# ZdeněK Šmarda

## ON SINGULAR INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM FOR NONLINEAR FREDHOLM INTEGRODIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

ABSTRACT: There are given conditions for the solvability of the singular initial value problem for Fredholm integrodifferential equations. The continuous dependence of solutions on a parameter is investigated as well.

KEY WORDS: Fredholm integrodifferential equations, Banach contraction principle.

#### 1. Introduction

The most results concerning of behaviour of singular ordinary and integrodifferential equations were studied by means of modifications of the Wazewki's topological method and by fixed point theorems (see [1-7]). In [4,5] there are investigated singular initial problems for Volterra integrodifferential equations. However, in cases of Fredholm integrodifferential equations there is necessary to modify known results from the theory of Voltera integrodifferential equations which is shown in this paper.

We give sufficient conditions of existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear singular Fredholm integrodifferential equations. Moreover, we shall also investigate a problem of continuous dependence of solutions on a parameter.

Consider the following initial value problem

(1) 
$$y'(t) = \mathcal{F}\left(t, y(t), \int_{0^+}^1 K(t, s, y(t), y(s))ds, \mu\right), \quad y(0^+, \mu) = 0$$

and suppose

(I)  $\mathcal{F}: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n, \ \mathcal{F} \in C^0(\Omega),$ 

 $\Omega = \{(t, u_1, u_2, \mu) \in J \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : |u_1| \leq \phi(t), |u_2| \leq \psi(t)\}, \\ J = (0, 1], \quad 0 < \phi(t) \in \mathbb{C}^0(J), \quad \phi(0^+) = 0, \quad 0 < \psi(t) \in \mathbb{C}^0(J), \quad |\cdot| \\ \text{denotes the usual norm in } \mathbb{R}^n. \text{ There exist constants } M_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2$ 

such that  $|\mathcal{F}(t,\overline{u}_1,\overline{u}_2,\mu) - \mathcal{F}(t,\overline{\overline{u}}_1,\overline{\overline{u}}_2,\mu)| \leq M_1 |\overline{u}_1 - \overline{\overline{u}}_1| + M_2 |\overline{u}_2 - \overline{\overline{u}}_2|$ for all  $(t,\overline{u}_1,\overline{u}_2,\mu), (t,\overline{\overline{u}}_1,\overline{\overline{u}}_2,\mu) \in \Omega.$ 

(II)  $K: \Omega^1 \to \mathbb{R}^n, K \in \mathbb{C}^0(\Omega_1),$ 

$$\begin{split} \Omega_1 &= \{(t,s,v_1,v_2) \in J \times J \times R^n \times R^n : |v_1| \leq \phi(t) \ |v_2| \leq \phi(t)\}.\\ \text{There exist constants } N_i \geq 0, \ i = 1,2 \text{ such that}\\ |K(t,s,\overline{v}_1,\overline{v}_2) - K(t,s,\overline{v}_1,\overline{v}_1| \leq N_1 |\overline{v}_1 - \overline{v}_1| + N_2 e^{\lambda(t-s)} |\overline{v}_2 - \overline{v}_2|\\ \text{for all } (t,s,\overline{v}_1,\overline{v}_2), (t,s,\overline{v}_1,\overline{v}_2) \in \Omega_1, \ \lambda > 0 \text{ is a sufficiently large constant}\\ \text{such that } \left(\frac{M_1 + M_2 N_1 + M_2 N_2}{\lambda}\right) < 1. \end{split}$$

### 2. Main results

**Theorem 2.1.** Let the functions  $\mathcal{F}(t, u_1, u_2, \mu)$ ,  $K(t, s, v_1, v_2)$  satisfy conditions (I), (II) and, moreover

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}| &\leq g_1(t)|u_1| + g_2(t)|u_2|, ; \ 0 < g_i(t) \in C^0(J), \ i = 1, 2, \quad \int_{0^+}^t g_1(s)\phi(s)ds \leq \alpha\phi(t), \\ &\int_{0^+}^t g_2(s)\psi(s)ds \leq \beta\phi(t), \quad \alpha + \beta \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then the problem (1) has a unique solution  $y(t, \mu)$  for each  $\mu \in R$ ,  $t \in J$ . **Proof.** Denote H the Banach space of continuous vector-valued functions

$$h: J_0 \to \mathbb{R}^n, \ J_0 = [0, 1], \ |h(t)| \le \phi(t)$$

on J with the norm

$$||h||_{\lambda} = \max_{t \in J_0} \{ e^{-\lambda t} |h(t)| \}.$$

The initial value problem (1) is equivalent to the system of integral equations

(2) 
$$y(t) = \int_{0^+}^t \mathcal{F}\left(s, y(s), \int_{0^+}^1 K(s, w, y(s), y(w)) dw, \mu\right) ds$$

Define the operator T by the right-hand side of (2)

$$T(h) = \int_{0^+}^t \mathcal{F}\left(s, h(s), \int_{0^+}^1 K(s, w, h(s), h(w)) dw, \mu\right) ds,$$

where  $h \in H$ . Let  $\mu \in R$  be fixed. The transformation T maps H continuously into itself because

$$\begin{aligned} T(h) &| \leq \int_{0^+}^t \left| \mathcal{F}\left(s, h(s), \int_{0^+}^1 K(s, w, h(s), h(w)) dw, \mu\right) \right| ds \\ &\leq \int_{0^+}^t \left[ g_1(s) |h(s)| + g_2(s) \left| \int_{0^+}^1 K(s, w, h(s), h(w)) dw \right| \right] ds \\ &\leq \int_{0^+}^t \left( g_1(s) \phi(s) + g_2(s) \psi(s) \right) ds \leq (\alpha + \beta) \phi(t) \leq \phi(t) \end{aligned}$$

80

for every  $h \in H$ . Using (I), (II) and the definition  $||.||_{\lambda}$  we have

$$\begin{split} |T(h_2) - T(h_1)| &\leq \int_{0^+}^t \left| \mathcal{F}\left(s, h_2(s), \int_{0^+}^1 K(s, w, h_2(s), h_2(w)) dw, \mu\right) \right| \\ &\quad - \mathcal{F}\left(s, h_1(s), \int_{0^+}^1 K(s, w, h_2(s), h_2(w)) dw, \mu\right) \right| ds \\ &\leq \int_{0^+}^t (M_1 | h_2(s) - h_1(s) | \\ &\quad + M_2 \int_{0^+}^1 |K(s, w, h_2(s), h_2(w)) - K(s, w, h_1(s), h_1(w))| dw \right) ds \\ &\leq \int_{0^+}^t (M_1 | h_2(s) - h_1(s) | \\ &\quad + M_2 \int_{0^+}^1 (N_1 | h_2(s) - h_1(s) | + N_2 e^{\lambda(s-w)} | h_2(w) - h_1(w) |) dw \bigg) ds \\ &\leq M_1 || h_2 - h_1 ||_\lambda \int_{0^+}^t e^{\lambda s} ds \\ &\quad + M_2 N_1 || h_2 - h_1 ||_\lambda \int_{0^+}^t e^{\lambda s} ds + M_2 N_2 || h_2 - h_1 ||_\lambda \int_{0^+}^t \int_{0^+}^1 e^{\lambda s} dw ds \\ &= || h_2 - h_1 ||_\lambda \left( M_1 \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) + M_2 N_1 \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) + M_2 N_2 \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \right) \\ &< || h_2 - h_1 ||_\lambda e^{\lambda t} \left( \frac{M_1 + M_2 N_1 + M_2 N_2}{\lambda} \right). \end{split}$$

Thus

$$||T(h_2) - T(h_1)||_{\lambda} = \max_{t \in J_0} \{ e^{-\lambda t} |T(h_2) - T(h_1)| \} \le q ||h_2 - h_1||_{\lambda},$$

where

$$q := \frac{1}{\lambda} (M_1 + M_2 N_1 + M_2 N_2) < 1.$$

By Banach theorem the operator T has a unique stationary point  $h^*$  in the space H, i.e.  $h^*(t) \equiv T(h^*(t)), t \in J_0$ . Then  $y := h^*$  is the desidered solution of (1).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let all assumptions of Theorem 2.1 be satisfied and let there exist a constant L > 0 and an integrable function  $\gamma : J_0 \to J_0$  such that

$$|\mathcal{F}(t, u_1, u_2, \mu_2) - \mathcal{F}(t, u_1, u_2, \mu_1)| \le \gamma(t)|\mu_2 - \mu_1|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

where  $(t, u_1, u_2, \mu_1)$ ,  $(t, u_1, u_2, \mu_2) \in \Omega$  and

$$\max_{t \in J_0} \left\{ e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0^+}^t \gamma(s) ds \right\} \le L.$$

Then the solution  $y(t,\mu)$  of (1) is continuous with respect to the variables  $(t,\mu) \in J \times R$ .

**Proof.** Define as above, for  $h \in H$  the transformation  $T_{\mu}(h)$  by means of the right-hand side (2) then we obtain

$$||T_{\mu}(h) - T_{\mu}(y)||_{\lambda} \le \left(\frac{M_1 + M_2N_1 + M_2N_2}{\lambda}\right) ||h - y||_{\lambda}.$$

By the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\lambda t} |T_{\mu_2}(h) - T_{\mu_1}(h)| \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0^+}^t \left| \mathcal{F}(s, h(s), \int_{0^+}^1 K(s, w, h(s), h(w)) dw, \mu_2) \right| \\ &- \left| \mathcal{F}(s, h(s), \int_{0^+}^1 K(s, w, h(s), h(w)) dw, \mu_1) \right| ds \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0^+}^t \gamma(s) |\mu_2 - \mu_1| ds \leq L |\mu_2 - \mu_1|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$||T_{\mu_2}(h) - T_{\mu_1}(h)||_{\lambda} \le L|\mu_2 - \mu_1|.$$

From this and by Theorem 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{split} ||h(t,\mu_2) - h(t,\mu_1)||_{\lambda} &= ||T_{\mu_2}[h(t,\mu_2)] \\ &- T_{\mu_2}[h(t,\mu_1)] + T_{\mu_2}[h(t,\mu_1)] - T_{\mu_1}[h(t,\mu_1)]||_{\lambda} \leq ||T_{\mu_2}[h(t,\mu_2)] \\ &- T_{\mu_2}[h(t,\mu_1)]||_{\lambda} + ||T_{\mu_2}[h(t,\mu_1)] - T_{\mu_1}[h(t,\mu_1)]||_{\lambda} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M_1 + M_2N_1 + M_2N_2}{\lambda}\right) ||h(t,\mu_2) - h(t,\mu_1)||_{\lambda} + L|\mu_2 - \mu_1|. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$||h(t,\mu_2) - h(t,\mu_1)||_{\lambda} \le \left[1 - \left(\frac{M_1 + M_2N_1 + M_2N_2}{\lambda}\right)\right]^{-1} L|\mu_2 - \mu_1|.$$

Consequently the function  $h(t, \mu)$  is uniformly continuous with respect to the variable  $\mu \in R$ ; so  $y(t, \mu)$  is also continuous with respect to two variables  $(t, \mu) \in J \times R$ . The proof is complete.

Now we shall consider a special form of (1)

(3) 
$$p_i(t)y'_i - y_i = \mathcal{F}_i\left(t, y(t), \int_{0^+}^1 K(t, s, y(t), y(s))ds, \mu\right), \quad y_i(0^+, \mu) = 0,$$

 $i=1,\ldots,n.$  A solution of (3) will be described by means of a solution of an auxiliary system

(4) 
$$p_i(t)y'_i - y_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Put

(5) 
$$\eta_i(t, C_i) = C_i \, exp\left(\int_1^t \frac{ds}{p_i(s)}\right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It is obvious that (5) is the general solution of (4). Denote

$$Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n), \quad Y_i(t, \mu) = \frac{y_i(t, \mu) - \eta_i(t, C_i)}{\eta_i(t, C_i)},$$
$$G = (G_1, \dots, G_n), \quad G_i\left(t, y(t), \int_{0^+}^1 K(t, s, y(t), y(s))ds, \mu\right)$$
$$= (p_i(t)\eta_i(t, C_i))^{-1}\mathcal{F}_i\left(t, y(t), \int_{0^+}^1 K(t, s, y(t), y(s))ds, \mu\right)$$

 $\eta(t)(1+Y(t)) = (\eta_1(t,C_1)(1+Y_1(t,\mu)),\dots,\eta_n(t,C_n)(1+Y_n(t,\mu))).$ 

Then

$$Y'_{i} = \frac{(y'_{i}(t,\mu) - \frac{\eta_{i}(t,C_{i})}{p_{i}(t)})\eta_{i}(t,C_{i}) - (y_{i}(t,\mu) - \eta_{i}(t,C_{i}))\frac{\eta_{i}(t,C_{i})}{p_{i}(t)}}{\eta_{i}(t,C_{i})^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{p_{i}(t)y'_{i}(t,\mu) - y_{i}(t,\mu)}{p_{i}(t)\eta_{i}(t,C_{i})}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Now, we can rewrite the equation (3) in the form

$$Y'_{i} = G_{i}\left(t, \eta(t)(1+Y(t)), \int_{0^{+}}^{1} K(t, s, \eta(t)(1+Y(t)), \eta(s)(1+Y(s))ds, \mu\right).$$

Denote

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{G}_i\left(t, Y(t), \int_{0^+}^1 \mathcal{K}(t, s, Y(t), Y(s)) ds, \mu\right) \\ &= G_i\left(t, \eta(t)(1+Y(t)), \int_{0^+}^1 K(t, s, \eta(t)(1+Y(t)), \eta(s)(1+Y(s)) ds, \mu\right). \end{split}$$

Then

(6) 
$$Y'_i = \mathcal{G}_i\left(t, Y(t), \int_{0^+}^1 \mathcal{K}(t, s, Y(t), Y(s))ds, \mu\right).$$

We suppose: (i)  $\mathcal{G} \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $\overline{\Omega} = \{(x, Y, u, \mu) \in J \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : |Y| \le \phi(t), |u| \le \psi(t)\},$   $|\mathcal{G}(t, \overline{Y}, \overline{u}, \mu) - \mathcal{G}(t, \overline{\overline{Y}}, \overline{\overline{u}}, \mu)| \le M_1 |\overline{Y} - \overline{\overline{Y}}| + M_2 |\overline{u} - \overline{\overline{u}}|$ for all  $(t, \overline{Y}, \overline{u}, \mu)$ ,  $(t, \overline{\overline{Y}}(t), \overline{\overline{u}}) \in \overline{\Omega}.$ (ii)  $\mathcal{K} \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}_1), \ \overline{\Omega}_1 = \{(t, s, Y(t), Y(s)) \in J \times J \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n :$   $|Y(t)| \le \phi(t), |Y(s)| \le \phi(t)\},$   $|\mathcal{K}(t, s, \overline{Y}(t), \overline{Y}(s)) - \mathcal{K}(t, s, \overline{\overline{Y}}(t), \overline{\overline{Y}}(s)| \le N_1 |\overline{Y}(t) - \overline{\overline{Y}}(t)| + N_2 e^{\lambda(t-s)} \times |\overline{Y}(s) - \overline{\overline{Y}}(s)| \text{ for all } (t, s, \overline{Y}(t), \overline{Y}(s)), \ (t, s, \overline{\overline{Y}}(t), \overline{\overline{Y}}(s)) \in \overline{\Omega}_1.$ The functions  $\phi(t), \psi(t)$  and the constants  $M_i, N_i, \ i = 1, 2, \lambda$  have the same

The functions  $\phi(t)$ ,  $\psi(t)$  and the constants  $M_i$ ,  $N_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \lambda$  have the same properties as in Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let the functions  $\mathcal{G}(t, Y(t), \mu)$ ,  $\mathcal{K}(t, s, Y(t), Y(s))$  satisfy the conditions (i),(ii) and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{G}| &\leq g_1(t)|Y| + g_2(t)|u|, \quad 0 < g_j(t) \in C^0(J), \ j = 1, 2, \\ \int_{0^+}^t g_1(s)\phi(s)ds &\leq \alpha\phi(t), \quad \int_{0^+}^t g_2(s)\psi(s)ds \leq \beta\phi(t), \quad \alpha + \beta \leq 1, \\ 0 < p_i(t) \in C^0(J), \quad \int_{0^+}^1 \frac{dt}{p_i(t)} = \infty, \ i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a unique solution  $y(t, \mu)$  of (3) satisfying an inequality

$$|y_i(t,\mu) - \eta_i(t,C_i)| \le \phi(t)|\eta_i(t,C_i)|,$$

on J, i = 1, ..., n.

**Proof.** The equation (6) satisfies all assumptions of Theorem 2.1. Thus, there exists a unique solution  $Y(t, \mu)$  of (6) such that  $|Y(t, \mu)| \le \phi(t)$ . From this and by the notations above, we have

$$|Y_i(t,\mu)| = \left|\frac{y_i(t,\mu) - \eta_i(t,C_i)}{\eta_i(t,C_i)}\right| \le \phi(t) \Rightarrow |y_i(t,\mu) - \eta_i(t,C_i)| \le \phi(t)|\eta_i(t,C_i)|.$$

The proof is complete.

Acknowledgement: This research has been supported by the Czech Ministry of Education in the frame of MSM002160503 Research Intention MIKROSYN New Trends in Microelectronic Systems and Nanotechnologies.

#### References

- BENCHOHRA M., On initial value problem for an integro-differential equation, An. Stiint. Univ. "AL.I.Cuza" IASI, S.I.Mat., XLV, (1999), 297–304.
- [2] DIBLÍK J., The singular Cauchy-Nicoletti problem for system of two ordinary differential equations, *Math. Bohem.*, 117(1)(1992), 55–67.
- [3] DIBLÍK J., RUŽIČKOVÁ M., Existence of positive solutions of a singular initial problem for a nonlinear system of differential equations, *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, 34(2004), 923–944.
- [4] ŠMARDA Z., On solutions of an implicit singular system of integrodifferential equations depending on a parameter, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, 31(1)(1998), 125–130.
- [5] ŠMARDA Z., On an initial value problem for singular integro- differential equations, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, 35(4)(2002), 803–811.
- [6] YANG G., Minimal positive solutions to some singular second-order differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 266(2002), 479–491.
- [7] ZHANG B.G., KONG L., Positive solutions for a class of singular boundary value problems, Dyn. Sys. Appl., 9(2001), 281–289.

Zdeněk Šmarda Department of Mathematics Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Communication Brno University of Technology, Technická 8, 61600 Brno CZECH REPUBLIC *e-mail:* smarda@feec.vutbr.cz

Received on 02.11.2005 and, in revised form, on 12.05.2006.