

SUNG GUEN KIM

# THE NORMING SETS OF MULTILINEAR FORMS ON $\mathbb{R}^2$ WITH A ROTATED SUPREMUM NORM

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . An element  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$  is called a *norming point* of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^n E)$  if  $\|x_1\| = \dots = \|x_n\| = 1$  and  $|T(x_1, \dots, x_n)| = \|T\|$ , where  $\mathcal{L}(^n E)$  denotes the space of all continuous  $n$ -linear form on  $E$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^n E)$ , we define

$$\text{Norm}(T) = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ is a norming point of } T \right\}.$$

$\text{Norm}(T)$  is called the *norming set* of  $T$ .

Let  $0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{4}$  and  $\ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2$  with the rotated supremum norm

$$\|(x, y)\|_{(\infty, \theta)} = \max \left\{ |x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta|, |x \sin \theta - y \cos \theta| \right\}.$$

In this paper, we characterize  $\text{Norm}(T)$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^m \ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2)$  for  $m \geq 2$ .

**KEY WORDS:** norming points, multilinear forms.

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** 46A22.

## 1. Introduction

In 1961 Bishop and Phelps [2] showed that the set of norm attaining functionals on a Banach space is dense in the dual space. Shortly after, attention was paid to possible extensions of this result to more general settings, specially bounded linear operators between Banach spaces. The problem of denseness of norm attaining functions has moved to other types of mappings like multilinear forms or polynomials. The first result about norm attaining multilinear forms appeared in a joint work of Aron, Finet and Werner [1], where they showed that the Radon-Nikodym property is sufficient for the denseness of norm attaining multilinear forms. Choi and Kim [3] showed that the Radon-Nikodym property is also sufficient for the denseness of norm attaining polynomials. Jiménez-Sevilla and Payá [5] studied the denseness

of norm attaining multilinear forms and polynomials on preduals of Lorentz sequence spaces.

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . We write  $S_E$  for the unit sphere of a Banach space  $E$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(^n E)$  the Banach space of all continuous  $n$ -linear forms on  $E$  endowed with the norm  $\|T\| = \sup_{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S_E \times \dots \times S_E} |T(x_1, \dots, x_n)|$ .  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^n E)$  is symmetric if  $T(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = T(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for every  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$  and permutation  $\sigma$  on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .  $\mathcal{L}_s(^n E)$  denote the closed subspace of all continuous symmetric  $n$ -linear forms on  $E$ . An element  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$  is called a *norming point* of  $T$  if  $\|x_1\| = \dots = \|x_n\| = 1$  and  $|T(x_1, \dots, x_n)| = \|T\|$ .

For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^n E)$ , we define

$$\text{Norm}(T) = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ is a norming point of } T \right\}.$$

$\text{Norm}(T)$  is called the *norming set* of  $T$ . Notice that  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \text{Norm}(T)$  if and only if  $(\epsilon_1 x_1, \dots, \epsilon_n x_n) \in \text{Norm}(T)$  for some  $\epsilon_k = \pm 1$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ). Indeed, if  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \text{Norm}(T)$ , then

$$|T(\epsilon_1 x_1, \dots, \epsilon_n x_n)| = |\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_n T(x_1, \dots, x_n)| = |T(x_1, \dots, x_n)| = \|T\|,$$

which shows that  $(\epsilon_1 x_1, \dots, \epsilon_n x_n) \in \text{Norm}(T)$ . If  $(\epsilon_1 x_1, \dots, \epsilon_n x_n) \in \text{Norm}(T)$  for some  $\epsilon_k = \pm 1$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ), then

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\epsilon_1(\epsilon_1 x_1), \dots, \epsilon_n(\epsilon_n x_n)) \in \text{Norm}(T).$$

The following examples show that  $\text{Norm}(T) = \emptyset$  or an infinite set.

**Example.** (a) Let

$$T((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} x_i y_i \in \mathcal{L}_s(^2 c_0).$$

We claim that  $\text{Norm}(T) = \emptyset$ . Obviously,  $\|T\| = 1$ . Assume that  $\text{Norm}(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) \in \text{Norm}(T)$ . Then,

$$1 = \left| T((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} |x_i| |y_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} = 1,$$

which shows that  $|x_i| = |y_i| = 1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \notin c_0$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\text{Norm}(T) = \emptyset$ .

(b) Let

$$T((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = x_1 y_1 \in \mathcal{L}_s(^2 c_0).$$

Then,

$$\text{Norm}(T) = \left\{ \left( (\pm 1, x_2, x_3, \dots), (\pm 1, y_2, y_3, \dots) \right) \in c_0 \times c_0 : |x_j| \leq 1, |y_j| \leq 1 \text{ for } j \geq 2 \right\}.$$

A mapping  $P : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial if there exists a continuous  $n$ -linear form  $L$  on the product  $E \times \cdots \times E$  such that  $P(x) = L(x, \dots, x)$  for every  $x \in E$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{P}(^n E)$  the Banach space of all continuous  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials from  $E$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  endowed with the norm  $\|P\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |P(x)|$ .

An element  $x \in E$  is called a *norming point* of  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^n E)$  if  $\|x\| = 1$  and  $|P(x)| = \|P\|$ . For  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^n E)$ , we define

$$\text{Norm}(P) = \left\{ x \in E : x \text{ is a norming point of } P \right\}.$$

$\text{Norm}(P)$  is called the *norming set* of  $P$ . Notice that  $\text{Norm}(P) = \emptyset$  or a finite set or an infinite set.

Kim [7] classified  $\text{Norm}(P)$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^2 \ell_\infty^2)$ , where  $\ell_\infty^2 = \mathbb{R}^2$  with the supremum norm.

If  $\text{Norm}(T) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^n E)$  is called a *norm attaining*  $n$ -linear form and if  $\text{Norm}(P) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^n E)$  is called a *norm attaining*  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial. (See [3]).

For more details about the theory of multilinear mappings and polynomials on a Banach space, we refer to [4].

It seems to be natural and interesting to study about  $\text{Norm}(T)$  for  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^n E)$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\ell_p^m := \mathbb{R}^m$  with the  $\ell_p$ -norm ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ). Notice that if  $E = \ell_1^m$  or  $\ell_\infty^m$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^n E)$ ,  $\text{Norm}(T) \neq \emptyset$  since  $S_E$  is compact. Kim [6, 8, 9, 11] classified  $\text{Norm}(T)$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}_s(^2 \ell_\infty^2)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(^2 \ell_\infty^2)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(^2 \ell_1^2)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_s(^2 \ell_1^3)$  or  $\mathcal{L}_s(^3 \ell_1^2)$ . Kim [12] classified  $\text{Norm}(T)$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^2 \mathbb{R}_{h(w)}^2)$ , where  $\mathbb{R}_{h(w)}^2$  denotes the plane with the hexagonal norm with weight  $0 < w < 1$   $\|(x, y)\|_{h(w)} = \max \left\{ |y|, |x| + (1-w)|y| \right\}$ .

Let  $0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{4}$  and  $\ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2$  with the rotated supremum norm

$$\|(x, y)\|_{(\infty, \theta)} = \max \left\{ |x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta|, |x \sin \theta - y \cos \theta| \right\}.$$

Kim [10] introduced the rotated supremum norm on the plane and classified the extreme, exposed and smooth points of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}(^2 \ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2)$ , respectively.

In this paper, we characterize  $\text{Norm}(T)$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^m \ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2)$  for  $m \geq 2$ .

## 2. Results

**Lemma A** ([11]). *Let  $n, m \geq 2$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^m\ell_1^n)$  with*

$$T\left((x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}), \dots, (x_1^{(m)}, \dots, x_n^{(m)})\right) = \sum_{1 \leq i_k \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m} a_{i_1 \dots i_m} x_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots x_{i_m}^{(m)}$$

for some  $a_{i_1 \dots i_m} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\|T\| = \max \left\{ |a_{i_1 \dots i_m}| : 1 \leq i_k \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m \right\}.$$

**Lemma B.** *Let  $0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{4}$ . Then,  $\ell_1^2$  and  $\ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2$  are isometric by the mapping  $\phi : \ell_1^2 \rightarrow \ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2$  such that*

$$\phi(x, y) = \left( (x + y)\cos\theta + (y - x)\sin\theta, (x - y)\cos\theta + (x + y)\sin\theta \right).$$

**Proof.** It follows that for  $(x, y) \in \ell_1^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left( (x + y)\cos\theta + (y - x)\sin\theta, (x - y)\cos\theta + (x + y)\sin\theta \right) \right\|_{(\infty, \theta)} \\ &= \max \left\{ \left| \cos\theta((x + y)\cos\theta + (y - x)\sin\theta) + \sin\theta((x - y)\cos\theta + (x + y)\sin\theta) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + (x + y)\sin\theta \right|, \left| \sin\theta((x + y)\cos\theta + (y - x)\sin\theta) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \cos\theta((x - y)\cos\theta + (x + y)\sin\theta) \right| \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ |x + y|, |x - y| \right\} = |x| + |y| = \|(x, y)\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

■

The following shows relations between the norming sets of  $\mathcal{L}(^m\ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(^m\ell_1^2)$ .

**Theorem C.** *Let  $m \geq 2$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^m\ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2)$  with*

$$T\left((x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}), \dots, (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)})\right) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m, i_k=1,2} a_{i_1 \dots i_m} x_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots x_{i_m}^{(m)}.$$

Let  $W_1 = (\cos\theta - \sin\theta, \cos\theta + \sin\theta)$ ,  $W_2 = (\cos\theta + \sin\theta, -\cos\theta + \sin\theta)$ .

We define  $S_T \in \mathcal{L}(^m\ell_1^2)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} S_T\left((t_1^{(1)}, t_2^{(1)}), \dots, (t_1^{(m)}, t_2^{(m)})\right) &= T\left(t_1^{(1)}W_1 + t_2^{(1)}W_2, \dots, t_1^{(m)}W_1 + t_2^{(m)}W_2\right) \\ &:= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m, i_k=1,2} A_{i_1 \dots i_m} t_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots t_{i_m}^{(m)}. \end{aligned}$$

The following assertions hold:

- (a)  $\|T\| = \max \left\{ |A_{i_1 \dots i_m}| : i_k = 1, 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq m \right\};$
- (b)  $\text{Norm}(T) = \left\{ \left( t_1^{(1)} W_1 + t_2^{(1)} W_2, \dots, t_1^{(m)} W_1 + t_2^{(m)} W_2 \right) : \left( (t_1^{(1)}, t_2^{(1)}), \dots, (t_1^{(m)}, t_2^{(m)}) \right) \in \text{Norm}(S_T) \right\}.$

**Proof.** (a). We claim that  $\|T\| = \|S_T\|_{\mathcal{L}(^m \ell_1^2)}$ .

Let  $(x_1^{(j)}, x_2^{(j)}) \in S_{\ell_1^2}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} t_1^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) x_1^{(j)} + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) x_2^{(j)} \right), \\ t_2^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) x_1^{(j)} + (-\cos \theta + \sin \theta) x_2^{(j)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . By Lemma B,  $(t_1^{(j)}, t_2^{(j)}) \in S_{\ell_1^2}$  and  $(x_1^{(j)}, x_2^{(j)}) = t_1^{(j)} W_1 + t_2^{(j)} W_2$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ .

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| T \left( (x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}), \dots, (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}) \right) \right| \\ &= \left| T \left( t_1^{(1)} W_1 + t_2^{(1)} W_2, \dots, t_1^{(m)} W_1 + t_2^{(m)} W_2 \right) \right| \\ &= \left| S_T \left( (t_1^{(1)}, t_2^{(1)}), \dots, (t_1^{(m)}, t_2^{(m)}) \right) \right| \leq \|S_T\|_{\mathcal{L}(^m \ell_1^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\|T\| \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{L}(^m \ell_1^2)}$ .

Let  $(t_1^{(j)}, t_2^{(j)}) \in S_{\ell_1^2}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| S_T \left( (t_1^{(1)}, t_2^{(1)}), \dots, (t_1^{(m)}, t_2^{(m)}) \right) \right| \\ &= \left| T \left( t_1^{(1)} W_1 + t_2^{(1)} W_2, \dots, t_1^{(m)} W_1 + t_2^{(m)} W_2 \right) \right| \\ &\leq \|T\| \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| t_1^{(j)} W_1 + t_2^{(j)} W_2 \right\|_{(\infty, \theta)} \\ &= \|T\| \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} |t_1^{(j)}| + |t_2^{(j)}| = \|T\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\|S_T\|_{\mathcal{L}(^m \ell_1^2)} \leq \|T\|$ . Thus, the claim holds. By Lemma A, (a) is proved.

(b). Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} = & \left\{ \left( t_1^{(1)} W_1 + t_2^{(1)} W_2, \dots, t_1^{(m)} W_1 + t_2^{(m)} W_2 \right) : \right. \\ & \left. \left( (t_1^{(1)}, t_2^{(1)}), \dots, (t_1^{(m)}, t_2^{(m)}) \right) \in \text{Norm}(S) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma B,  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq (S_{\ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2})^m := S_{\ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2} \times \cdots \times S_{\ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2}$ . We will show that  $\text{Norm}(T) = \mathcal{M}$ .

( $\subseteq$ ). Let  $((x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}), \dots, (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)})) \in \text{Norm}(T)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_T\|_{\mathcal{L}(^m \ell_1^2)} &= \|T\| = \left| T((x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}), \dots, (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)})) \right| \\ &= \left| T(t_1^{(1)}W_1 + t_2^{(1)}W_2, \dots, t_1^{(m)}W_1 + t_2^{(m)}W_2) \right| \\ &= \left| S_T((t_1^{(1)}, t_2^{(1)}), \dots, (t_1^{(m)}, t_2^{(m)})) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $((t_1^{(1)}, t_2^{(1)}), \dots, (t_1^{(m)}, t_2^{(m)})) \in \text{Norm}(S_T)$ . Therefore,

$$((x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}), \dots, (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)})) = (t_1^{(1)}W_1 + t_2^{(1)}W_2, \dots, t_1^{(m)}W_1 + t_2^{(m)}W_2) \in \mathcal{M}.$$

( $\supseteq$ ). Let  $(t_1^{(1)}W_1 + t_2^{(1)}W_2, \dots, t_1^{(m)}W_1 + t_2^{(m)}W_2) \in \mathcal{M}$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $((t_1^{(1)}, t_2^{(1)}), \dots, (t_1^{(m)}, t_2^{(m)})) \in \text{Norm}(S_T)$ .

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= \|S_T\|_{\mathcal{L}(^m \ell_1^2)} = \left| S_T((t_1^{(1)}, t_2^{(1)}), \dots, (t_1^{(m)}, t_2^{(m)})) \right| \\ &= \left| T(t_1^{(1)}W_1 + t_2^{(1)}W_2, \dots, t_1^{(m)}W_1 + t_2^{(m)}W_2) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t_1^{(j)}W_1 + t_2^{(j)}W_2 \in S_{\ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ,

$$(t_1^{(1)}W_1 + t_2^{(1)}W_2, \dots, t_1^{(m)}W_1 + t_2^{(m)}W_2) \in \text{Norm}(T).$$

This completes the proof. ■

**Theorem D.** Let  $m \geq 2$ ,  $0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{4}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^m \ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2)$  with

$$T((x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}), \dots, (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)})) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m, i_k=1,2} a_{i_1 \dots i_m} x_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots x_{i_m}^{(m)}$$

for some  $a_{i_1 \dots i_m} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $S_T \in \mathcal{L}(^m \ell_1^2)$  be the one in Theorem C. Write  $T = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})_{i_k=1,2}^t$  and  $S_T = (A_{i_1 \dots i_m})_{i_k=1,2}^t$ . Let  $M_{m(\theta)}$  be the  $2^m \times 2^m$ -matrix such that  $M_{m(\theta)} T = S_T$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^m \ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2)$ . Then  $M_{m(\theta)}$  is invertible and  $M_{m(\theta)}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2^m} M_{m(\theta)}$ .

**Proof.** Note that for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}({}^m\ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( M_{m(\theta)} T \right) \left( (x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}), \dots, (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}) \right) \\ &= T \left( ((\cos \theta - \sin \theta)x_1^{(1)} + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(1)}, \right. \\ & \quad (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_1^{(1)} + (-\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(1)}), \\ & \quad \dots, ((\cos \theta - \sin \theta)x_1^{(m)} + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(m)}, \\ & \quad \left. (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_1^{(m)} + (-\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(m)} \right) \). \end{aligned}$$

*Claim.*  $M_{m(\theta)}^2 = 2^m \text{ Id}$ , where  $\text{Id}$  is the identity matrix.

It follows that for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}({}^m\ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{m(\theta)} \left[ \left( M_{m(\theta)} T \right) \left( (x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}), \dots, (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}) \right) \right] \\ &= M_{m(\theta)} T \left( ((\cos \theta - \sin \theta)x_1^{(1)} + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(1)}, \right. \\ & \quad (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_1^{(1)} + (-\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(1)}), \\ & \quad \dots, ((\cos \theta - \sin \theta)x_1^{(m)} + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(m)}, \\ & \quad \left. (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_1^{(m)} + (-\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(m)}) \right) \\ &= T \left( \left( (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) \left[ (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)x_1^{(1)} + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(1)} \right] \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \left[ (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_1^{(1)} + (-\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(1)} \right], \right. \right. \\ & \quad (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \left[ (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)x_1^{(1)} + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(1)} \right] \\ & \quad \left. \left. + (-\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \left[ (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_1^{(1)} + (-\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(1)} \right] \right), \right. \\ & \quad \dots, \left( (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) \left[ (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)x_1^{(m)} + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(m)} \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \left[ (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_1^{(m)} + (-\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(m)} \right] \right), \right. \\ & \quad (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \left[ (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)x_1^{(m)} + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(m)} \right] \\ & \quad \left. \left. + (-\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \left[ (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_1^{(m)} + (-\cos \theta + \sin \theta)x_2^{(m)} \right] \right] \right) \\ &= T \left( (2x_1^{(1)}, 2x_2^{(1)}), \dots, (2x_1^{(m)}, 2x_2^{(m)}) \right) \\ &= 2^m T \left( (x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}), \dots, (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $M_{m(\theta)}^2 T = 2^m T$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}({}^m\ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2)$ . Thus, the Claim holds. Since

$$[\det(M_{m(\theta)})]^2 = \det(M_{m(\theta)}^2) = \det(2^m \text{ Id}) = 2^{m^2},$$

$\det(M_{m(\theta)}) \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $M_{m(\theta)}$  is invertible and  $M_{m(\theta)}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2^m} M_{m(\theta)}$ . This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary E.** Let  $m \geq 2$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^3\ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2)$  with

$$T((x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}), (x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(2)}), (x_1^{(3)}, x_2^{(3)})) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 3, i_k=1,2} a_{i_1 i_2 i_3} x_{i_1}^{(1)} x_{i_2}^{(2)} x_{i_3}^{(3)}$$

for some  $a_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= \max \left\{ \left| (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)^3 a_{111} + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{222} \right. \right. \\ &\quad + \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) (a_{122} + a_{212} + a_{221}) \\ &\quad + \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) (a_{211} + a_{121} + a_{112}) \Big|, \\ &\quad \left. \left| (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{111} - (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)^3 a_{222} \right. \right. \\ &\quad + \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) (a_{122} + a_{212} + a_{221}) \\ &\quad - \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) (a_{211} + a_{121} + a_{112}) \Big|, \\ &\quad \left. \left| \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) a_{111} + \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) a_{222} \right. \right. \\ &\quad + (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)^3 a_{122} - \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) (a_{212} + a_{221}) \\ &\quad + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{211} - \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) (a_{121} + a_{112}) \Big|, \\ &\quad \left. \left| \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) a_{111} + \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) a_{222} \right. \right. \\ &\quad - \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) a_{122} + (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)^3 a_{212} \\ &\quad - \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) a_{221} - \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) a_{121} \\ &\quad + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{121} - \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) a_{112} \Big|, \\ &\quad \left. \left| \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) a_{111} + \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) a_{222} \right. \right. \\ &\quad - \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) (a_{122} + a_{212}) + (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)^3 a_{221} \\ &\quad - \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) (a_{211} + a_{121}) + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{112} \Big|, \\ &\quad \left. \left| \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) a_{111} - \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) a_{222} \right. \right. \\ &\quad + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{122} - \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) (a_{212} + a_{221}) \\ &\quad - (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)^3 a_{211} + \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) (a_{121} + a_{112}) \Big|, \\ &\quad \left. \left| \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) a_{111} - \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) a_{222} \right. \right. \\ &\quad - \cos 2\theta (\cos \theta - \sin \theta) a_{122} + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{212} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)a_{221} + \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)a_{211} \\
& - (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)^3 a_{121} + \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)a_{112}, \\
& \quad \left| \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)a_{111} - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)a_{222} \right. \\
& - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)(a_{122} + a_{212}) + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{221} \\
& \quad \left. + \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)(a_{211} + a_{121}) - (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)^3 a_{112} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Let  $S_T \in \mathcal{L}(^3\ell_1^2)$  be such that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_T((t_1^{(1)}, t_2^{(1)}), (t_1^{(2)}, t_2^{(2)}), (t_1^{(3)}, t_2^{(3)})) \\
= T(t_1^{(1)}W_1 + t_2^{(1)}W_2, \dots, t_1^{(m)}W_1 + t_2^{(m)}W_2).
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem C,  $\|T\| = \|S_T\|_{\mathcal{L}(^3\ell_1^2)}$ . Expanding  $S_T$ , we conclude the proof. ■

Let  $T = (a_{111}, a_{222}, a_{122}, a_{212}, a_{221}, a_{211}, a_{121}, a_{112})^t \in \mathcal{L}(^3\ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2)$  and let

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{111} &= (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)^3 a_{111} + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{222} \\
&\quad + \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)(a_{122} + a_{212} + a_{221}) \\
&\quad + \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)(a_{211} + a_{121} + a_{112}), \\
A_{222} &= (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{111} - (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)^3 a_{222} \\
&\quad + \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)(a_{122} + a_{212} + a_{221}) \\
&\quad - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)(a_{211} + a_{121} + a_{112}), \\
A_{122} &= \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)a_{111} + \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)a_{222} \\
&\quad + (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)^3 a_{122} - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)(a_{212} + a_{221}) \\
&\quad + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{211} - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)(a_{121} + a_{112}), \\
A_{212} &= \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)a_{111} + \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)a_{222} \\
&\quad - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)a_{122} + (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)^3 a_{212} \\
&\quad - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)a_{221} - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)a_{211} \\
&\quad + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{121} - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)a_{112}, \\
A_{221} &= \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)a_{111} + \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)a_{222} \\
&\quad - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)(a_{122} + a_{212}) + (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)^3 a_{221} \\
&\quad - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)(a_{211} + a_{121}) + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{112}, \\
A_{211} &= \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)a_{111} - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)a_{222} \\
&\quad + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{122} - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)(a_{212} + a_{221}) \\
&\quad - (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)^3 a_{211} + \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)(a_{121} + a_{112}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{121} &= \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)a_{111} - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)a_{222} \\
&\quad - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)a_{122} + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{212} \\
&\quad - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)a_{221} + \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)a_{211} \\
&\quad - (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)^3 a_{121} + \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)a_{112}, \\
A_{112} &= \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)a_{111} - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)a_{222} \\
&\quad - \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)(a_{122} + a_{212}) + (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^3 a_{221} \\
&\quad + \cos 2\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)(a_{211} + a_{121}) - (\cos \theta \sin \theta)^3 a_{112}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_T \left( (t_1^{(1)}, t_2^{(1)}), (t_1^{(2)}, t_2^{(2)}), (t_1^{(3)}, t_2^{(3)}) \right) \\
= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 3, i_k=1,2} A_{i_1 i_2 i_3} t_{i_1}^{(1)} t_{i_2}^{(2)} t_{i_3}^{(3)} \in \mathcal{L}(^3 \ell_1^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary E,  $\|S_T\| = \max \left\{ |A_{i_1 i_2 i_3}| : i_k = 1, 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq 3 \right\}$ .

By Theorem D,  $M_{3(\theta)}$  is invertible and that  $T = M_{3(\theta)}^{-1} S_T = \frac{1}{8} M_{3(\theta)} S_T$ .

**Example.** Let  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq (S_{\ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2})^n$ . We denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Sym}(\mathcal{W}) &= \left\{ ((x_{\sigma(1)}, y_{\sigma(1)}), \dots, (x_{\sigma(n)}, y_{\sigma(n)})) \right. \\
&\quad : X = ((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)) \in \mathcal{W}, \\
&\quad \left. \sigma \text{ is a permutation on } \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $T = (a_{111}, a_{222}, a_{122}, a_{212}, a_{221}, a_{211}, a_{121}, a_{112})^t \in \mathcal{L}(^3 \ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2)$  such that  $A_{111} = A_{222} = -A_{112} = -A_{121} = -A_{211} = -A_{122} = -A_{212} = -A_{221} = 1$ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Norm}(T) &= \text{Sym} \left( \left\{ (\pm(tW_1 - (1-t)W_2), \pm W_1, \pm W_1), \right. \right. \\
&\quad (\pm(tW_1 - (1-t)W_2), \pm W_2, \pm W_2), \\
&\quad \left. \left. (\pm(tW_1 + (1-t)W_2), \pm W_1, \pm W_2) : 0 \leq t \leq 1 \right\} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The following characterizes the norming sets of  $\mathcal{L}(^n \ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2)$ .

**Theorem F.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^n \ell_{(\infty, \theta)}^2)$  with  $\|T\| = 1$ . Then,

$$\text{Norm}(T) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (A_k^+ \cup A_k^- \cup B_{k,1} \cup B_{k,2}),$$

where  $W_1 = (\cos \theta - \sin \theta, \cos \theta + \sin \theta)$ ,  $W_2 = (\cos \theta + \sin \theta, -\cos \theta + \sin \theta)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_k^+ &= \left\{ \left( \pm X_1, \dots, \pm X_{k-1}, \pm(tW_1 + (1-t)W_2), \pm X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \in (S_{\ell^2_{(\infty, \theta)}})^n : T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n) \\
 &\quad \times T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n) = 1, 0 \leq t \leq 1 \Big\}, \\
 A_k^- &= \left\{ \left( \pm X_1, \dots, \pm X_{k-1}, \pm(tW_1 - (1-t)W_2), \pm X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \in (S_{\ell^2_{(\infty, \theta)}})^n : T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n) \\
 &\quad \times T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n) = -1, 0 \leq t \leq 1 \Big\}, \\
 B_{k,1} &= \left\{ \left( \pm X_1, \dots, \pm X_{k-1}, \pm W_1, \pm X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n \right) \in (S_{\ell^2_{(\infty, \theta)}})^n : \right. \\
 &\quad 1 = \left| T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n) \right| \\
 &\quad > \left| T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n) \right| \Big\}, \\
 B_{k,2} &= \left\{ \left( \pm X_1, \dots, \pm X_{k-1}, \pm W_2, \pm X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n \right) \in (S_{\ell^2_{(\infty, \theta)}})^n : \right. \\
 &\quad 1 = \left| T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n) \right| \\
 &\quad > \left| T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n) \right| \Big\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{F}_k = A_k^+ \cup A_k^- \cup B_{k,1} \cup B_{k,2}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

( $\subseteq$ ). Let  $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \text{Norm}(T)$ . Let  $1 \leq k \leq n$  be fixed. Then  $X_k = \lambda_1^{(k)} W_1 + \lambda_2^{(k)} W_2$  for some  $\lambda_1^{(k)}, \lambda_2^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|\lambda_1^{(k)}| + |\lambda_2^{(k)}| = 1$ .

**Case 1.**

$$\begin{aligned}
 &T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n) \\
 &\quad \times T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n) = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|T\| = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &= T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n) \\
 &= T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 -1 &= T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n) \\
 &= T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

*Claim 1.*  $X_k \in \{ \pm (tW_1 + (1-t)W_2) : 0 \leq t \leq 1 \}$ .

By  $n$ -linearity of  $T$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= T(X_1, \dots, X_n) = T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, (\lambda_1^{(k)} W_1 + \lambda_2^{(k)} W_2), X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n) \\ &= |\lambda_1^{(k)}| T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n) \\ &\quad + \lambda_2^{(k)} T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n) \\ &= |\lambda_1^{(k)}| + \lambda_2^{(k)} | \leq |\lambda_1^{(k)}| + |\lambda_2^{(k)}| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $|\lambda_1^{(k)}| + \lambda_2^{(k)}| = |\lambda_1^{(k)}| + |\lambda_2^{(k)}| = 1$ . Hence,  $\text{sign}(\lambda_1^{(k)}) = \text{sign}(\lambda_2^{(k)})$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} X_k &\in \{ |\lambda_1^{(k)}| W_1 + |\lambda_2^{(k)}| W_2, -(|\lambda_1^{(k)}| W_1 + |\lambda_2^{(k)}| W_2) \} \\ &\subseteq \{ \pm (te_1 + (1-t)e_2) : 0 \leq t \leq 1 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $X \in A_k^+ \subseteq \mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathcal{F}_j$ .

### Case 2.

$$\begin{aligned} &T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n) \\ &\quad \times T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n) = -1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|T\| = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n) \\ &= -T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} -1 &= T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n) \\ &= -T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n). \end{aligned}$$

*Claim 2.*  $X_k \in \{ \pm (tW_1 - (1-t)W_2) : 0 \leq t \leq 1 \}$ .

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= T(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ &= T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, (\lambda_1^{(k)} W_1 + \lambda_2^{(k)} W_2), X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n) \\ &= |\lambda_1^{(k)}| T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n) \\ &\quad + \lambda_2^{(k)} T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n) \\ &= |\lambda_1^{(k)}| - \lambda_2^{(k)} | \leq |\lambda_1^{(k)}| + |\lambda_2^{(k)}| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $|\lambda_1^{(k)} - \lambda_2^{(k)}| = |\lambda_1^{(k)}| + |\lambda_2^{(k)}| = 1$ . Hence,  $\text{sign}(\lambda_1^{(k)}) = -\text{sign}(\lambda_2^{(k)})$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} X_k &\in \{|\lambda_1^{(k)}|W_1 - |\lambda_2^{(k)}|W_2, -(|\lambda_1^{(k)}|W_1 - |\lambda_2^{(k)}|W_2)\} \\ &\subseteq \{\pm(tW_1 - (1-t)W_2) : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $X \in A_k^- \subseteq \mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathcal{F}_j$ .

### Case 3.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= |T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n)| \\ &> |T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n)|. \end{aligned}$$

*Claim 3.*  $\lambda_2^{(k)} = 0$ .

Assume that  $\lambda_2^{(k)} \neq 0$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= |T(X_1, \dots, X_n)| \\ &= |T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, (\lambda_1^{(k)}W_1 + \lambda_2^{(k)}W_2), X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n)| \\ &\leq |\lambda_1^{(k)}| |T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n)| \\ &\quad + |\lambda_2^{(k)}| |T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n)| \\ &< |\lambda_1^{(k)}| |T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n)| + |\lambda_2^{(k)}| \\ &\leq |\lambda_1^{(k)}| + |\lambda_2^{(k)}| = 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\lambda_2^{(k)} = 0$  and so  $X_k = W_1$ . Therefore,  $X \in B_{k,1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathcal{F}_j$ .

### Case 4.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= |T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, \pm X_n)| \\ &> |T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n)|. \end{aligned}$$

*Claim 4.*  $\lambda_1^{(k)} = 0$ .

Assume that  $\lambda_1^{(k)} \neq 0$ . By (\*), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= |T(X_1, \dots, X_n)| \\ &= |T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, (\lambda_1^{(k)}W_1 + \lambda_2^{(k)}W_2), X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n)| \\ &\leq |\lambda_1^{(k)}| |T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_1, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n)| \\ &\quad + |\lambda_2^{(k)}| |T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n)| \\ &< |\lambda_1^{(k)}| + |\lambda_2^{(k)}| |T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, W_2, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n)| \\ &\leq |\lambda_1^{(k)}| + |\lambda_2^{(k)}| \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and so  $X_k = W_2$ . Therefore,  $X \in B_{k,2} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathcal{F}_j$ .

( $\supseteq$ ). We will show that  $\mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \text{Norm}(T)$  for every  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

Let  $1 \leq k \leq n$  be fixed and  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in \mathcal{F}_k$ .

Suppose that  $Y \in A_k^+$ .

Then  $Y_k = \pm(t_k W_1 + (1 - t_k) W_2)$  for some  $0 \leq t_k \leq 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} T(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, W_1, Y_{k+1}, \dots, \pm Y_n) \\ \times T(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, W_2, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_n) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= T(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \\ &= T(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, (t_k W_1 + (1 - t_k) W_2), Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_n) \\ &= |t_k T(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, W_1, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_n) \\ &\quad + (1 - t_k) T(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, W_2, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_n)| \\ &= |t_k + (1 - t_k)| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $Y \in \text{Norm}(T)$ .

Suppose that  $Y \in A_k^-$ .

Then  $Y_k = \pm(t_k W_1 - (1 - t_k) W_2)$  for some  $0 \leq t_k \leq 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} T(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, W_1, Y_{k+1}, \dots, \pm Y_n) \\ \times T(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, W_2, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_n) = -1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= T(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \\ &= T(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, (t_k W_1 - (1 - t_k) W_2), Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_n) \\ &= |t_k T(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, W_1, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_n) \\ &\quad - (1 - t_k) T(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, W_2, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_n)| \\ &= |t_k + (1 - t_k)| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $Y \in \text{Norm}(T)$ .

Suppose that  $Y \in B_{k,1}$ . Then  $Y_k = \pm W_1$  and

$$|T(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)| = |T(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, W_1, Y_{k+1}, \dots, \pm Y_n)| = 1.$$

Thus,  $Y \in \text{Norm}(T)$ .

Suppose that  $Y \in B_{k,2}$ . Then  $Y_k = \pm W_2$  and

$$|T(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)| = |T(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, W_2, Y_{k+1}, \dots, \pm Y_n)| = 1.$$

Thus,  $Y \in \text{Norm}(T)$ . We complete the proof. ■

## References

- [1] ARON R.M., FINET C., WERNER E., *Some remarks on norm-attaining n-linear forms*, Function spaces (Edwardsville, IL, 1994), 19-28, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 172, Dekker, New York, 1995.
- [2] BISHOP E., PHELPS R., A proof that every Banach space is subreflexive, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 67(1961), 97-98.
- [3] CHOI Y.S., KIM S.G., Norm or numerical radius attaining multilinear mappings and polynomials, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 54(2)(1996), 135-147.
- [4] DINEEN S., *Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, London, 1999.
- [5] JIMÉNEZ SEVILLA M., PAYÁ R., Norm attaining multilinear forms and polynomials on preduals of Lorentz sequence spaces, *Studia Math.*, 127(1998), 99-112.
- [6] KIM S.G., The norming set of a bilinear form on  $l_\infty^2$ , *Comment. Math.*, 60 (1-2)(2020), 37-63.
- [7] KIM S.G., The norming set of a polynomial in  $\mathcal{P}(^2l_\infty^2)$ , *Honam Math. J.*, 42 (3)(2020), 569-576.
- [8] KIM S.G., The norming set of a symmetric bilinear form on the plane with the supremum norm, *Mat. Stud.*, 55(2)(2021), 171-180.
- [9] KIM S.G., The norming set of a symmetric 3-linear form on the plane with the  $l_1$ -norm, *New Zealand J. Math.*, 51(2021), 95-108.
- [10] KIM S.G., The unit ball of bilinear forms on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with a rotated supremum norm, *Bull. Transilv. Univ. Brasov, Ser. III: Math. Comput. Sci.*, 2(64) (1)(2022), 99-120.
- [11] KIM S.G., The norming sets of  $\mathcal{L}(^2l_1^2)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_s(^2l_1^3)$ , *Bull. Transilv. Univ. Brasov, Ser. III: Math. Comput. Sci.*, 2(64)(2) (2022), 125-150.
- [12] KIM S.G., The norming sets of  $\mathcal{L}(^2\mathbb{R}_{h(w)}^2)$ , *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 89(1-2) (2023), 61-79.

SUNG GUEN KIM  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATIC  
KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
DAEGU 702-701, REPUBLIC OF KOREA  
e-mail: sgk317@knu.ac.kr

*Received on 05.10.2023 and, in revised form, on 09.07.2024.*