\$ sciendo

Nr 60

2018 DOI:10.1515/fascmath-2018-0006

Włodzimierz Łenski and Bogdan Szal

POINTWISE CONVERGENCE OF FOURIER-LAGUERRE SERIES OF INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

ABSTRACT. We extend and improve the some results of Xh. Z. Krasniqi [Int. J. of Anal. and Appl. Vol. 1, 33-39 (2013)], M. L. Mittal and M. V. Singh [Operators, Int. J. of Analysis, Vol. 2015, Article ID 478345, 4 pages] and from many other papers on summability of Fourier-Laguerre series to strong summability proving the estimate of the deviation of the partial sums from considered functions. There also is a remark on summability methods used in cited papers.

KEY WORDS: rate of approximation, summability of Fourier -Laguerre series.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 42A24.

1. Introduction

Let L be the class of all real–valued functions, integrable in the Lebesgue sense over \mathbb{R}^+ with the norm

$$||f|| = ||f(\cdot)|| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |f(t)| dt$$

and consider the Fourier-Laguerre series

$$S^{(\alpha)}f\left(x\right) := \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu}^{(\alpha)}(f) L_{\nu}^{(\alpha)}\left(x\right), \text{ with } \alpha > -1,$$

where

$$L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{x^{-\alpha}e^{x}}{n!} \frac{d^{n}}{dx^{n}} \left(x^{n+\alpha}e^{-x}\right) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{\nu}}{\nu!} \binom{n+\alpha}{n-\nu} x^{\nu}$$

and

$$a_{\nu}^{(\alpha)}(f) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\alpha+1\right)\binom{n+\alpha}{n}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y} y^{\alpha} L_{\nu}^{(\alpha)}\left(y\right) f\left(y\right) dy.$$

Let $A := (a_{n,k})$ and $B := (b_{n,k})$ be infinite lower triangular matrices of real numbers such that

$$a_{n,k} \ge 0$$
 and $b_{n,k} \ge 0$ when $k = 0, 1, 2, ..., n$
 $a_{n,k} = 0$ and $b_{n,k} = 0$ when $k > n$,
 $\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n,k} = 1$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n,k} = 1$, where $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$

Let define the general linear operator by the AB-transformation of partial sums

$$S_{n}^{(\alpha)}f(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu}^{(\alpha)}(f) L_{\nu}^{(\alpha)}(x)$$

as follows

$$T_{n,A,B}^{(\alpha)}f(x) := \sum_{r=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{r} a_{n,r} b_{r,k} S_{k}^{(\alpha)} f(x)$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

The deviation $T_{n,A,B}^{(\alpha)}f(0) - f(0)$ was estimated in the papers [2] and [3] as follows:

Theorem. Let $f \in L$, $\delta > 0$, $\alpha \in (-1, -\frac{1}{2})$ and ω be a positive increasing function such that $\omega(n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and satisfy the conditions

(1)
$$\frac{u^{-(\alpha+1)}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_0^u e^{-t} t^\alpha \left| \Delta_0 f(t) \right| dt = o\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)\right)$$

as $u \to 0$,

(2)
$$\frac{n^{(2\alpha+1)/4}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{\delta}^{n} e^{-\frac{t}{2}} t^{\frac{2\alpha-3}{4}} \left| \Delta_0 f(t) \right| dt = o\left(\omega\left(n\right)\right)$$

as $n \to \infty$ and

(3)
$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{n}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{t}{2}} t^{\alpha-\frac{1}{3}} \left| \Delta_0 f(t) \right| dt = o\left(\omega\left(n\right)\right)$$

as $n \to \infty$, where $\Delta_0 f(t) = f(t) - f(0)$. If matrices A and B are such that for q > 0

$$a_{n,k} \ge 0 \quad and \quad b_{n,k} = \frac{\binom{n}{k}q^k}{(1+q)^n} \quad when \quad 0 \le k \le n,$$

$$a_{n,k} = 0 \quad and \quad b_{n,k} = 0 \quad when \quad k > n,$$

in [3] or in special case

$$a_{n,k} = \frac{1}{n+1} \quad and \quad b_{n,k} = \frac{\binom{n}{k}q^k}{(1+q)^n} \quad when \quad 0 \le k \le n,$$

$$a_{n,k} = 0 \quad and \quad b_{n,k} = 0 \quad when \quad k > n,$$

in [2], then

$$\left|T_{n,A,B}^{(\alpha)}\left(0\right) - f\left(0\right)\right| = o\left(\omega\left(n\right)\right).$$

In this paper, we will study the upper bound of the quantity $\left|S_k^{(\alpha)}f(0) - f(0)\right|$ by a positive function ω such that: $\omega(n) \to \infty$ for $n \to \infty$. The following strong means

$$H_{n,A,B}^{s,\alpha}f(x) := \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{r} a_{n,r} b_{r,k} \left| S_{k}^{(\alpha)} f(x) - f(x) \right|^{s} \right\}^{1/s}.$$

for n = 0, 1, 2, ... and s > 0 generated by wide family of matrices A and B will also be considered.

From our generalizations we derive some corollaries. Finally we also prove a remark which fulfile the gap in the proofs of mentioned Theorem as well in cited papers [1], [4] and [5].

2. Statement of the results

At the beginning we will present the estimate of the quantity $|S_n^{(\alpha)}f(0) - f(0)|$. Finally, we will formulate some corollaries and remark.

Theorem 1. Let $f \in L$, $\delta > 0$, $\alpha \in (-1, -\frac{1}{2})$ and ω be a positive nondecreasing function such that $\omega(n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. If ω satisfies the conditions (1), (2), (3), then

$$S_n^{(\alpha)}f(0) - f(0) \Big| = o(\omega(n)) \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

Theorem 2. Let $f \in L$, $\alpha \in (-1, -\frac{1}{2})$ and ω be a positive function such that $\omega(n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. If ω satisfies the conditions (1), (3) and

(4)
$$\frac{n^{(2\alpha+1)/4}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{1/n}^{n} e^{-\frac{t}{2}} t^{\frac{2\alpha-3}{4}} |\Delta_0 f(t)| dt = o(\omega(n))$$

as $n \to \infty$, then

$$\left|S_{n}^{(\alpha)}f\left(0\right)-f\left(0\right)\right|=o\left(\omega\left(n\right)\right)\quad as\quad n\to\infty.$$

Corollary 1. We can observe that the matrices A and B considered by Xh. Z. Krasniqi or M. L. Mittal and M. V. Singh in Theorem can be changed by any infinite lower triangular matrices with nonnegative entries and since, for $s \geq 1$,

$$\left| T_{n,A,B}^{(\alpha)} f(0) - f(0) \right| \leq H_{n,A,B}^{s,\alpha} f(0) \\ \leq \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq n} \left| S_{\nu}^{(\alpha)} f(0) - f(0) \right| = o(\omega(n))$$

Theorem 1 reduces to the results from [2], [3] and many other papers.

Corollary 2. Under the assumption of Theorem 2 we have the relation

$$H_{n,A,B}^{s,\alpha}f(0) = o(1) \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{r} a_{n,r} b_{r,k} \left[\omega(k) \right]^{s} \right\}^{1/s}$$

for s > 0 and for not necessary monotonic function ω .

Remark 1. We note that in the proofs of the Theorem cited above from [2], [3] and theorems from many other papers (see e.g. [1], [4], [5]) there is used the following property

$$\sum_{s=0}^{r} c_{r,s} \, (s+1)^{\beta} = O\left((r+1)^{\beta}\right),\,$$

with $\beta > 0$, but it should be used for $\beta > -1$. Our Lemma 3 shows that this property also holds when $\beta > -1$ for sequences $(c_{r,s})$ generating the Euler or Cesàro methods.

3. Auxiliary results

We begin this section by some notations from [6]. We have.

$$L_{k}^{(\alpha+1)}(y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{k} L_{\nu}^{(\alpha)}(y), \quad L_{\nu}^{(\alpha)}(0) = \binom{\nu+\alpha}{\nu}$$

and therefore

$$S_{k}^{(\alpha)}f(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y} y^{\alpha} L_{\nu}^{(\alpha+1)}(y) f(y) \, dy.$$

Hence, by evidence equality

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-y} y^\alpha L_\nu^{(\alpha+1)}(y) \, dy = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \nu = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \nu \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$S_{k}^{(\alpha)}f(0) - f(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y} y^{\alpha} L_{\nu}^{(\alpha+1)}(y) \,\Delta_{0}f(y) \,dy.$$

Next, we present the known estimates:

Lemma 1 ([6], p. 172). Let α be an arbitrary real number, c and δ be fixed positive constants. Then

$$\left|L_{n}^{(\alpha)}\left(x\right)\right| = \begin{cases} O\left(n^{\alpha}\right) & \text{if } 0 \le x \le \frac{c}{n}, \\ O\left(x^{-(2\alpha+1)/4}n^{(2\alpha-1)/4}\right) & \text{if } \frac{c}{n} \le x \le \delta. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2 ([6], p. 235). Let α and λ be arbitrary real numbers, $\delta > 0$ and $0 < \eta < 4$. Then

$$\max_{x} e^{-x/2} x^{\lambda} \left| L_{n}^{(\alpha)} \left(x \right) \right| = \begin{cases} O\left(n^{\max\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right)} \right) & \text{if } \delta \leq x \leq \left(4 - \eta\right) n, \\ O\left(n^{\max\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{3}, \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right)} \right) & \text{if } x \geq \delta. \end{cases}$$

We will need additionally the following estimates:

Lemma 3. Let $\beta > -1$. If q > 0, then

$$\frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^k \left(1+k\right)^\beta \le \left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right) (1+n)^\beta$$

and if $\gamma > -1$, then

$$\frac{1}{A_n^{(\gamma)}} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{(\gamma-1)} \left(1+k\right)^\beta = O\left((1+n)^\beta\right).$$

Proof. Since

$$\frac{(1+q)^{n+1}}{n+1} = \int_{-1}^{q} (1+z)^n dz \ge \int_{0}^{q} (1+z)^n dz$$
$$= \int_{0}^{q} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} z^k dz = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{q^{k+1}}{k+1},$$

therefore

$$\frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^k (1+k)^\beta = \frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^k \frac{(1+k)^{\beta+1}}{1+k}$$
$$\leq \frac{(1+n)^{\beta+1}}{q (1+q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{k+1} \frac{1}{1+k}$$
$$\leq \frac{(1+n)^{\beta+1}}{q (1+q)^n} \frac{(1+q)^{n+1}}{n+1} = \left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right) (1+n)^\beta$$

and our first result is evident.

For the second one we know follow by A. Zygmund [7, Vol. I, (1.15) and Theorem 1.17] that

$$A_n^{(\gamma)} = \binom{n+\gamma}{n} \simeq O\left((n+1)^{\gamma}\right)$$

is positive for $\gamma > -1$. Moreover, $A_n^{(\gamma)}$ is increasing (as a function of n) for $\gamma > 0$ and decreasing for $-1 < \gamma < 0$. Hence, for $\beta < 0$,

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{A_n^{(\gamma)}} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{(\gamma-1)} \left(1+k\right)^{\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{A_n^{(\gamma)}} \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]-1} A_{n-k}^{(\gamma-1)} \left(1+k\right)^{\beta} + \frac{1}{A_n^{(\gamma)}} \sum_{k=[n/2]}^n A_{n-k}^{(\gamma-1)} \left(1+k\right)^{\beta} \\ &= O\left(\frac{(n+1)^{\gamma-1}}{(n+1)^{\gamma}}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]-1} \left(1+k\right)^{\beta} + O\left((1+n)^{\beta}\right) \frac{1}{A_n^{(\gamma)}} \sum_{k=[n/2]}^n A_{n-k}^{(\gamma-1)} \\ &\leq O\left((n+1)^{-1}\right) \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1+k\right)^{\beta} \int_k^{k+1} dz + O\left((1+n)^{\beta}\right) \frac{1}{A_n^{(\gamma)}} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{(\gamma-1)} \\ &\leq O\left((n+1)^{-1}\right) \sum_{k=0}^n \int_k^{k+1} z^{\beta} dz + O\left((1+n)^{\beta}\right) \\ &= O\left((n+1)^{-1}\right) \int_0^{n+1} z^{\beta} dz + O\left((1+n)^{\beta}\right) \\ &= O\left((n+1)^{-1}\right) \frac{(n+1)^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + O\left((1+n)^{\beta}\right) = O\left((1+n)^{\beta}\right). \end{split}$$

If $\beta \geq 0$, then the result is evident. Thus our proof is complete.

4. Proofs of theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. It is clear that

$$S_n^{(\alpha)} f(0) - f(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-y} y^\alpha L_n^{(\alpha+1)}(y) \,\Delta_0 f(y) \,dy$$
$$= \left(\int_0^{1/n} + \int_{1/n}^\delta + \int_\delta^n + \int_n^\infty \right) = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4,$$

then

$$\left| S_n^{(\alpha)} f(0) - f(0) \right| \le |J_1| + |J_2| + |J_3| + |J_4|$$

and by Lemma 1 and (1)

$$|J_1| = \frac{O\left(n^{\alpha+1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha+1\right)} \int_0^{1/n} e^{-y} y^{\alpha} \left|\Delta_0 f\left(y\right)\right| dy = o\left(\omega\left(n\right)\right).$$

Next, by Lemma 1 and integrating by parts with $\alpha \in (-1, -\frac{1}{2})$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} |J_2| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{1/n}^{\delta} e^{-y} y^{\alpha} |\Delta_0 f(y)| \left| L_n^{(\alpha+1)}(y) \right| dy \\ &= \frac{O\left(n^{(2\alpha+1)/4}\right)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{1/n}^{\delta} y^{-(2\alpha+3)/4} \frac{d}{dy} \left(\int_0^y e^{-t} t^{\alpha} |\Delta_0 f(t)| dt \right) dt \right) dy \\ &= \frac{O\left(n^{(2\alpha+1)/4}\right)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left\{ \left[y^{-(2\alpha+3)/4} \left(\int_0^y e^{-t} t^{\alpha} |\Delta_0 f(t)| dt \right) \right]_{1/n}^{\delta} \right. \\ &+ \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{2\alpha+3}{4} y^{-(2\alpha+7)/4} \left(\int_0^y e^{-t} t^{\alpha} |\Delta_0 f(t)| dt \right) dy \right\} \\ &= \frac{O\left(n^{(2\alpha+1)/4}\right)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left\{ \delta^{-(2\alpha+3)/4} \left(\int_0^\delta e^{-t} t^{\alpha} |\Delta_0 f(t)| dt \right) \right. \\ &+ \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{2\alpha+3}{4} y^{-(2\alpha+7)/4} \left(\int_0^y e^{-t} t^{\alpha} |\Delta_0 f(t)| dt \right) dy \right\} \\ &= \frac{O\left(n^{(2\alpha+1)/4}\right)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left\{ \delta^{-(2\alpha+3)/4} \left(\int_0^\delta e^{-t} t^{\alpha} |\Delta_0 f(t)| dt \right) dy \right\} \\ &= \frac{O\left(n^{(2\alpha+1)/4}\right)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left\{ \delta^{-(2\alpha+3)/4} \left(\int_0^\delta e^{-t} t^{\alpha} |\Delta_0 f(t)| dt \right) dy \right\} \\ &= \frac{O\left(n^{(2\alpha+1)/4}\right)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left\{ \delta^{-(2\alpha+3)/4} \left(\int_0^\delta e^{-t} t^{\alpha} |\Delta_0 f(t)| dt \right) dy \right\} . \end{split}$$

Using (1) and the monotonicity of ω we get

$$\begin{aligned} |J_2| &\leq O\left(n^{(2\alpha+1)/4}\right) \left\{ \delta^{(2\alpha+1)/4} o\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right) \\ &+ \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \left(\frac{2\alpha+3}{4} y^{-(2\alpha+7)/4}\right) y^{\alpha+1} o\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)\right) dy \right\} \\ &= o\left(n^{(2\alpha+1)/4} \omega\left(n\right)\right) \left\{ \delta^{(2\alpha+1)/4} + \frac{2\alpha+3}{4} \int_{1/n}^{\delta} y^{(2\alpha-3)/4} dy \right\} \\ &= o\left(n^{(2\alpha+1)/4} \omega\left(n\right)\right) \left\{ \delta^{(2\alpha+1)/4} + \frac{(2\alpha+3)/4}{(2\alpha+1)/4} \left[y^{(2\alpha+1)/4}\right]_{1/n}^{\delta} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Włodzimierz Łenski and Bogdan Szal

$$= o\left(n^{(2\alpha+1)/4}\omega(n)\right) \left\{ \delta^{(2\alpha+1)/4} + \frac{2\alpha+3}{2\alpha+1} \delta^{(2\alpha+1)/4} - \frac{2\alpha+3}{2\alpha+1} n^{-(2\alpha+1)/4} \right\}$$
$$= o\left(n^{(2\alpha+1)/4}\omega(n)\right) \left\{ \frac{4\alpha+4}{2\alpha+1} \delta^{(2\alpha+1)/4} - \frac{2\alpha+3}{2\alpha+1} n^{-(2\alpha+1)/4} \right\}$$
$$\leq o\left(n^{(2\alpha+1)/4}\omega(n)\right) \left\{ -\frac{2\alpha+3}{2\alpha+1} n^{-(2\alpha+1)/4} \right\} \leq o\left(\omega(n)\right).$$

Applying Lemma 2 with $\alpha + 1$ instead of $\alpha, \lambda = \frac{2\alpha - 3}{4}$ (since max $\{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\} = \frac{2\alpha + 1}{4}$) and (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{\delta}^{n} e^{-y/2} y^{(2\alpha-3)/4} |\Delta_0 f(y)| e^{-y/2} y^{(2\alpha+3)/4} \left| L_n^{(\alpha+1)}(y) \right| dy \\ &= \frac{O\left(n^{(2\alpha+1)/4}\right)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{\delta}^{n} e^{-y/2} y^{(2\alpha-3)/4} |\Delta_0 f(y)| dy = o\left(\omega\left(n\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Further, by Lemma 2 with $\alpha + 1$ instead of α and $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$ (since max $\{\lambda - \frac{1}{3}, \frac{\alpha+1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\} = \lambda - \frac{1}{3} = 0$) and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |J_4| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_n^\infty e^{-y/2} y^{(3\alpha-1)/3} |\Delta_0 f(y)| \, e^{-y/2} y^{1/3} \left| L_n^{(\alpha+1)}(y) \right| dy \\ &= \frac{O(1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_n^\infty e^{-y/2} y^{(3\alpha-1)/3} |\Delta_0 f(y)| \, dy = o\left(\omega\left(n\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, collecting the above estimates we have

$$\left|S_{n}^{(\alpha)}f\left(0\right) - f\left(0\right)\right| = o\left(\omega\left(n\right)\right)$$

and thus our proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\delta > 0$, and as above

$$S_n^{(\alpha)} f(0) - f(0) = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4.$$

For the proof we note that taking $\delta = 1/n$, we have $J_2 = 0$ and by the condition (4) we obtain

$$|J_3| \le o\left(\omega\left(n\right)\right).$$

Moreover, the conditions (1) and (3) imply

$$|J_1| \le o(\omega(n))$$
 and $|J_4| \le o(\omega(n))$,

similarly as above, and thus our proof is complete.

100

References

- [1] KHATRI K.MISHRA V.N., Approximation of functions belonging to $L[0,\infty)$ by product summability means of its Fourier-Laguerre series, *Cogent Mathematics*, (3)1250854, (2016).
- [2] KRASNIQI XH.Z., On the degree of approximation of a function by (C, 1) (E, q) means of its Fourier-Laguerre series, Int. J. of Anal. and Appl., 1(2013), 33-39.
- [3] MITTAL M.L., SINGH M.V., Error Estimation of Functions by Fourier-Laguerre Polynomials Using Matrix-Euler Operators, Int. J. of Analysis, Vol. 2015, Article ID 478345, 4 pages.
- [4] NIGAM H.K., SHARMA A., A study on degree of approximation by (E, 1) summability means of the Fourier-Laguerre expansion, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, Volume 2010, Article ID 351016, 7 pages.
- [5] SONKER S., Approximation of functions by (C1.T) means of its Fourier -Laguerre series, Recent Advances in Computational Mathematics, http:// www.wseas.us/e-library/conferences/2014/Geneva/ICACM/ICACM-19.pdf.
- [6] SZEGÖ G., Orthogonal polynomials, Am. Math.Soc. Colloquium Publ., 23 (1939).
- [7] ZYGMUND A., Trigonometric series, Cambride, 1959.

Włodzimierz Łenski University of Zielona Góra Faculty of Mathematics Computer Science and Econometrics 65-516 Zielona Góra, ul. Szafrana 4a, Poland *e-mail:* W.Lenski@wmie.uz.zgora.pl

Bogdan Szal University of Zielona Góra Faculty of Mathematics Computer Science and Econometrics 65-516 Zielona Góra, ul. Szafrana 4a, Poland *e-mail:* B.Szal @wmie.uz.zgora.pl

Received on 12.11.2017 and, in revised form, on 08.06.2018.