İbrahim Yalçınkaya

## ON THE DIFFERENCE EQUATION

 $x_{n+1} = \alpha + \frac{x_{n-2}}{x_n^k}$ 

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the global behavior of the difference equation of order three

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha + \frac{x_{n-2}}{x_n^k}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

where the parameters  $\alpha, k \in (0, \infty)$  and the initial values  $x_{-2}, x_{-1}$ and  $x_0$  are arbitrary positive real numbers.

KEY WORDS: difference equation, global asymptotic stability, equilibrium point, periodicity, semicycle, boundedness.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 39A10.

## 1. Introduction

Although difference equations are relatively simple in form, it is, unfortunately, extremely difficult to understand thoroughly the global behavior of their solutions. See, for example, [1-13] and the relevant references cited therein.

Difference equations appear naturally as a discrete analogues and as a numerical solutions of differential and delay differential equations having applications various scientific branches, such as in ecology, economy, physics, technics, sociology, biology, etc.

Hamza and Morsy in [8] investigated the global behavior of the difference equation

(1) 
$$x_{n+1} = \alpha + \frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n^k}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

where the parameters  $\alpha, k \in (0, \infty)$  and the initial values  $x_{-1}$  and  $x_0$  are arbitrary positive real numbers.

Eq. (1) was investigated when k = 1 where  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ , see [1] and [6]. There are some other examples of the research regarding Eq. (1). For examples [7] and [11]. In this paper, we consider the following difference equation of order three

(2) 
$$x_{n+1} = \alpha + \frac{x_{n-2}}{x_n^k}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

where the parameters  $\alpha, k \in (0, \infty)$  and the initial values  $x_{-2}, x_{-1}$  and  $x_0$  are arbitrary positive real numbers.

Here, we review some results which will be useful in our investigation of the behaviour of Eq. (2) solutions.(c.f. [13])

**Definition 1.** Let I be an interval of real numbers and let  $f: I^{k+1} \to I$  be a continuously differentiable function where k is a non-negative integer. Consider the difference equation

(3) 
$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-k}), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

with the initial values  $x_{-k}, \ldots, x_0 \in I$ . A point  $\overline{x}$  called an equilibrium point of Eq. (3) if

$$\overline{x} = f\left(\overline{x}, \overline{x}, \dots, \overline{x}\right)$$

**Definition 2.** Let  $\overline{x}$  be an equilibrium point of Eq. (3).

(a) The equilibrium  $\overline{x}$  is called locally stable if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x_0, \ldots, x_{-k} \in I$  and  $|x_0 - \overline{x}| + \cdots + |x_{-k} - \overline{x}| < \delta$ , then

$$|x_n - \overline{x}| < \varepsilon$$
, for all  $n \ge -k$ .

(b) The equilibrium  $\overline{x}$  is called locally asymptotically stable if it is locally stable and if there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that if  $x_0, \ldots, x_{-k} \in I$  and  $|x_0 - \overline{x}| + \cdots + |x_{-k} - \overline{x}| < \gamma$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \overline{x}.$$

(c) The equilibrium  $\overline{x}$  is called global attractor if for every  $x_0, \ldots, x_{-k} \in I$ we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \overline{x}.$$

(d) The equilibrium  $\overline{x}$  is called globally asymptotically stable if it is locally stable and is a global attractor.

(e) The equilibrium  $\overline{x}$  is called unstable if is not stable.

**Definition 3.** Let  $a_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i}(\overline{x}, \dots, \overline{x})$  for each  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , denote the partial derivatives of  $f(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k)$  evaluated at an equilibrium  $\overline{x}$  of Eq. (3). Then the equation

(4) 
$$z_{n+1} = a_0 z_n + a_1 z_{n-1+} \dots + a_k z_{n-k}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

is called the linearized equation of Eq. (3) about the equilibrium point  $\overline{x}$ .

**Theorem 1** (Clark's Theorem). Consider the difference equation (4). Then,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} |a_i| < 1$$

is a sufficient condition for the locally asymptotically stability of Eq. (3).

**Definition 4.** The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be periodic with period p if  $x_{n+p} = x_n$  for n = 0, 1, ... (c. f.[5]).

## 2. Main results

In this section we investigate the global behavior, the boundedness and some periodicity of Eq. (2).

A point  $\overline{x} \in \mathbb{R}$  is an equilibrium point of Eq. (2) if and only if it is a root for the function,

(5) 
$$g(x) = x - x^{1-k} - \alpha$$

that is

$$\overline{x} - \overline{x}^{1-k} - \alpha = 0.$$

**Lemma 1.** Eq. (2) has a unique equilibrium point  $\overline{x} > 1$ .

**Proof. Case 1:** Assume that k = 1, then Eq. (2) has a unique equilibrium point  $\overline{x} = \alpha + 1 > 1$ .

**Case 2:** Assume that 0 < k < 1. The function g defined by Eq. (5) is decreasing on  $[0, (1-k)^{1/k}]$  and increasing on  $[(1-k)^{1/k}, \infty)$ . Since  $g(1) = -\alpha$  and  $\lim_{x \to 0} g(x) = \infty$ , then g has a unique root  $\overline{x} > 1$ .

**Case 3:** Assume that 1 < k. Since g increasing on  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $g(1) = -\alpha$  and  $\lim_{x \to \infty} g(x) = \infty$ , then g has a unique root  $\overline{x} > 1$ ,

Therefore, the proof is complete.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $\overline{x}$  is the equilibrium point of Eq (2). If  $k(k+1)^{\frac{1-k}{k}} < \alpha$ , then  $\overline{x}$  is locally asymptotically stable.

**Proof.** From Equations (3)-(4), we see that

$$f(u_0, u_1, u_2) = \alpha + u_0^{-k} u_2,$$

then

$$a_0 = \frac{-k}{\overline{x}^k}, \ a_1 = 0, \ a_2 = \frac{1}{\overline{x}^k}$$

By using Clark's Theorem, we get that  $\overline{x}$  is locally asymptotically stable if  $\overline{x}^k > k + 1$ .

Let  $k(k+1)^{\frac{1-k}{k}} < \alpha$ , a simple calculations shows that

$$g((k+1)^{1/k}) = k(k+1)^{\frac{1-k}{k}} - \alpha < 0$$

where g is defined by Eq. (5). Then, since  $\lim_{x\to\infty} g(x) = \infty$ ,  $\overline{x} > (k+1)^{1/k}$  and  $\overline{x}^k > k+1$ . Therefore, the proof is complete.

**Lemma 2.** If  $\alpha > 1$ , then every solution of Eq. (2) is bounded and persists.

**Proof.** We get that

$$\alpha < x_{n+1} < \alpha + \beta x_{n-2}$$

where  $\beta = \frac{1}{\alpha^k}$ .

By induction we obtain

$$\alpha < x_{3n+i} < \alpha \frac{1-\beta^n}{1-\beta} + \beta^n x_i \text{ for } i \in \{-1,0,1\}.$$

Also, we see that if  $\alpha > 1$ ,

$$\alpha < x_{3n+i} < \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} + x_i \text{ for } i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}.$$

Therefore, the proof is complete.

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $\overline{x}$  is the equilibrium point of Eq. (2). If  $\alpha > k^{1/k} \ge 1$ , then  $\overline{x}$  is globally asymptotically stable.

**Proof.** We must show that the equilibrium point  $\overline{x}$  of Eq. (2) is both locally asymptotically stable and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \overline{x}$ .

Firstly, since  $k \ge 1$ , then  $k \ge k(k+1)^{\frac{1-k}{k}}$  and since  $\alpha > k^{1/k}$ , we get  $\alpha > k(k+1)^{\frac{1-k}{k}}$ . By Theorem 2,  $\overline{x}$  is locally asymptotically stable.

Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$  be a solution of Eq. (2). By Lemma 2,  $\{x_n\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$  is bounded.

Let us introduce

$$\Lambda_1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf x_n$$
 and  $\Lambda_2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup x_n$ .

Then for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \Lambda_1)$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge n_0$  we get

$$\Lambda_1 - \varepsilon \le x_n \le \Lambda_2 + \varepsilon.$$

This implies that

$$\alpha + \frac{\Lambda_1 - \varepsilon}{(\Lambda_2 + \varepsilon)^k} \le x_{n+1} \le \alpha + \frac{\Lambda_2 + \varepsilon}{(\Lambda_1 - \varepsilon)^k} \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_0 + 1.$$

Then we obtain

$$\alpha + \frac{\Lambda_1 - \varepsilon}{(\Lambda_2 + \varepsilon)^k} \le \Lambda_1 \le \Lambda_2 \le \alpha + \frac{\Lambda_2 + \varepsilon}{(\Lambda_1 - \varepsilon)^k},$$

and from the above inequality

$$\alpha + \frac{\Lambda_1}{\Lambda_2^k} \le \Lambda_1 \le \Lambda_2 \le \alpha + \frac{\Lambda_2}{\Lambda_1^k},$$

which implies that

$$(\alpha \Lambda_2^k \Lambda_1^{k-1} + \Lambda_1^k) \le \Lambda_1^k \Lambda_2^k \le (\alpha \Lambda_2^{k-1} \Lambda_1^k + \Lambda_2^k).$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\alpha \Lambda_2^{k-1} \Lambda_1^{k-1} (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1) \le (\Lambda_2^k - \Lambda_1^k).$$

Suppose that  $\Lambda_1 \neq \Lambda_2$  we get that

$$\alpha \Lambda_2^{k-1} \Lambda_1^{k-1} \le \frac{\Lambda_2^k - \Lambda_1^k}{\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1}.$$

There exists  $\gamma \in (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$  such that

$$\frac{\Lambda_2^k - \Lambda_1^k}{\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1} = k\gamma^{k-1} \le k\Lambda_2^{k-1}.$$

This implies that  $\alpha^k \leq k$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = \overline{x}$ . So, we have shown that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \overline{x}.$$

Therefore, the proof is complete.

**Theorem 4.** Eq. (2) has a period three solution (not necessary prime)  $\{x_n\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$  if and only if  $(x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0)$  is a solution of the system

(6) 
$$x = \alpha + \frac{x}{z^k}, \quad y = \alpha + \frac{y}{x^k}, \quad z = \alpha + \frac{z}{y^k}$$

Moreover, if at least one of the initial values of Eq. (2) is different from the others, then  $\{x_n\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$  has a prime period three solution.

137

**Proof.** First, assume that  $\{x_n\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$  is a period three solution of Eq. (2), then

$$\begin{aligned} x_{-2} &= x_1 = \alpha + \frac{x_{-2}}{x_0^k}, \\ x_{-1} &= x_2 = \alpha + \frac{x_{-1}}{x_1^k} = \alpha + \frac{x_{-1}}{x_{-2}^k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$x_0 = x_3 = \alpha + \frac{x_0}{x_2^k} = \alpha + \frac{x_0}{x_{-1}^k}$$

Then  $(x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0)$  is a solution of the system (6). Second, assume that  $(x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0)$  is a solution of the system (6) then

$$x_1 = \alpha + \frac{x_{-2}}{x_0^k} = x_{-2},$$
$$x_2 = \alpha + \frac{x_{-1}}{x_1^k} = \alpha + \frac{x_{-1}}{x_{-2}^k} = x_{-1},$$

and

$$x_3 = \alpha + \frac{x_0}{x_2^k} = \alpha + \frac{x_0}{x_{-1}^k} = x_0.$$

By induction we see that

$$x_{n+3} = x_n$$
 for all  $n \ge -2$ .

In the case where at least one of the initial values of Eq. (2) is different from the others, clearly  $\{x_n\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$  is a prime period three solution.

**Conclusion.** The author believe that these results in this paper can be conveniently extended the following higher order diffrence equation

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha + \frac{x_{n-m}}{x_n^k}, \quad \text{for } m > 2.$$

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions that improved the quality of this study.

## References

- [1] AMLEH A.M., GROVE E.A., LADAS G., On the recursive sequence  $x_{n+1} = \alpha + (x_{n-1}/x_n)$ , J. Math. Anal. Appl., 233(2)(1999), 790-798.
- [2] CINAR C., On the positive solutions of the difference equation  $x_{n+1} = (ax_{n-1})/(1 + bx_nx_{n-1})$ , Appl. Math. Comp., 156(2004), 587-590.
- [3] CINAR C., On the positive solutions of the difference equation  $x_{n+1} = (x_{n-1})/(-1 + ax_n x_{n-1})$ , Appl. Math. Comp., 158(3)(2004), 793-797.

- [4] CINAR C., On the positive solutions of the difference equation  $x_{n+1} = (x_{n-1})/(1 + ax_nx_{n-1})$ , Appl. Math. Comp., 158(3)(2004), 809-812.
- [5] ELAYDI S.N., An Introduction to Difference Equations, Springer-Verlag, New-York, Ink, (1996).
- [6] FEUER J., On the behavior of solutions of  $x_{n+1} = p + (x_{n-1}/x_n)$ , Applicable Anal., 83(6)(2004), 599-606.
- [7] GIBBONS C.H., KULENOVIĆ M.R.S., LADAS G., On the recursive sequence  $y_{n+1} = (\alpha + \beta y_{n-1}) / (\gamma + y_n)$ , Math. Sci. Res. Hot-Line 4, 2(2000), 1-11.
- [8] HAMZA A.E., MORSY A., On the recursive sequence  $x_{n+1} = \alpha + (x_{n-1}/x_n^k)$ , Applied Math. Letters, 22(2009), 91-95.
- [9] KELLEY M.G., PETERSON A.C., Difference Equations: An Introduction with Applications, Academic press, 1991.
- [10] KOCIĆ V.L., LADAS G., Global Behavior of Nonlinear Difference Equations of Higher Order with Applications, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht 1993.
- [11] KOSMALA W., KULENOVIĆ M.R.S., LADAS G., TEIXEIRA C.T., On the recursive sequence  $y_{n+1} = (p + y_{n-1}) / (qy_n + y_{n-1})$ , J. Math. Anal. Appl., 251(2000), 571-586.
- [12] KULENOVIĆ M.R.S., LADAS G., Dynamics of Second Order Rational Difference Equations, Chapman Hall / C. R. C. Press, (2002).
- [13] KULENOVIĆ M.R.S., MERINO O., Discrete Dynamical Systems and Difference Equations with Mathematica, Chapman Hall / C. R. C. Press, Boca Raton / London (2002).

IBRAHIM YALÇINKAYA SELCUK UNIVERSITY, EDUCATION FACULTY DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS 42099, MERAM YENI YOL, KONYA, TURKIYE *e-mail:* iyalcinkaya1708@yahoo.com

Received on 14.06.2008 and, in revised form, on 23.07.2008.