# $\frac{F A S C I C U L I M A T H E M A T I C I}{Nr 48}$ 2012

#### S. Sedghi, I. Altun and N. Shobe

## SOME PROPERTIES OF *T*-METRIC SPACES AND A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the new definitions of T-metric space and give some properties of it. Also, we prove a common fixed point theorem for for four mappings under the condition of weakly compatible in complete T-metric spaces. A lot of fixed point theorems on ordinary metric space are special case of our main result, since every ordinary metric space is also T-metric space.

KEY WORDS: T-metric space, contractive mapping, fixed point.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10.

#### 1. Introduction

It is well known that the Banach contraction principle is a fundamental result in fixed point theory. After this classical result, many authors have extended, generalized and improved this theorem in different ways (See for details, [1], [4], [5], [6]). Also recently, fixed and common fixed point results in different types of spaces have been developed. For example, ultra metric spaces [14], fuzzy metric spaces [9] and uniform spaces [13]. In this paper we introduce the new definitions of T-metric space and give some properties of it. After then, we prove a common fixed point theorem for four mappings under the condition of weakly compatible in complete T-metric spaces. We begin this paper by giving the definition of ultra metric space.

**Definition 1** ([14]). Let (X, d) be a metric space. If the metric d satisfies strong triangle inequality:

$$d(x,y) \le \max\{d(x,z), d(z,y)\} \quad \forall x, y, z \in X$$

then d is called an ultra metric on X and the pair (X, d) is called an ultra metric space. An ultra metric space (X, d) is said to be spherically complete if every shrinking collection of balls in X has a nonempty intersection.

Rao and Kishore [11] proved the following:

**Theorem 1.** Let (X, d) be a spherically complete ultra metric space. If f and F are self maps on X satisfying  $F(X) \subseteq f(X)$ ,

$$d(Fx,Fy) < \max\{d(fx,fy), d(fx,Fx), d(fy,Fy)\} \quad \forall x,y \in X, \ x \neq y$$

then there exists  $z \in X$  such that fz = Fz. Further if f and F are coincidentally commuting at z then z is the unique common fixed point of fand F.

In the following, we introduce a new binary operation which is a probable modification of the definition of ordinary metric. In Section 2, we give the definition of T-metric and some properties of it. In Section 3, we prove a common fixed point theorem for four weakly compatible maps in complete T-metric spaces satisfying a new contractive type condition.

#### **2.** *T*-metric spaces

In what follows, N is the set of all natural numbers and  $\mathbb{R}^+$  is the set of all nonnegative real numbers.

Let  $\diamond : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be a binary operation satisfying the following conditions:

 $(i) \diamond$  is associative and commutative,

 $(ii) \diamond$  is continuous,

(*iii*)  $a \diamond 0 = a$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,

 $(iv) \ a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d \text{ whenever } a \leq c \text{ and } b \leq d \text{, for each } a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}^+.$ 

Five typical examples of  $\diamond$  are:

 $a \diamond_1 b = \max\{a, b\}, a \diamond_2 b = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}, a \diamond_3 b = a + b, a \diamond_4 b = ab + a + b$ and  $a \diamond_5 b = (\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2$  for each  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . It is easy to see that:

 $a\diamond_1 b \le a\diamond_2 b \le a\diamond_3 b \le \min\{a\diamond_4 b, a\diamond_5 b\}.$ 

**Lemma 1.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be a continuous, onto and increasing map. If defined  $a \diamond b = f^{-1}(f(a) + f(b))$  for every  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , then  $\diamond$  is a binary operation.

**Proof.** It is easy to see that  $\diamond$  is an increasing in both items, commutative, associative and continuous satisfying  $a \diamond 0 = f^{-1}(f(a) + f(0)) = f^{-1}(f(a)) = a$  for all  $a \in [0, \infty)$ .

**Example 1.** If function  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  defined by  $f(x) = e^x - 1$ , then it is easy to see that f is a continuous, onto and increasing function. Also, for every  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$  we have  $a \diamond b = \ln(e^a + e^b - 1)$  is a binary operation. **Lemma 2.** Let  $\diamond$  be a binary operation on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying the conditions (*i*)-(*iv*).

(a) If  $r, r' \ge 0$ , then  $\max\{r, r'\} \le r \diamond r'$ .

(b) If  $0 < \delta < r$ , then there exist a  $0 < \delta' < r$  such that  $\delta' \diamond \delta < r$ .

(c) For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\delta \diamond \delta < \epsilon$ .

**Proof.** (a) Since  $r' \ge 0$  by properties (iii) and (iv) of binary operation  $\diamond$  we have  $r \diamond r' \ge r \diamond 0 = r$ . Similarly we have  $r \diamond r' \ge r'$ .

(b) Let  $0 < \delta < r$ . Suppose for every  $\delta' > 0$  we have  $\delta' \diamond \delta \ge r$ . In particular if take  $\delta' = \frac{1}{n}$  then we have  $\frac{1}{n} \diamond \delta \ge r$ . Thus, this implies that  $0 \diamond \delta \ge r$  as  $n \to \infty$ , which is a contradiction. Hence by part (i) of this lemma we get  $\delta' \le \delta' \diamond \delta < r$ .

(c) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Suppose for every  $\delta > 0$ , we have  $\delta \diamond \delta \ge \epsilon$ . For  $\delta = \frac{1}{n}$  we have  $\frac{1}{n} \diamond \frac{1}{n} \ge \epsilon$ , hence as  $n \to \infty$  we get  $0 \ge \epsilon$ , which is a contradiction.

Now we introduce the new concept of T-metric.

**Definition 2.** Let X be a nonempty set. A T-metric on X is a function  $T: X^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies the following conditions: for each  $x, y, z \in X$ 

(a)  $T(x,y) \ge 0$  and T(x,y) = 0 if and only if x = y,

- (b) T(x,y) = T(y,x),
- (c)  $T(x,y) \leq T(x,z) \diamond T(y,z)$ .

The 3-tuple  $(X, T, \diamond)$  is called a T-metric space.

**Example 2.** (i) Every ordinary metric d is a T-metric with  $a \diamond b = a + b$ . (ii) Every ultra metric d is a T-metric with  $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$ .

(*iii*) Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $T(x, y) = \sqrt{|x - y|}$  for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . If we take  $a \diamond b = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ , then we have

$$T(x,y) = \sqrt{|x-y|} \\ \leq \sqrt{|x-z| + |z-y|} \\ = \sqrt{\sqrt{|x-z|^2} + \sqrt{|z-y|^2}} \\ = T(x,z) \diamond T(z,y).$$

Therefore the function T is a T-metric on X.

(iv) Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $T(x, y) = (x - y)^2$  for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . If we take  $a \diamond b = (\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2$ , then we have

$$T(x,y) = (x-y)^2 = |x-y|^2$$
  

$$\leq (|x-z|+|z-y|)^2$$
  

$$= (\sqrt{|x-z|^2} + \sqrt{|z-y|^2})^2$$
  

$$= T(x,z) \diamond T(z,y).$$

Therefore the function T is a T-metric on X.

**Remark 1.** For fixed  $0 \le \alpha \le \frac{\pi}{4}$  if there exist  $\beta, \gamma$  such that

$$0 \le \alpha \le \beta + \gamma < \frac{\pi}{2},$$
$$\tan \alpha \le \tan \beta + \tan \gamma + \tan \beta \tan \gamma.$$

then

**Example 3.** Let X = [0, 1] and  $T(x, y) = \tan(\frac{\pi}{4}|x - y|)$  for every  $x, y \in X$ . If we take  $a \diamond b = a + b + ab$ , then by Remark 1 we have

$$T(x,y) = \tan(\frac{\pi}{4}|x-y|)$$
  

$$\leq \tan(\frac{\pi}{4}|x-z|) + \tan(\frac{\pi}{4}|z-y|) + \tan(\frac{\pi}{4}|x-z|)\tan(\frac{\pi}{4}|z-y|)$$
  

$$= T(x,z) \diamond T(z,y).$$

Therefore the function T is a T-metric on X.

Let  $(X, T, \diamond)$  be a *T*-metric space. For r > 0 define

$$B_T(x,r) = \{ y \in X : T(x,y) < r \}.$$

**Definition 3.** Let  $(X, T, \diamond)$  be a *T*-metric space r > 0 and  $A \subset X$ .

- (a) The set  $B_T(x,r) = \{y \in X : T(x,y) < r\}$  is called an open ball centered at x and radius r.
- (b) If for every  $x \in A$  there exists r > 0 such that  $B_T(x,r) \subset A$ , then the subset A is called open subset of X.
- (c) The subset A of X is said to be T-bounded if there exists r > 0 such that T(x, y) < r for all  $x, y \in A$ .
- (d) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X converges to x if  $T(x_n, x) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  and write  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ . That is for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that  $T(x_n, x) < \epsilon$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x.
- (e) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is called a Cauchy sequence if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m \ge n_0$ .
- (f) The T-metric space  $(X, T, \diamond)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Let  $\tau$  be the set of all open subset of X, then  $\tau$  is a topology on X (induced by the T-metric T).

**Lemma 3.** Let  $(X,T,\diamond)$  be a *T*-metric space. If r > 0, then the open ball  $B_T(x,r)$  with center  $x \in X$  and radius r is an open set.

**Proof.** Let  $y \in B_T(x, r)$ , hence T(x, y) < r. If we set  $T(x, y) = \delta$  then by Lemma 2 there exists  $\delta' > 0$  such that  $\delta' \diamond \delta < r$ . Now, we prove that  $B_T(y, \delta') \subseteq B_T(x, r)$ . Let  $z \in B_T(y, \delta')$ , then by triangular inequality we have

$$T(x,z) \le T(x,y) \diamond T(y,z) < \delta \diamond \delta' < r.$$

Hence  $B_T(y, \delta') \subseteq B_T(x, r)$ . That is  $B_T(x, r)$  is an open set.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $(X, T, \diamond)$  be a *T*-metric space. If sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in *X* converges to *x*, then *x* is unique.

**Proof.** Let  $x_n \to y$ . For every  $\epsilon > 0$  by Lemma 2 we can choose a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\delta \diamond \delta < \epsilon$ . Now, since  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x and y, for this  $\delta$  there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T(x_n, x) < \delta$  for all  $n \ge n_1$  and there exists  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T(x_n, y) < \delta$  for all  $n \ge n_2$ . If set  $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ , then for all  $n \ge n_0$  by triangular inequality we have

$$T(x,y) \le T(x,x_n) \diamond T(x_n,y) < \delta \diamond \delta < \epsilon.$$

Hence T(x, y) = 0 and so x = y.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $(X, T, \diamond)$  be a *T*-metric space. Then every convergent sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is a Cauchy sequence.

**Proof.** For every  $\epsilon > 0$  by Lemma 2 we can choose a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\delta \diamond \delta < \epsilon$ . Since  $x_n \to x$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T(x_n, x) < \delta$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Thus for all  $n, m \ge n_0$  by triangular inequality we have

$$T(x_n, x_m) \le T(x_n, x) \diamond T(x, x_m) < \delta \diamond \delta < \epsilon.$$

Hence sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

**Definition 4.** Let  $(X, T, \diamond)$  be a *T*-metric space. *T* is said to be continuous, if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} T(x_n, y_n) = T(x, y)$$

whenever

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} T(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} T(y_n, y) = 0.$$

**Lemma 6.** Let  $(X, T, \diamond)$  be a *T*-metric space. Then *T* is a continuous function.

**Proof.** Let  $\lim_{n\to\infty} T(x_n, x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} T(y_n, y) = 0$ , then by triangular inequality we have

$$T(x_n, y_n) \le T(x_n, x) \diamond T(x, y) \diamond T(y, y_n).$$

Hence we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup T(x_n, y_n) \le T(x, y).$$

Similarly, we have

$$T(x,y) \le T(x,x_n) \diamond T(x_n,y_n) \diamond T(y_n,y)$$

and so

$$T(x,y) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf T(x_n, y_n).$$

Therefore we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} T(x_n, y_n) = T(x, y).$$

#### 3. Fixed point result

In this section we give some fixed point results on T-metric spaces. In these results we use an implicit relation for contractive condition. Implicit relation technique on metric space have been used in many articles (See [2], [3], [7], [10], [12]).

**Definition 5.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^+$  be the set of all non-negative real numbers and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the set of all continuous functions  $H : (\mathbb{R}^+)^5 \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions:

 $H_1: H(t_1, \dots, t_5)$  is non-decreasing in  $t_1$  and non-increasing in  $t_2, \dots, t_5$ .  $H_2:$  there exists  $h \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$H(u, v, v, u, v \diamond u) \le 0 \quad or \quad H(u, v, u, v, v \diamond u) \le 0$$

implies  $u \leq hv$ .

 $H_3: H(u, 0, 0, u, u) > 0, H(u, 0, u, 0, u) > 0$  and  $H(u, u, 0, 0, u \diamond u) > 0$ , for all u > 0.

Now, we give some examples.

**Example 4.** Let  $a \diamond b = a + b$  for all  $a, b \in [0, \infty)$  and  $H(t_1, \dots, t_5) = t_1 - \alpha \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4\} - \beta t_5$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$  and  $\alpha + 2\beta < 1$ .

 $H_1$ : Obvious.

 $H_2$ : Let u > 0 and

$$H(u, v, v, u, v \diamond u) = H(u, v, v, u, v + u)$$
  
=  $u - \alpha \max\{u, v\} - \beta(v + u) \le 0.$ 

Thus  $u \leq \max\{(\alpha + \beta)u + \beta v, (\alpha + \beta)v + \beta u\}$ . Now if  $u \geq v$ , then  $u \leq (\alpha + \beta)u + \beta v \leq (\alpha + 2\beta)u$ , a contradiction. Thus u < v and  $u \leq (\alpha + \beta)v + \beta u$  and so  $u \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \beta}v$ . Similarly, let u > 0 and

$$H(u, v, u, v, v \diamond u) = H(u, v, u, v, v + u)$$
  
=  $u - \alpha \max\{u, v\} - \beta(v + u) \le 0$ ,

then we have  $u \leq \frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\beta}v$ . If u = 0, then  $u \leq \frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\beta}v$ . Thus  $H_2$  is satisfying with  $h = \frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\beta} < 1$ .

110

$$\begin{split} H_3: H(u,0,0,u,u) &= H(u,0,u,0,u) = u(1-\alpha-\beta) > 0 \text{ and} \\ H(u,u,0,0,u\diamond u) &= H(u,u,0,0,u+u) = u(1-\alpha-2\beta) > 0, \end{split}$$

for all u > 0. Therefore  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Example 5.** Let  $a \diamond b = (\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2$  for all  $a, b \in [0, \infty)$  and  $H(t_1, \dots, t_5) = t_1 - m \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, \frac{1}{4}t_5\}$ , where  $0 \le m < 1$ .

 $H_1$ : Obvious.  $H_2$ : Let u > 0 and

$$H(u, v, v, u, v \diamond u) = H(u, v, v, u, \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{v} + \sqrt{u})^2)$$
  
=  $u - m \max\{u, v, \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{v} + \sqrt{u})^2\} \le 0$ .

Thus  $u \leq m \max\{u, v\}$ . Now if  $u \geq v$ , then  $u \leq mu$ , a contradiction. Thus u < v and  $u \leq mv$ . Similarly, let u > 0 and

$$H(u, v, u, v, v \diamond u) = H(u, v, u, v, \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{v} + \sqrt{u})^2) = u - m \max\{u, v\} \le 0,$$

then we have  $u \leq mv$ . If u = 0, then  $u \leq mv$ . Thus  $H_2$  is satisfying with h = m < 1.

 $H_3: H(u, 0, 0, u, u) = H(u, 0, u, 0, u) = H(u, u, 0, 0, u \diamond u) = u(1-m) > 0,$  for all u > 0. Therefore  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Lemma 7.** Let  $(X, T, \diamond)$  be *T*-metric space with  $a \diamond b \leq (\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2$ . If for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$T(x_{n+1}, x_n) \le kT(x_n, x_{n-1})$$

for 0 < k < 1, then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

**Proof.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$T(x_{n+1}, x_n) \le kT(x_n, x_{n-1}) \le \dots \le k^n T(x_1, x_0).$$

Thus for m > n we have

$$\begin{split} T(x_n, x_m) &\leq T(x_n, x_{n+1}) \diamond T(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \diamond \cdots \diamond T(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq (\sqrt{T(x_n, x_{n+1})} + \sqrt{T(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})} + \cdots + \sqrt{T(x_{m-1}, x_m)})^2 \\ &\leq (k^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{T(x_1, x_0)} + k^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \sqrt{T(x_1, x_0)} + \cdots + k^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \sqrt{T(x_1, x_0)})^2 \\ &\leq (\sum_{j=n}^{m-1} k^{\frac{j}{2}})^2 T(x_1, x_0) = (\frac{k^{\frac{n}{2}} - k^{\frac{m}{2}}}{1 - \sqrt{k}})^2 T(x_1, x_0) \\ &\leq (\frac{k^{\frac{n}{2}}}{1 - \sqrt{k}})^2 T(x_1, x_0). \end{split}$$

Hence the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

In 1998, Jungck and Rhoades [8] introduced the following concept of weak compatibility.

**Definition 6.** Let f and F be mappings from a T-metric space  $(X, T, \diamond)$  into itself. Then the pair (F, f) is said to be weak compatible if f and F commute at their coincidence points, that is, fx = Fx implies that fFx = Ffx.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(X, T, \diamond)$  be a complete *T*-metric space where  $a \diamond b \leq (\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2$ . Let *F*, *G*, *f* and *g* be four self-mappings of *X* satisfying the following conditions:

(i)  $F(X) \subseteq g(X), \ G(X) \subseteq f(X) \ and \ f(X) \ or \ g(X) \ is \ a \ closed \ subset of X$ ,

(ii) the pairs (F, f) and (G, g) are weakly compatible,

(iii) there exists  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$H(T(Fx, Gy), T(fx, gy), T(fx, Fx), T(gy, Gy),$$
$$T(fx, Gy) \diamond T(gy, Fx)) \leq 0$$

for all x, y in X,

Then there exists a unique  $p \in X$  such that p = fp = gp = Fp = Gp.

**Proof.** Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in X. By (i), we choose a point  $x_1$  in X such that  $y_0 = gx_1 = Fx_0$ . For this point  $x_1$  there exists a point  $x_2$  in X such that  $y_1 = fx_2 = Gx_1$ , and so on. Continuing in this manner we can define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  as follows

$$y_{2n} = gx_{2n+1} = Fx_{2n}, \qquad y_{2n+1} = fx_{2n+2} = Gx_{2n+1},$$

for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ . We prove that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. From (*iii*), we have

$$H\left(T(Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}), T(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}), T(fx_{2n}, Fx_{2n}), T(gx_{2n+1}, Gx_{2n+1}), T(fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}) \diamond T(gx_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n})\right) \le 0.$$

Thus we get

$$H(T(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), T(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), T(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), T(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), T(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}) \diamond T(y_{2n}, y_{2n})) \le 0.$$

Using  $H_1$  we get

$$H(T(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), T(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), T(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), T(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), T(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \diamond T(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})) \le 0.$$

That is

$$H(u, v, v, u, v \diamond u) \le 0,$$

where  $u = T(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})$  and  $v = T(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})$ . Hence, from  $H_2$ , there exists  $h \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$T(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \le hT(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}).$$

Similarly, from (iii), we have

$$H\left(T(Fx_{2n+2}, Gx_{2n+1}), T(fx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}), T(fx_{2n+2}, Fx_{2n+2}), T(gx_{2n+1}, Gx_{2n+1}), T(fx_{2n+2}, Gx_{2n+1}) \diamond T(gx_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n+2})\right) \le 0.$$

Thus we have

$$H(T(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}), T(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}), T(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}), T(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), T(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) \diamond T(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2})) \le 0.$$

Using  $H_1$  we have

$$H\left(T(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}), T(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}), T(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}), T(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), T(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \diamond T(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})\right) \le 0.$$

That is

$$H(u, v, u, v, v \diamond u) \le 0,$$

where  $u = T(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})$  and  $v = T(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})$ . Hence, from  $H_2$ , we have

 $T(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}) \le hT(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}).$ 

Therefore, we obtain

$$T(y_n, y_{n+1}) \le hT(y_{n-1}, y_n)$$

for all  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Hence by Lemma 2 the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is Cauchy in X. By completeness X there exist  $p \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} g x_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} F x_{2n} = p,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} f x_{2n+2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} G x_{2n+1} = p.$$

Suppose that g(X) is closed, then for some  $v \in X$  we have  $p = gv \in g(X)$ . Putting  $x = x_{2n}, y = v$  in (*iii*), we get

$$H(T(Fx_{2n}, Gv), T(fx_{2n}, gv), T(fx_{2n}, Fx_{2n}), T(gv, Gv), T(fx_{2n}, Gv) \diamond T(gv, Fx_{2n})) \le 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$H(T(y_{2n}, Gv), T(y_{2n-1}, gv), T(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), T(gv, Gv), T(y_{2n-1}, Gv) \diamond T(gv, y_{2n})) \le 0.$$

On making  $n \to \infty$ , we have

$$H(T(p,Gv),T(p,gv),T(p,p),T(p,Gv),T(p,Gv)\diamond T(p,p)) \le 0.$$

Thus we get,

$$H(T(p,Gv), 0, 0, T(p,Gv), T(p,Gv)) \le 0.$$

That is,  $H(u, 0, 0, u, u) \leq 0$ , hence from  $H_3$ , we get u = T(p, Gv) = 0. Hence Gv = p = gv. From weak compatibility of (G, g), we have Ggv = gGv, hence Gp = gp. Putting  $x = x_{2n}$ , y = p in (*iii*), we get

$$H(T(Fx_{2n}, Gp), T(fx_{2n}, gp), T(fx_{2n}, Fx_{2n}), T(gp, Gp), T(fx_{2n}, Gp) \diamond T(gp, Fx_{2n})) \le 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$H(T(y_{2n}, gp), T(y_{2n-1}, gp), T(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), T(gp, gp),$$
$$T(y_{2n-1}, gp) \diamond T(gp, y_{2n})) \leq 0.$$

On making  $n \to \infty$ , we get

$$H(T(p,gp), T(p,gp), T(p,p), T(gp,gp), T(p,gp) \diamond T(gp,p)) \leq 0.$$

That is,  $H(u, u, 0, 0, u \diamond u) \leq 0$ , hence from  $H_3$ , we have u = T(p, gp) = 0. Hence gp = p. Therefore, Gp = p. Since  $Gp \in f(X)$ , then there exists  $w \in X$  such that fw = Gp = gp = p. Now putting x = w, y = p in (*iii*), we get

$$\begin{split} H\left(T(Fw,Gp),T(fw,gp),T(fw,Fw),T(gp,Gp),\right.\\ \left.T(fw,Gp)\diamond T(gp,Fw)\right)&\leq 0. \end{split}$$

and so we have

$$H(T(Fw, p), 0, T(p, Fw), 0, T(p, Fw)) \le 0.$$

That is,  $H(u, 0, u, 0, u) \leq 0$ , hence from  $H_3$ , we have u = T(Fw, p) = 0. Hence Fw = p = Gp = fw = gp. Since Fw = fw and the pair (F, f) is weakly compatible, then we obtain Fp = Ffw = fFw = fp. Therefore, we obtain Fp = Gp = fp = gp = p. The proof is similar when f(X) is assumed to be closed subset of X.

To see the p is unique, suppose that q = gq = fq = Fq = Gq, then from *(iii)* we have

 $H(T(Fp, Gq), T(fp, gq), T(fp, Fp), T(gq, Gq), T(fp, Gq) \diamond T(gq, Fp)) \leq 0,$ therefore  $H(T(p, q), T(p, q), 0, 0, T(p, q) \diamond T(p, q) \leq 0,$  that is T(p, q) = 0. It follows that p = q.

**Corollary 1.** Let F, G be self-mappings of a complete T-metric space  $(X, T, \diamond)$  where  $a \diamond b \leq (\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2$ , such that satisfying:

(iv)  $H(T(Fx, Gy), T(x, y), T(x, Fx), T(y, Gy), T(x, Gy) \diamond T(y, Fx)) \leq 0$ for every x, y in X, where  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then there exists a unique  $p \in X$  such that p = Fp = Gp.

**Proof.** Follows from Theorem 2 with f = g = I dentity mapping.

**Corollary 2.** Let F, G, f and g be four self-mappings of a complete T-metric space  $(X, T, \diamond)$  where  $a \diamond b \leq (\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2$ , satisfying:

(i)  $Fx \subseteq g(X), Gx \subseteq f(X)$  for every  $x \in X$ ,

- (ii) The pair (F, f) and (G, g) are weakly compatible,
- $(iii) T(Fx, Gy) \le m \max\{T(fx, gy), T(fx, Fx), T(gy, Gy)\}$

 $\times \frac{1}{4}(T(fx,Gy)\diamond T(gy,Fx))$ 

for every x, y in X, where  $0 \le m < 1$ . Suppose that one of g(X) or f(X) is a closed subset of X, then there exists a unique  $p \in X$  such that p = fp = gp = Fp = Gp.

**Proof.** Follows from Theorem 2 with

$$H(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) = t_1 - m \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, \frac{1}{4}t_5\}.$$

If we combine Theorem 2 with Example 4 we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.** Let F, G, f and g be four self-mappings of a complete T-metric space  $(X, T, \diamond)$  where  $a \diamond b = a + b$ , satisfying:

(i)  $Fx \subseteq g(X), Gx \subseteq f(X)$  for every  $x \in X$ ,

- (ii) The pair (F, f) and (G, g) are weakly compatible,
- (*iii*)  $T(Fx, Gy) \le \alpha \max\{T(fx, gy), T(fx, Fx), T(gy, Gy)\}$ +  $\beta(T(fx, Gy) + T(gy, Fx))$

for every x, y in X, where  $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$  and  $\alpha + 2\beta < 1$ . Suppose that one of g(X) or f(X) is a closed subset of X, then there exists a unique  $p \in X$  such that p = fp = gp = Fp = Gp.

**Example 6.** Let X = [0, 1],  $T(x, y) = \sqrt{|x - y|}$  and  $a \diamond b = (\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2$ , then  $(X, T, \diamond)$  is a complete *T*-metric space. Define  $F, G, f, g : X \to X$  as follows:

$$Fx = \frac{1}{2}, \qquad Gx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \frac{3}{7}, & x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases},$$
$$\left( \frac{1}{2}, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \frac{1}{7}, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \end{cases}\right)$$

$$fx = \begin{cases} 2, & x \in [0, 2] \\ \frac{x+1}{4}, & x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}, \quad gx = \begin{cases} 1 & x, & x \in [0, 2] \\ 0, & x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}.$$

It is clear that  $F(X) = \{\frac{1}{2}\} \subseteq g(X) = \{0\} \cup [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \ G(X) = \{\frac{3}{7}, \frac{1}{2}\} \subseteq f(X) = (\frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{2}] \text{ and } g(X) \text{ is closed subset of } X.$  Now we consider the following cases:

*Case 1.* If  $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $y \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , then

$$T(Fx, Gy) = 0 \le \sqrt{\frac{4}{21}}T(fx, gy).$$

Case 2. If  $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $y \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , then

$$T(Fx,Gy) = \sqrt{\left|\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{7}\right|} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{14}} \le \sqrt{\frac{4}{21}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{21}}T(fx,gy).$$

Case 3. If  $x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  and  $y \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , then

$$T(Fx, Gy) = 0 \le \sqrt{\frac{4}{21}}T(fx, gy).$$

Case 4. If  $x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  and  $y \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , then

$$T(Fx, Gy) = \sqrt{\left|\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{7}\right|} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{14}} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{21}}\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}$$
$$\leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{21}}\sqrt{\left|\frac{x+1}{4}\right|} \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{21}}T(fx, gy)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$T(Fx, Gy) \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{21}} T(fx, gy)$$
  
$$\leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{21}} \max\left\{T(fx, gy), T(fx, Fx), T(gy, Gy), \frac{1}{4}(T(fx, Gy) \diamond T(gy, Fx))\right\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . That is, the condition *(iii)* of Theorem 2 is satisfied with

$$H(t_1, \cdots, t_5) = t_1 - \sqrt{\frac{4}{21}} \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, \frac{1}{4}t_5\}.$$

Also, the coincidence points of F and f are  $\frac{1}{2}$  and 1, and it is clear that F and f are commuting at  $\frac{1}{2}$  and 1. Similarly, the only coincidence point of G and g is  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and G and g are commuting at  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Thus F and f as well as G and g are weakly compatible. Consequently all conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied and so these mappings have a unique common fixed point on X.

**Remark 2.** We can obtain several fixed point results on ordinary metric spaces as a special case of Theorem 2.

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable comments in modifying the first version of this paper.

### References

- AGARWAL R.P., O'REGAN D., SAHU D.R., Theory for Lipschitzian-Type Mappings with Applications, Fixed Point, Springer, 2009.
- [2] ALIOUCHE A., POPA V., Common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings via implicit relations, *Filomat*, 22(2)(2008), 99-107.
- [3] ALTUN I., TURKOGLU D., Some fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 13(4)(2009), 1291-1304.
- [4] BERINDE V., Iterative Approximation of Fixed Points, Springer, 2007.
- [5] CIRIC LJ.B., Fixed Point Theory, Contraction Mapping Principle, Faculty of Mechanical Enginearing, Beograd, 2003.
- [6] GRANAS A., DUGUNDJI J., Fixed Point Theory, Springer, 2010.
- [7] IMDAD M., KUMAR S., KHAN M.S., Remarks on some fixed point theorems satisfying implicit relation, *Rad. Math.*, 11(2002), 135-143.
- [8] JUNGCK G., RHOADES B.E., Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 29(3)(1998), 227-238.
- [9] MIHEŢ D., A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 144(3)(2004), 431-439.

- [10] POPA V., Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation, *Demonstratio Math.*, 32(1)(1999), 157-163.
- [11] RAO K.P.R., KISHORE G.N.V., Common fixed point theorems in ultra metric spaces, *Punjab University Journal of Mathematics*, 40(2008), 31-35.
- [12] SEDGHI S., ALTUN I., SHOBE N., A fixed point theorem for multi-maps satisfying an implicit relation on metric spaces, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math., 2(2)(2008), 189-196.
- [13] TURKOGLU D., Fixed point theorems on uniform spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 34(3)(2003), 453-459.
- [14] ROOVIJ A.C.M.V., Non-Archimedean Functional Analysis, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978.

Shaban Sedghi Department of Mathematics Islamic Azad University Qaemshahr Branch, Qaemshahr, P.O.Box 163, Iran *e-mail:* sedghi\_gh@yahoo.com

> ISHAK ALTUN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS KIRIKKALE UNIVERSITY 71450 YAHSIHAN, KIRIKKALE, TURKEY *e-mail:* ishakaltun@yahoo.com

NABI SHOBE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY SCIENCE AND RESEARCH BRANCH 14778 93855 TEHRAN, IRAN *e-mail:* nabi\_shobe@yahoo.com

Received on 04.06.2011 and, in revised form, on 22.07.2011.