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#### Abstract
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## 1. Introduction

Writing our handbook [4] we have found two series of papers concerning the generalizations of Classical Mean Value Theorems (CMVT) for the one-sided differentiable functions. The first one, due to Polish mathematician L.T. Kubik [6, 7], is an effect of discussion on some problems concerning the distribution theory. The second one was presented independently by Serbian mathematicians J.Karamata [5] and V.Vučkovič [13].

We show that Kubik's generalizations of CMVT are equivalent to those proposed by Karamata and Vučkovič (cf. Propositions 1, 2, 3 in this paper). We also note that both types of the results have some nice and effective applications.

## 2. Kubik's theorems

In papers $[6,7]$ the following theorems were proved (in the paper symbols $f_{-}^{\prime}$ and $f_{+}^{\prime}$ denote the respective one-sided derivatives of the considered function $f$ ).

Theorem 1 (Generalization of the Rolle Theorem). If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is both-sided differentiable and $f(a)=f(b)$, then there exists $c \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{+}^{\prime}(c) \cdot f_{-}^{\prime}(c) \leqslant 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. If $f$ is differentiable then (1) gives that $\left[f^{\prime}(c)\right]^{2} \leqslant 0$, whence $f^{\prime}(c)=0$. Thus, Theorem 1 implies the Rolle theorem.

Remark 2. Theorem 1 can be improved as follows:
If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is both-sided differentiable in $(a, b)$ and right-continuous at $a$, left-continuous at $b$ and $f(a)=f(b)$, then there exists $c \in(a, b)$ such that (1) holds.

Proof of this modified version runs analogically as the proof of Theorem $1[6,7]$.

Similar modification as proposed above can be done in Theorems 2 and 3.
Theorem 2 (Generalization of the Lagrange Theorem). If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is both-sided differentiable, then there exists $c \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}-f_{+}^{\prime}(c)\right)\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}-f_{-}^{\prime}(c)\right) \leqslant 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us also consider the geometric interpretation of formula (2). If (2) holds then either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} \geqslant f_{+}^{\prime}(c), \quad \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} \leqslant f_{-}^{\prime}(c) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} \leqslant f_{+}^{\prime}(c), \quad \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} \geqslant f_{-}^{\prime}(c) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (3) informs that the secant line led by points $(a, f(a))$ and $(b, f(b))$ is more (or the same) steep as the right-sided tangent line at the point $c$ and less (or the same) steep as the left-sided tangent line at $c$. Similarly, formula (4) says that the secant line is less (or the same) steep as the right-sided tangent line at the point $c$ and more (or the same) steep as the left-sided tangent line in $c$.

Let us notice that if $f$ is differentiable then formula (2) takes the form

$$
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}=f^{\prime}(c),
$$

which gives the Lagrange Theorem.
From the generalized Lagrange Theorem we obtain the following obvious
Remark 3. Any function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having bounded derivatives $f_{+}$ and $f_{-}$is Lipschitzian.

This remark extends the classical fact concerning differentiable functions.

Theorem 3 (Generalization of the Cauchy Theorem). If $f, h:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are both-sided differentiable and $h(a) \neq h(b)$, then there exists $c \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)} h_{+}^{\prime}(c)-f_{+}^{\prime}(c)\right)\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)} h_{-}^{\prime}(c)-f_{-}^{\prime}(c)\right) \leqslant 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4. If the functions $f$ and $h$ are differentiable and if $h^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in[a, b]$, then formula (5) takes the form

$$
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)}=\frac{f^{\prime}(c)}{h^{\prime}(c)}
$$

which formulates the classical Cauchy Theorem.
Kubik [6] gives an interesting application of Theorem 3 in the probability theory.

## 3. Generalizations according to Karamata and Vučkovič

The following two generalizations of the Rolle and Lagrange Theorems, respectively, have been presented by J.Karamata in paper [5].

Theorem 4. If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is both-sided differentiable in $(a, b)$, right-continuous at $a$, left-continuous at $b$ and $f(a)=f(b)=0$, then there exist $c \in(a, b) ; p, q \geqslant 0, p+q=1$ such that

$$
p f_{+}^{\prime}(c)+q f_{-}^{\prime}(c)=0
$$

Theorem 5. If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is both-sided differentiable in $(a, b)$, right-continuous at $a$, left-continuous at $b$, then there exist $c \in(a, b) ; p, q \geqslant 0$, $p+q=1$ such that

$$
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}=p f_{+}^{\prime}(c)+q f_{-}^{\prime}(c)
$$

Vučkovič [13] has proved the following generalization of the Cauchy Mean Value Theorem.

Theorem 6. If $f, h:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are both-sided differentiable, then there exist $c \in(a, b) ; p, q \geqslant 0, p+q=1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[p f_{+}^{\prime}(c)+q f_{-}^{\prime}(c)\right](h(b)-h(a))=\left[p h_{+}^{\prime}(c)+q h_{-}^{\prime}(c)\right](f(b)-f(a)) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5. In this theorem the inequalities $p, q \geqslant 0$ cannot be replaced by $p, q>0$.

To show it let us consider the following example.
Let $a=-1, b=1$ and

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}x^{2}-1, & \text { dla } \quad x \geqslant 0 \\ -x-1, & \text { dla } \quad x<0\end{cases}
$$

Then $\xi=0$ and $f_{+}^{\prime}(0)=0, f_{-}^{\prime}(0)=-1$, from where $q=0$ (Theorems 4 and 5). If we take $g(x)=x, x \in[-1,1]$, then also in Theorem 6 we have $q=0$ (see [12]).

We show now that Theorem 1 and Theorem 4 are equivalent. More precisely, we prove the following

Proposition 1. Suppose that $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is both-sided differentiable. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:
(KU1) there exists $c \in(a, b)$ such that $f_{+}^{\prime}(c) f_{-}^{\prime}(c) \leqslant 0$;
(KA4) there exist $c \in(a, b) ; p, q \geqslant 0, p+q=1$ such that

$$
p f_{+}^{\prime}(c)+q f_{-}^{\prime}(c)=0
$$

Proof. $(K U 1) \Rightarrow(K A 4)$
Let $c \in(a, b)$ be such that

$$
f_{+}^{\prime}(c) f_{-}^{\prime}(c) \leqslant 0
$$

If $f_{+}^{\prime}(c)=0$ then it is enough to take $p=1, q=0$. If $f_{-}^{\prime}(c)=0$ then we put $p=0, q=1$. Finally, for $f_{+}^{\prime}(c) f_{-}^{\prime}(c)<0$ we can take

$$
p=\frac{f_{-}^{\prime}(c)}{f_{-}^{\prime}(c)-f_{+}^{\prime}(c)} \quad \text { and } \quad q=\frac{f_{+}^{\prime}(c)}{f_{+}^{\prime}(c)-f_{-}^{\prime}(c)}
$$

$(K A 4) \Rightarrow(K U 1)$
If for $c \in(a, b)$ and $p, q \geqslant 0$ we have $p+q>0$ and $p f_{+}^{\prime}(c)+q f_{-}^{\prime}(c)=0$, then it is obvious that $f_{+}^{\prime}(c) f_{-}^{\prime}(c) \leqslant 0$.

Indeed, then in case of $f_{+}^{\prime}(c) f_{-}^{\prime}(c) \neq 0$ we have $p q \neq 0$, that is

$$
p f_{+}^{\prime}(c) f_{-}^{\prime}(c)=-q\left(f_{-}^{\prime}(c)\right)^{2}<0
$$

i.e.

$$
f_{+}^{\prime}(c) f_{-}^{\prime}(c)<0
$$

which ends the proof.
Now we show that Theorem 2 and Theorem 5 are equivalent. More precisely we prove the following

Proposition 2. Suppose that $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is both-sided differentiable. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:
(KU2) there exists $c \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}-f_{+}^{\prime}(c)\right)\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}-f_{-}^{\prime}(c)\right) \leqslant 0
$$

(KA5) there exist $c \in(a, b) ; p, q \geqslant 0, p+q=1$ such that

$$
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}=p f_{+}^{\prime}(c)+q f_{-}^{\prime}(c)
$$

Proof. $(K U 2) \Rightarrow(K A 5)$
Let $c \in(a, b)$ be such that (2) holds. If

$$
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}=f_{+}^{\prime}(c)
$$

then we take $p=1$ and $q=0$. If

$$
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}=f_{-}^{\prime}(c)
$$

then we put $p=0$ and $q=1$. Finally, if inequality (2) is strict then we take

$$
p=\frac{\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}-f_{-}^{\prime}(c)}{f_{+}^{\prime}(c)-f_{-}^{\prime}(c)} \quad \text { and } \quad q=\frac{\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}-f_{+}^{\prime}(c)}{f_{-}^{\prime}(c)-f_{+}^{\prime}(c)}
$$

$$
(K A 5) \Rightarrow(K U 2)
$$

If $c \in(a, b), p, q \geqslant 0, p+q=1$ and

$$
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}=p f_{+}^{\prime}(c)+q f_{-}^{\prime}(c)
$$

then, how can be easily checked, the following equality holds

$$
\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}-f_{+}^{\prime}(c)\right)\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}-f_{-}^{\prime}(c)\right)=-q p\left(f_{-}^{\prime}(c)-f_{+}^{\prime}(c)\right)^{2}
$$

In case when the above product is different than zero, the right side of this equality implies that the product is negative, which implies (KU2).

At last we show that Theorems 3, 5 and Theorem 6 are equivalent. More precisely, we prove the following

Proposition 3. Assume that $f, h:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are both-sided differentiable. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:
$(K U 3+K A 5)$ there exist $c_{0} \in(a, b) ; p_{0}, q_{0} \geqslant 0, p_{0}+q_{0}=1$ such that

$$
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}=p_{0} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(c_{0}\right)+q_{0} f_{-}^{\prime}\left(c_{0}\right)
$$

Moreover, if $h(a) \neq h(b)$, then there exists $c \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)} h_{+}^{\prime}(c)-f_{+}^{\prime}(c)\right)\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)} h_{-}^{\prime}(c)-f_{-}^{\prime}(c)\right) \leqslant 0
$$

(V6) there exist $c \in(a, b) ; p, q \geqslant 0, p+q=1$ such that

$$
\left[p f_{+}^{\prime}(c)+q f_{-}^{\prime}(c)\right](h(b)-h(a))=\left[p h_{+}^{\prime}(c)+q h_{-}^{\prime}(c)\right](f(b)-f(a))
$$

Proof. $(V 6) \Rightarrow(K U 3+K A 5)$
Let $c \in(a, b), p, q \geqslant 0, p+q=1$ be such that equality (6) holds. If $h(a) \neq h(b)$, then from (6) we receive

$$
p\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)} h_{+}^{\prime}(c)-f_{+}^{\prime}(c)\right)=-q\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)} h_{-}^{\prime}(c)-f_{-}^{\prime}(c)\right)
$$

from where we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
p\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)} h_{+}^{\prime}(c)-f_{+}^{\prime}(c)\right)\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)} h_{-}^{\prime}(c)-f_{-}^{\prime}(c)\right)  \tag{7}\\
=-q\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)} h_{-}^{\prime}(c)-f_{-}^{\prime}(c)\right)^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

If the product

$$
\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)} h_{+}^{\prime}(c)-f_{+}^{\prime}(c)\right)\left(\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)} h_{-}^{\prime}(c)-f_{-}^{\prime}(c)\right) \neq 0
$$

then, in view of the condition $p+q=1$, also the condition $p q \neq 0$ holds. From this, because of (7), inequality (5) results.

Furthermore, by taking $h(x):=x, x \in[a, b]$, in formula (6) we obtain the equality

$$
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}=p f_{+}^{\prime}(c)+q f_{-}^{\prime}(c)
$$

which ends the proof.
$(K U 3+K A 5) \Rightarrow(V 6)$
Let $c \in(a, b)$ be such that inequality (5) holds. Suppose $h(b) \neq h(a)$. If

$$
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)} h_{+}^{\prime}(c)=f_{+}^{\prime}(c)
$$

then we take $p=1, q=0$. If

$$
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)} h_{-}^{\prime}(c)=f_{-}^{\prime}(c)
$$

then we put $p=0, q=1$. Finally, if inequality (5) is strict we can take

$$
p=\frac{s}{s-t} \quad \text { and } \quad q=\frac{t}{t-s}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & :=\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)} h_{-}^{\prime}(c)-f_{-}^{\prime}(c) \\
t & :=\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h(b)-h(a)} h_{+}^{\prime}(c)-f_{+}^{\prime}(c)
\end{aligned}
$$

The case when $h(b)=h(a)$ still left. Then, by assumption (KA5) for the function $h$ we get that there exist $c_{0} \in(a, b)$ and $p_{0}, q_{0} \geqslant 0, p_{0}+q_{0}=1$ such that

$$
p_{0} h_{+}^{\prime}\left(c_{0}\right)+q_{0} h_{-}^{\prime}\left(c_{0}\right)=0
$$

Substituting those values for $c, p, q$, respectively, we obtain equality (6).
Remark 6. It follows from Theorem 5, there exists $c \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\min \left\{f_{-}^{\prime}(c), f_{+}^{\prime}(c)\right\} \leqslant \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} \leqslant \max \left\{f_{-}^{\prime}(c), f_{+}^{\prime}(c)\right\}
$$

In note [9], the following theorem has been proved, which is, in an interesting way, connected with the above inequality.

Theorem 7. Let us assume that $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and it possess the right derivative $f_{+}^{\prime}(x)$ at every point $x \in(a, b)$. Then, there exist $p, q \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{+}^{\prime}(q) \leqslant \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} \leqslant f_{+}^{\prime}(p) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 7. Theorem 7 remains true also in the case of replacing the right derivative of function $f$ with the left derivative of this function.

Remark 8. Theorem 7 has been applied in [10] for characterization of the convex functions defined in the open intervals. In particular, it has been proved that if $f:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function then for every $x \in(a, b)$ we have (see also Remark 10 below):

$$
f_{-}^{\prime}(x) \leqslant f_{+}^{\prime}(x)
$$

It seems that, in particular case, after eliminating an affine functions from the consideration, Theorem 7 can be significantly strengthen. The following theorem holds.

Theorem 8. If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, differentiable in $(a, b)$ and, additionally, it is not an affine function in $[a, b]$ then there exist $p, q \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
f^{\prime}(p)<\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}<f^{\prime}(q)
$$

Proof. Since $f$ is not an affine function, so there exists $c \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(c)-f(a)}{c-a} \neq \frac{f(b)-f(c)}{b-c} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, the Lagrange Mean Value Theorem implies that there exist $p \in$ ( $a, c$ ) and $q \in(c, b)$ such that

$$
\frac{f(c)-f(a)}{c-a}=f^{\prime}(p) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{f(b)-f(c)}{b-c}=f^{\prime}(q)
$$

Taking (9) into account we have $f^{\prime}(p) \neq f^{\prime}(q)$. Without violating the generality of our consideration let us assume that $f^{\prime}(p)<f^{\prime}(q)$. Let $\lambda:=\frac{c-a}{b-a}$. Thus we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} & =\lambda \frac{f(c)-f(a)}{c-a}+(1-\lambda) \frac{f(b)-f(c)}{b-c}= \\
& =\lambda f^{\prime}(p)+(1-\lambda) f^{\prime}(q)<\lambda f^{\prime}(q)+(1-\lambda) f^{\prime}(q)=f^{\prime}(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}>\lambda f^{\prime}(p)+(1-\lambda) f^{\prime}(p)=f^{\prime}(p)
$$

which ends the proof.
Remark 9. There exists $r \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}\right|<f^{\prime}(r)
$$

Remark 10. Let us notice that in general case of the function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $I$ is an interval, like it results from the Sierpiński-Young Theorem (see [8]), each of the following inequalities is true in $I$ (with the exception of at most some countable set):

$$
D_{-} f(x) \leqslant D^{+} f(x)
$$

and

$$
D_{+} f(x) \leqslant D^{-} f(x)
$$

where the Dini derivatives from the function $f$ appear. Dini derivatives are defined as follows

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
D^{+} f\left(x_{0}\right):=\limsup _{x \rightarrow x_{0}^{+}} \frac{f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{x-x_{0}}, & D_{+} f\left(x_{0}\right):=\liminf _{x \rightarrow x_{0}^{+}} \frac{f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{x-x_{0}}, \\
D^{-} f\left(x_{0}\right):=\limsup _{x \rightarrow x_{0}^{-}} \frac{f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{x-x_{0}}, & D_{-} f\left(x_{0}\right):=\liminf _{x \rightarrow x_{0}^{-}} \frac{f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{x-x_{0}} .
\end{array}
$$

D. B. Goodner in paper [2] has generalized Theorems 4-6 for the case of Dini derivatives.

Remark 11. D.H. Trahan in [11] has received the results similar to Kubik's Theorems (Theorems 1, 2, 3), but for the Flett's type Mean Value Theorem and only for derivatives.

Whereas, D.B. Goodner in paper [3] has obtained the Karamata's version of the Flett's Theorem for the one-sided derivatives.
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