty, i = 1, 2$.

Example 2.2 Consider

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} (e^t(e^t y'(t)))' + 2e^{-t}(\cos \frac{t}{2})y(\frac{t}{2}) \\ = 46e^{-3t} \cos t - 48e^{-3t} \sin t + e^{-\frac{7t}{2}} \sin t, \quad t \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

All the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and $y(t) = e^{-5t} \sin t$ is a solution of (14) satisfying (9).

The following example is of interest.

Example 2.3. Clearly $y(t) = e^{-5t} \sin t$ is a solution of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{-t}(e^t y'(t)))' + 2e^{-2t}(\cos \frac{t}{2})y(\frac{t}{2}) \\ = 64e^{-5t} \cos t - 86e^{-5t} \sin t + e^{-\frac{9t}{2}} \sin t, \quad t \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied.

Remark. In the above example, one may observe that $\int^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_2(t)} dt = \infty$ and $\int^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_1(t)} dt < \infty$. Thus, the above remarks and examples ensure that our Theorem 2.1 is more general than the results in [1, 2, 3, 4].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

1. The author is thankful to the anonymous referee for his/her helpful comments in revising the manuscript to the present form.
2. This work was done while the author visited "Department of Mathematics and statistics, Mississippi State University, MS39762, USA" supported by Department of Science and technology, New Delhi, Govt. of India ,BOYSCAST Programme under Sanction no. 100/IFD/5071/2004-2005 Dated 04.01.2005.

References

- [1] D.BAINOV D., MISHEV D., *Oscillation Theory of Operator Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore 1996.
- [2] CHEN L.S., YEH C.C., Necessary and sufficient conditions for asymptotic decay of oscillations in delayed differential equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinb.*, 91(A)(1981), 135–145.
- [3] SINGH B., Forced oscillations in general ordinary differential equations with deviating arguments, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 6(1976), 7–14.
- [4] SINGH B., Necessary and sufficient condition for eventual decay of oscillations in general functional equations with delays, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 10(1)(1980), 1–9.

SESHADEV PADHI
DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS
BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
MESRA, RANCHI-835215, INDIA
e-mail: ses_2312@yahoo.co.in

Received on 05.11.2004 and, in revised form, on 06.10.2006.