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## 1. Introduction

We recall here some concepts of convexity that are well known in the literature.

Let $I$ be an interval in $\mathbb{R}$.
Definition 1 ([38]). We say that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Godunova-Levin function or that $f$ belongs to the class $Q(I)$ if $f$ is non-negative and for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in(0,1)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq \frac{1}{t} f(x)+\frac{1}{1-t} f(y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some further properties of this class of functions can be found in [29], [30], [32], [44], [47] and [48]. Among others, its has been noted that non-negative monotone and non-negative convex functions belong to this class of functions.

Definition 2 ([32]). We say that a function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the class $P(I)$ if it is nonnegative and for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq f(x)+f(y) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously $Q(I)$ contains $P(I)$ and for applications it is important to note that also $P(I)$ contain all nonnegative monotone, convex and quasi convex functions, i. e. nonnegative functions satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq \max \{f(x), f(y)\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in[0,1]$.
For some results on $P$-functions see [32] and [45] while for quasi convex functions, the reader can consult [31].

Definition 3 ([7]). Let $s$ be a real number, $s \in(0,1]$. A function $f$ : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is said to be s-convex (in the second sense) or Breckner $s$-convex if

$$
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq t^{s} f(x)+(1-t)^{s} f(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in[0, \infty)$ and $t \in[0,1]$.
For some properties of this class of functions see [1], [2], [7], [8], [27], [28], [39], [41] and [50].

In order to unify the above concepts for functions of real variable, S. Varošanec introduced the concept of $h$-convex functions as follows.

Assume that $I$ and $J$ are intervals in $\mathbb{R},(0,1) \subseteq J$ and functions $h$ and $f$ are real non-negative functions defined in $J$ and $I$, respectively.

Definition 4 ([53]). Let $h: J \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $h$ not identical to 0 . We say that $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is an $h$-convex function if for all $x, y \in I$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq h(t) f(x)+h(1-t) f(y) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(0,1)$.
For some results concerning this class of functions see [53], [6], [42], [51], [49] and [52].

We can introduce now another class of functions.
Definition 5. We say that the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is of $s$-Godunova-Levin type, with $s \in[0,1]$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq \frac{1}{t^{s}} f(x)+\frac{1}{(1-t)^{s}} f(y) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(0,1)$ and $x, y \in I$.
We observe that for $s=0$ we obtain the class of $P$-functions while for $s=1$ we obtain the class of Godunova-Levin. If we denote by $Q_{s}(I)$ the class of $s$-Godunova-Levin functions defined on $I$, then we obviously have

$$
P(I)=Q_{0}(I) \subseteq Q_{s_{1}}(I) \subseteq Q_{s_{2}}(I) \subseteq Q_{1}(I)=Q(I)
$$

for $0 \leq s_{1} \leq s_{2} \leq 1$.
The following inequality holds for any convex function $f$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(b-a) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)<\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x<(b-a) \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was firstly discovered by Ch. Hermite in 1881 in the journal Mathesis (see [43]). But this result was nowhere mentioned in the mathematical literature and was not widely known as Hermite's result.
E. F. Beckenbach, a leading expert on the history and the theory of convex functions, wrote that this inequality was proven by J. Hadamard in 1893 [5]. In 1974, D. S. Mitrinović found Hermite's note in Mathesis [43]. Since (6) was known as Hadamard's inequality, the inequality is now commonly referred as the Hermite-Hadamard inequality. For related results, see [10]-[19], [22]-[26], [33]-[36] and [46].

The following inequality of Hermite-Hadamard type for $h$-convex function holds [49].

Theorem 1. Assume that the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is an $h$-convex function with $h \in L[0,1]$. Let $y, x \in I$ with $y \neq x$ and assume that the mapping $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto f[(1-t) x+t y]$ is Lebesgue integrable on $[0,1]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(u) d u \leq[f(x)+f(y)] \int_{0}^{1} h(t) d t . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we write (7) for $h(t)=t$, then we get the classical Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(u) d u \leq \frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we write (7) for the case of $P$-type functions $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, i.e., $h(t)=1, t \in[0,1]$, then we get the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(u) d u \leq f(x)+f(y) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

that has been obtained for functions of real variable in [32].
If $f$ is Breckner $s$-convex on $I$, for $s \in(0,1)$, then by taking $h(t)=t^{s}$ in (7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{s-1} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(u) d u \leq \frac{f(x)+f(y)}{s+1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

that was obtained for functions of a real variable in [27].
If $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is of $s$-Godunova-Levin type, with $s \in[0,1)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2^{s+1}} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(u) d u \leq \frac{f(x)+f(y)}{1-s} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We notice that for $s=1$ the first inequality in (11) still holds, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \int_{0}^{1} f[(1-t) x+t y] d t \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case for functions of real variables was obtained for the first time in [32].

## 2. $\varphi$-convex functions

We introduce the following class of $h$-convex functions.
Definition 6. Let $\varphi:(0,1) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ a measurable function. We say that the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a $\varphi$-convex function on the interval $I$ if for all $x, y \in I$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq t \varphi(t) f(x)+(1-t) \varphi(1-t) f(y) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(0,1)$.
If we denote $\ell(t)=t$, the identity function, then it is obvious that $f$ is $h$-convex with $h=\ell \varphi$. Also, all the examples from the introduction can be seen as $\varphi$-convex functions with appropriate choices of $\varphi$.

If we take $\varphi(t)=\frac{1}{t^{s+1}}$ with $s \in[0,1]$, then we get the class of $s$-GodunovaLevin functions. Also, if we put $\varphi(t)=t^{s-1}$ with $s \in(0,1)$, then we get the concept of Breckner $s$-convexity. We notice that for all these examples we have

$$
\varphi_{+}(0):=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \varphi(t)=\infty
$$

The case of convex functions, i.e. when $\varphi(t)=1$ is the only example from above for which $\varphi_{+}(0)$ is finite, namely $\varphi_{+}(0)=1$.

Consider the family of functions, for $p>1$ and $k>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(p, k):[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}, \quad \delta(p, k)(t)=k(1-t)^{p}+1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that $\delta_{+}(p, k)(0)=\delta(p, k)(0)=k+1, \delta(p, k)$ is strictly decreasing on $[0,1]$ and $\delta(p, k)(t) \geq \delta(p, k)(1)=1$.

Definition 7. We say that the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a $\delta(p, k)$-convex function on the interval $I$ if for all $x, y \in I$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq t\left[k(1-t)^{p}+1\right] f(x)+(1-t)\left(k t^{p}+1\right) f(y) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(0,1)$.
It is obvious that any nonnegative convex function is a $\delta^{(p, k)}$-convex function for any $p>1$ and $k>0$.

For $m>0$ we consider the family of functions

$$
\eta(m):[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}, \quad \eta(m)(t):=\exp [m(1-t)]
$$

We observe that $\eta_{+}(m)(0)=\eta(m)(0)=\exp (m), \eta(m)$ is strictly decreasing on $[0,1]$ and $\eta(m)(t) \geq \eta(m)(1)=1$.

Definition 8. We say that the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a $\eta(m)$-convex function on the interval $I$ if for all $x, y \in I$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq t \exp [m(1-t)] f(x)+(1-t) \exp (m t) f(y) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(0,1)$.
It is obvious that any nonnegative convex function is a $\eta(m)$-convex function for any $m>0$.

There are many other examples one can consider. In fact any continuos function $\varphi:[0,1] \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ can generate a class of $\varphi$-convex function that contains the class of nonnegative convex functions.

Utilising Theorem 1 we can state the following result.
Theorem 2. Assume that the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a $\varphi$-convex function with $\ell \varphi \in L[0,1]$. Let $y, x \in I$ with $y \neq x$ and assume that the mapping $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto f[(1-t) x+t y]$ is Lebesgue integrable on $[0,1]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(u) d u \leq[f(x)+f(y)] \int_{0}^{1} t \varphi(t) d t \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof follows from (7) by taking $h(t)=t \varphi(t), t \in(0,1)$.
Remark 1. We notice that, since $\int_{0}^{1} t \varphi(t) d t$ can be seen as the expectation of a random variable $X$ with the density function $\varphi$, the inequality (17) provides a connection to Probability Theory and motivates the introduction of $\varphi$-convex function as a natural concept, having available many examples of density functions $\varphi$ that arise in applications.

For different inequalities related to these classes of functions, see [1]-[4], [6], [9]-[37], [40]-[42] and [45]-[52].

A function $h: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be supermultiplicative if

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t s) \geq h(t) h(s) \text { for any } t, s \in J \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the inequality (18) is reversed, then $h$ is said to be submultiplicative. If the equality holds in (18) then $h$ is said to be a multiplicative function on $J$.

In [53] it has been noted that if $h:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $h(t)=$ $(x+c)^{p-1}$, then for $c=0$ the function $h$ is multiplicative. If $c \geq 1$, then for $p \in(0,1)$ the function $h$ is supermultiplicative and for $p>1$ the function is submultiplicative.

We observe that, if $h, g$ are nonnegative and supermultiplicative, the same is their product. In particular, if $h$ is supermultiplicative then its product with a power function $\ell_{r}(t)=t^{r}$ is also supermultiplicative.

The case of $h$-convex function with $h$ supermultiplicative is of interest due to several Jensen type inequalities one can derive.

The following results were obtained in [53] for functions of a real variable.

Theorem 3. Let $h: J \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a supermultiplicative function on $J$. If the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is $h$-convex on the interval $I$, then for any $w_{i} \geq 0, x_{i} \in I, i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, n \geq 2$ with $W_{n}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(\frac{w_{i}}{W_{n}}\right) f\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have the unweighted inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) \leq h\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be a power series with complex coefficients and convergent on the open disk $D(0, R) \subset \mathbb{C}, R>0$. We have the following examples

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} z^{n}=\ln \frac{1}{1-z}, \quad z \in D(0,1)  \tag{21}\\
& h(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n)!} z^{2 n}=\cosh z, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \\
& h(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n+1)!} z^{2 n+1}=\sinh z, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \\
& h(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n}=\frac{1}{1-z}, \quad z \in D(0,1)
\end{align*}
$$

Other important examples of functions as power series representations with nonnegative coefficients are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} z^{n}=\exp (z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}  \tag{22}\\
& h(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 n-1} z^{2 n-1}=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right), \quad z \in D(0,1) \\
& h(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}(2 n+1) n!} z^{2 n+1}=\sin ^{-1}(z), \quad z \in D(0,1)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 n-1} z^{2 n-1}=\tanh ^{-1}(z), \quad z \in D(0,1) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
h(z)={ }_{2} F_{1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha) \Gamma(n+\beta) \Gamma(\gamma)}{n!\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(n+\gamma)} \\
z^{n}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma>0, \quad z \in D(0,1)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\Gamma$ is Gamma function.
The following result may provide many examples of supemultiplicative functions.

Lemma 1. Let $h(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be a power series with complex coefficients and convergent on the open disk $D(0, R) \subset \mathbb{C}, R>0$. Assume that $0<r<R$ and define $h_{r}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty), h_{r}(t):=\frac{h(r t)}{h(r)}$. Then $h_{r}$ is supemultiplicative on $[0,1]$.

Proof. We use the Čebyšev inequality for synchronous (the same monotonicity) sequences $\left(c_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}},\left(b_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and nonnegative weights $\left(p_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n} p_{i} \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_{i} c_{i} b_{i} \geq \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_{i} c_{i} \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_{i} b_{i} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Let $t, s \in(0,1)$ and define the sequences $c_{i}:=t^{i}, b_{i}:=s^{i} .$. These sequences are decreasing and if we apply Čebyšev's inequality for these sequences and the weights $p_{i}:=a_{i} r^{i} \geq 0$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} r^{i} \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i}(r t s)^{i} \geq \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i}(r t)^{i} \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i}(r s)^{i} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Since the series

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} r^{i}, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i}(r t s)^{i}, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i}(r t)^{i} \text { and } \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i}(r s)^{i}
$$

are convergent, then by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (25) we get

$$
h(r) h(r t s) \geq h(r t) h(r s)
$$

i.e.

$$
h_{r}(t s) \geq h_{r}(t) h_{r}(s) .
$$

This inequality is also obviously satisfied at the end points of the interval $[0,1]$ and the proof is completed.

Remark 2. Utilising the above theorem, we then conclude that the functions

$$
h_{r}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty), \quad h_{r}(t):=\frac{1-r}{1-r t}, \quad r \in(0,1)
$$

and

$$
h_{r}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty), \quad h_{r}(t):=\exp [-r(1-t)], \quad r>0
$$

are supermultiplicative.
We say that the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is $r$-resolvent convex with $r$ fixed in $(0,1)$, if $f$ is $h$-convex with $h(t)=\frac{1-r}{1-r t}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq(1-r)\left[\frac{1}{1-r t} f(x)+\frac{1}{1-r+r t} f(y)\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x, y \in I$ and $t \in[0,1]$.
In particular, for $r=\frac{1}{2}$ we have $\frac{1}{2}$-resolvent convex functions defined by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq \frac{1}{2-t} f(x)+\frac{1}{1+t} f(y) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in[0,1]$ and $x, y \in I$.
Since

$$
t<\frac{1}{2-t}<\frac{1}{t} \text { and } 1-t<\frac{1}{1+t}<\frac{1}{1-t} \text { for } t \in(0,1)
$$

it follows that any nonnegative convex function is $\frac{1}{2}$-resolvent convex which, in its turn, is of Godunova-Levin type.

We say that the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is $r$-exponential convex with $r$ fixed in $(0, \infty)$, if $f$ is $h$-convex with $h(t)=\exp [-r(1-t)]$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq \exp [-r(1-t)] f(x)+\exp (-r t) f(y) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in[0,1]$ and $x, y \in C$.
Since

$$
t \leq \exp [-r(1-t)] \text { and } 1-t \leq \exp (-r t) \text { for } t \in[0,1]
$$

it follows that any nonnegative convex function is $r$-exponential convex with $r \in(0, \infty)$.

Corollary 1. Let $h(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be a power series with complex coefficients and convergent on the open disk $D(0, R) \subset \mathbb{C}, R>0$. Assume that $0<r<R$ and define $h_{r}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty), h_{r}(t):=\frac{h(r t)}{h(r)}$. If the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is $h_{r}$-convex on the on the interval $I$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq \frac{1}{h(r)}[h(r t) f(x)+h(r(1-t)) f(y)] \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in[0,1]$ and $x, y \in I$, then for any $x_{i} \in I, w_{i} \geq 0, i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ with $W_{n}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{h(r)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(r \frac{w_{i}}{W_{n}}\right) f\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3. If the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is $\frac{1}{2}$-resolvent convex on $I$, then for any $x_{i} \in I, w_{i} \geq 0, i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, n \geq 2$ with $W_{n}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}>0$ we have

$$
f\left(\frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right) \leq W_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2 W_{n}-w_{i}} f\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

If the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is $r$-exponential convex with $r$ fixed in $(0, \infty)$, then for any $x_{i} \in I, w_{i} \geq 0, i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, n \geq 2$ with $W_{n}:=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}>0$ we have

$$
f\left(\frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \exp \left[-r\left(1-\frac{w_{i}}{W_{n}}\right)\right] f\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

We have the following Jensen type inequality for $\varphi$-convex functions.
Corollary 2. Let $\varphi: J \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a supermultiplicative function on $J$. If the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is $\varphi$-convex on the interval $I$, then for any $w_{i} \geq 0, x_{i} \in I, i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, n \geq 2$ with $W_{n}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \varphi\left(\frac{w_{i}}{W_{n}}\right) f\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have the unweighted inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof follows by Theorem 3 for the supermultiplicative function $h(t)=t \varphi(t), t \in J$.

The inequality (31) will be used further to obtain an integral Jensen type inequality.

## 3. Some results for differentiable functions

If we assume that the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is differentiable on the interior of $I$, denoted by $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$, then we have the following "gradient inequality" that will play an essential role in the following.

Lemma 2. Let $\varphi:(0,1) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a measurable function and such that the right limit $\varphi_{+}(0)$ exists and is finite, the left limit $\varphi_{-}(1)=1$ and the left derivative in 1 denoted $\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)$ exists and is finite. If the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is differentiable on $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$ and $\varphi$-convex, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{+}(0) f(x)-\left[\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)+1\right] f(y) \geq f^{\prime}(y)(x-y) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x, y \in \stackrel{\circ}{I}$ with $x \neq y$.
Proof. Since $f$ is $\varphi$-convex on $I$, then

$$
t \varphi(t) f(x)+(1-t) \varphi(1-t) f(y) \geq f(t x+(1-t) y)
$$

for any $t \in(0,1)$ and for any $x, y \in \stackrel{\circ}{I}$, which is equivalent to

$$
t \varphi(t) f(x)+[(1-t) \varphi(1-t)-1] f(y) \geq f(t x+(1-t) y)-f(y)
$$

and by dividing by $t>0$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t) f(x)+\left[\frac{(1-t) \varphi(1-t)-1}{t}\right] f(y) \geq \frac{f(t x+(1-t) y)-f(y)}{t} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in(0,1)$.
Now, since $f$ is differentiable on $y \in \stackrel{\circ}{I}$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} & \frac{f(t x+(1-t) y)-f(y)}{t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(y+t(x-y))-f(y)}{t}  \tag{35}\\
& =(x-y) \lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(y+t(x-y))-f(y)}{t(x-y)}=(x-y) f^{\prime}(y)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in \stackrel{\circ}{I}$ with $x \neq y$.
Also since $\varphi_{-}(1)=1$ and $\varphi_{-}^{\prime}$ (1) exists and is finite, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{(1-t) \varphi(1-t)-1}{t} & =\lim _{s \rightarrow 1-} \frac{s \varphi(s)-1}{1-s}=-\lim _{s \rightarrow 1-} \frac{s \varphi(s)-1}{s-1}  \tag{36}\\
& =-\lim _{s \rightarrow 1-} \frac{s(\varphi(s)-\varphi(1))+s-1}{s-1} \\
& =-\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)-1
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the limit over $t \rightarrow 0+$ in (34) and utilizing (35) and (36) we get the desired result (33).

Remark 4. If we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{+}(0) \geq \varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)+1 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the inequality (33) also holds for $x=y$.
There are numerous examples of such functions, for instance, if, as above we take $\varphi(t)=k(1-t)^{p}+1, t \in[0,1](p>1, k>0)$ then $\varphi_{+}(0)=k+1$, $\varphi_{-}(1)=1$ and $\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)=0$, which satisfy the condition (37).

If we take $\varphi(t)=\exp [m(1-t)](m>0)$, then $\varphi_{+}(0)=\exp m, \varphi_{-}(1)=$ 1 and $\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)=-m$. We have

$$
\varphi_{+}(0)-\varphi_{-}(1)-\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)=e^{m}-1+m>0
$$

for $m>0$.
The following result holds:
Theorem 4. Let $\varphi:(0,1) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ a measurable function and such that the right limit $\varphi_{+}(0)$ exists and is finite, the left limit $\varphi_{-}(1)=1$ and the left derivative in 1 denoted $\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)$ exists and is finite. Assume also that $\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)>-1$. If the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is differentiable on $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$ and $\varphi$-convex, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\varphi_{+}(0)}{\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)+1} \frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2} & \geq \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(u) d u  \tag{38}\\
& \geq \frac{\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)+1}{\varphi_{+}(0)} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x, y \in I$.
Remark 5. It has been shown in [25] that the inequalities (17) and (38) are not comparable, meaning that some time one is better then the other, depending on the $\varphi$-convex function involved.

The following discrete Jensen type inequality holds:
Theorem 5. Let $\varphi:(0,1) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a measurable function and such that the right limit $\varphi_{+}(0)$ exists and is finite, the left limit $\varphi_{-}(1)=1$ and the left derivative in 1 denoted $\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)$ exists and is finite. Assume also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{+}(0) \geq \varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)+1>0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is differentiable on $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$ and $\varphi$-convex, then for any $w_{i} \geq 0, x_{i} \in \stackrel{\circ}{I}, i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, n \geq 2$ with $W_{n}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi_{+}(0)}{\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)+1} \frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} f\left(x_{j}\right) \geq f\left(\frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} \neq x_{j}$ for any $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then the first condition in (39) can be dropped.

Proof. From (33) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{+}(0) f\left(x_{j}\right) & -\left[\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)+1\right] f\left(\frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right)  \tag{41}\\
& \geq f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}-\frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
If we multiply (41) by $w_{i} \geq 0$ and sum over $j$ from 1 to $n$ we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\varphi_{+}(0) \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} f\left(x_{j}\right)-\left[\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)+1\right] \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} f\left(\frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right) \\
\geq f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}\left(x_{j}-\frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right)=0
\end{array}
$$

which proves the desired result (40).

## 4. Integral inequalities

We have the following Jensen inequality for the Riemann integral:
Theorem 6. Let $u:[a, b] \rightarrow[m, M]$ be a Riemann integrable function. Suppose that $\varphi: J \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a supermultiplicative function on $J$ and the function $f:[m, M] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is $\varphi$-convex and continuous on the interval $[m, M]$. If the right limit $\varphi_{+}(0)$ exists and is finite, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} u(t) d t\right) \leq \varphi_{+}(0) \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(u(t)) d t \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider the sequence of divisions

$$
d_{n}: x_{i}^{(n)}=a+\frac{i}{n}(b-a), i \in\{0, \ldots, n\}
$$

and the intermediate points

$$
\xi_{i}^{(n)}=a+\frac{i}{n}(b-a), i \in\{0, \ldots, n\}
$$

We observe that the norm of the division $\Delta_{n}:=\max _{i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}}\left(x_{i+1}^{(n)}-\right.$ $\left.x_{i}^{(n)}\right)=\frac{b-a}{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and since $u$ is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} u(t) d t & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u\left(\xi_{i}^{(n)}\right)\left[x_{i+1}^{(n)}-x_{i}^{(n)}\right] \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b-a}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u\left(a+\frac{i}{n}(b-a)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, since $f:[m, M] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is Riemann integrable, then $f \circ u$ is Riemann integrable and

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(u(t)) d t=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b-a}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f\left[u\left(a+\frac{i}{n}(b-a)\right)\right]
$$

Utilising the inequality (31) for $w_{i}:=\frac{b-a}{n}$ and $x_{i}:=u\left(a+\frac{i}{n}(b-a)\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(\frac{1}{b-a}\right. & \left.\frac{b-a}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u\left(a+\frac{i}{n}(b-a)\right)\right)  \tag{43}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \frac{b-a}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) f\left(u\left(a+\frac{i}{n}(b-a)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{b-a} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{b-a}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f\left(u\left(a+\frac{i}{n}(b-a)\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $n \geq 1$.
Since $f$ is continuous, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \frac{b-a}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u\left(a+\frac{i}{n}(b-a)\right)\right)=f\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} u(t) d t\right)
$$

Also

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)=\varphi_{+}(0)<\infty
$$

Therefore, taking the limit over $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the inequality (43) we deduce the desired result (42).

We have the following Hermite-Hadamard type inequality:
Corollary 3. Suppose that $\varphi: J \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a supermultiplicative function on $J$ and the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is $\varphi$-convex and continuous on the interval I. If the right limit $\varphi_{+}(0)$ exists and is finite with $\varphi_{+}(0)>0$, then for any $x, y \in I$ with $x \neq y$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\varphi_{+}(0)} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(u(t)) d t \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 6. If the function $f:[m, M] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a $\delta(p, k)$-convex and continuous function on the interval $[m, M](p>1$ and $k>0$, see

Definition 7) then for any $u:[a, b] \rightarrow[m, M]$ a Riemann integrable function on $[a, b]$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{k+1} f\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} u(t) d t\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(u(t)) d t \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the function $f:[m, M] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a $\eta(s)$-convex and continuous function on the interval $[m, M](s>0$, see Definition 8$)$ then for any $u$ : $[a, b] \rightarrow[m, M]$ a Riemann integrable function on $[a, b]$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{e^{s}} f\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} u(t) d t\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(u(t)) d t \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measurable space consisting of a set $\Omega$, a $\sigma$ - algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of parts of $\Omega$ and a countably additive and positive measure $\mu$ on $\mathcal{A}$ with values in $\mathbb{R} \cup\{\infty\}$. For a $\mu$-measurable function $w: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $w(x) \geq 0$ for $\mu$ - a.e.(almost every) $x \in \Omega$, consider the Lebesgue space $L_{w}(\Omega, \mu):=\left\{f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f\right.$ is $\mu$-measurable and $\left.\int_{\Omega} w(x)|f(x)| d \mu(x)<\infty\right\}$.

For simplicity of notation we write everywhere in the sequel $\int_{\Omega} w d \mu$ instead of $\int_{\Omega} w(x) d \mu(x)$.

Theorem 7. Let $\varphi:(0,1) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a measurable function and such that the right limit $\varphi_{+}(0)$ exists and is finite, the left limit $\varphi_{-}(1)=1$ and the left derivative in 1 denoted $\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)$ exists and is finite. Assume also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{+}(0) \geq \varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)+1>0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the function $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is differentiable on $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$ and $\varphi$-convex, then for any $u: \Omega \rightarrow[m, M] \subset \stackrel{\circ}{I}$ so that $f \circ u, u \in L_{w}(\Omega, \mu)$, where $w \geq 0 \mu$-a.e. (almost everywhere) on $\Omega$ with $\int_{\Omega} w d \mu=1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi_{+}(0)}{\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)+1} \int_{\Omega} w(f \circ u) d \mu \geq f\left(\int_{\Omega} w u d \mu\right) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\int_{\Omega} w u d \mu \neq u(x)$ for $\mu$-a.e. $x \in \Omega$, then we can drop the first condition in (47).

Proof. From (33) and since $\int_{\Omega} w u d \mu \in[m, M] \subset \AA$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{+}(0) f & (u(x))-\left[\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)+1\right] f\left(\int_{\Omega} w u d \mu\right)  \tag{49}\\
& \geq f^{\prime}\left(\int_{\Omega} w u d \mu\right)\left(u(x)-\int_{\Omega} w u d \mu\right), \text { for any } x \in \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

If we multiply (49) by $w \geq 0 \mu$-a.e. on $\Omega$ and integrate over the positive measure $\mu$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{+}(0) \int_{\Omega} w(x) f(u(x)) d \mu(x)-\left[\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(1)+1\right] f\left(\int_{\Omega} w u d \mu\right) \int_{\Omega} w(x) d \mu(x) \\
& \geq f^{\prime}\left(\int_{\Omega} w u d \mu\right) \int_{\Omega} w(x)\left(u(x)-\int_{\Omega} w u d \mu\right) d \mu(x)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which produces the desired result (48).
Remark 7. If the function $f:[m, M] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a $\delta(p, k)$-convex and continuous function on the interval $[m, M]$, then for any $u: \Omega \rightarrow[m, M] \subset$ $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$ so that $f \circ u, u \in L_{w}(\Omega, \mu)$, where $w \geq 0 \mu$-a.e. on $\Omega$ with $\int_{\Omega} w d \mu=1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} w(f \circ u) d \mu \geq \frac{1}{k+1} f\left(\int_{\Omega} w u d \mu\right) . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the function $f:[m, M] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a $\eta(s)$-convex and continuous function on the interval $[m, M]$ then for any $u: \Omega \rightarrow[m, M] \subset \stackrel{\circ}{I}$ so that $f \circ u, u \in L_{w}(\Omega, \mu)$, where $w \geq 0 \mu$-a.e. on $\Omega$ with $\int_{\Omega} w d \mu=1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} w(f \circ u) d \mu \geq \frac{1}{e^{s}} f\left(\int_{\Omega} w u d \mu\right) . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

These results generalize the inequalities (45) and (46).
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