

BARBARA FIRLEJ, LUCYNA REMPULSKA

STRONG SUMMABILITY OF INTERPOLATORY POLYNOMIALS IN GENERALIZED HÖLDER NORMS

We consider the problem of strong summability of trigonometric interpolatory polynomials in generalized Hölder spaces.

This note is strictly connected with results given in the papers [1] – [5].

Key words: strong summability, interpolatory polynomials, Hölder spaces.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Let $C = C_{2\pi}$ be the space of 2π -periodic real-valued functions, continuous on $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$ with the norm

$$(1) \quad \|f\|_C := \max_{|x| \leq \pi} |f(x)|.$$

Denote as in [4] by Ω the set of all functions of modulus of continuity type ([6], i.e. Ω is the set of all functions ω having the following properties:

- a) ω is a function defined and continuous on $\langle 0, +\infty \rangle$,
- b) ω is monotonically increasing and $\omega(0) = 0$,
- c) $\omega(h)h^{-1}$ is monotonically decreasing for $h > 0$.

For a given $\omega \in \Omega$ denote by H^ω the class of all functions $f \in C$ for which

$$(2) \quad \|f\|_\omega := \sup_{h>0} \frac{\|\Delta_h f\|_C}{\omega(h)} < +\infty,$$

where

$$(3) \quad \Delta_h f(x) := f(x+h) - f(x).$$

In H^ω we define the norm:

$$(4) \quad \|f\|_{H^\omega} := \|f\|_C + \|f\|_\omega.$$

H^ω with the norm (4) is a Banach space and is called generalized Hölder space. If $\omega(h) = h^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then we have the classical Hölder space H^α .

Similarly as in [4], let \bar{H}^ω be the subspace of H^ω defined by

$$\bar{H}^\omega := \left\{ f \in H^\omega : \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\| \Delta_h f \|_C}{\omega(h)} = 0 \right\},$$

with the norm $\| \cdot \|_{\bar{H}^\omega}$ defined by (4). If $\omega, \mu \in \Omega$ and $q(h) := \frac{\omega(h)}{\mu(h)}$, $h > 0$, is a monotonically increasing function, then

$$(5) \quad H^\omega \subset H^\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{H}^\omega \subset \bar{H}^\mu.$$

1.2 Let X be one of the spaces C, H^ω and \bar{H}^ω . For $f \in X$ denote by $E_n(f; X)$, $n \in N := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, the best approximation of a function f trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leq n$ in the sense of X , i.e.

$$E_n(f; X) := \inf_{T_n} \| f - T_n \|_X,$$

where the infimum is taken over all trigonometric polynomials T_n of degree $\leq n$.

It is known ([6], [4]) that if $f \in H^\omega$, then

$$(6) \quad E_n(f; C) \leq 3\omega\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \| f \|_\omega, \quad n \in N.$$

If $f \in \bar{H}^\omega$, then

$$(7) \quad E_n(f; C) = o\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In [4] there is given the following

Theorem A. If $f \in H^\omega$ and $\mu \in \Omega$ is a function such that $q(h) = \frac{\omega(h)}{\mu(h)}$ is monotonically increasing for $h > 0$, then

$$E_n(f; H^\mu) \leq 648 q\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \| f \|_\omega, \quad n \geq 1.$$

In the case of $f \in \bar{H}^\omega$,

$$E_n(f; \bar{H}^\mu) \equiv E_n(f; H^\mu) = o\left(q\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

1.3. Let $D_n(\cdot)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be the Dirichlet kernel defined by

$$D_n(t) := \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \cos kt.$$

For a given $f \in C$ we consider the trigonometric interpolatory polynomial of degree n

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} I_n(x; f) &:= \frac{2}{2n+1} \sum_{j=-n}^n f(x_j) D_n(x-x_j) \equiv \\ &\equiv \frac{a_0^{(n)}}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^{(n)} \cos kx + b_k^{(n)} \sin kx), \end{aligned}$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{R} := (-\infty, +\infty)$, which for

$$x_j := \frac{2\pi j}{2n+1} \quad (j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots)$$

satisfies the condition $I_n(x_j; f) = f(x_j)$ ([7], [2], [3], [5]).

Denote by $I_{n,k}(x; f)$ the k -th partial sum of polynomial (8), i.e.

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{n,k}(x; f) &:= \frac{a_0^{(n)}}{2} + \sum_{v=1}^k (a_v^{(n)} \cos vx + b_v^{(n)} \sin vx) \equiv \\ &\equiv \frac{2}{2n+1} \sum_{j=-n}^n f(x_j) D_k(x-x_j) \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\lambda = [\lambda_{n,k}]$ be an infinite triangular matrix of real numbers such that

$$1^\circ \quad \lambda_{n,k} > 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\lambda_{n,k} = 0 \quad \text{for } k > n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$2^\circ \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n,k} = 0 \quad \text{for every fixed } k.$$

Consider the following strong means of polynomial (8):

$$(10) \quad I_h(x; f, \lambda) := \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_{n,k} |I_{n,k}(x; f) - f(x)|,$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we have the strong Cesàro means of (8):

$$(11) \quad L_n(x; f) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |I_{n,k}(x; f) - f(x)|$$

($n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$) examined in [5] for $f \in C$. Clearly, if $f \in C$, then $L_n(\cdot; f, \lambda) \in C$ also.

The purpose of this note is to estimate the generalized Hölder norms of $L_n(\cdot; f, \lambda)$ for $f \in H^\omega$ and $f \in \tilde{H}^\omega$.

By $M_k(\cdot)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we shall denote suitable positive constants depending only on indicated parameters.

2. Auxiliary results

For the polynomial (8) of $f \in C$ and the mean (10) we introduce the functions:

$$(12) \quad U_m(x; f) := \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m |I_{n,k}(x; f)|, \quad 0 \leq m \leq n,$$

$$(13) \quad U_{n_1, n_2}(x; f, \lambda) := \frac{1}{n_2 - n_1 + 1} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} \lambda_{n,k} |I_{n,k}(x; f)|$$

and

$$(14) \quad U_{n_1, n_2}(x; f, \lambda) := \frac{1}{n_2 - n_1 + 1} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} \lambda_{n,k} |I_{n,k}(x; f) - f(x)|$$

for $0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$; the last is called strong generalized de la Vallée Poussin mean of (8). Moreover let

$$(15) \quad B_{p,q}(n; \lambda) := \max_{p \leq k \leq q} \lambda_{n,k}$$

for $0 \leq p \leq q \leq n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following lemmas hold.

Lemma 1. Let X be the space C or H^ω . If $f \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$(16) \quad \|U_m(\cdot; f)\|_X \leq M_1 \|f\|_X$$

for all $0 \leq m \leq n$, which proves that $U_m(\cdot; f)$ belongs to X also.

Proof. The proof of (16) for $X = C$ is given in [5]. If $f \in H^\omega$, then by (1)–(4) we have

$$\|U(\cdot; f)\|_{H^\omega} = \|U_m(\cdot; f)\|_C + \|U_m(\cdot; f)\|_\omega$$

and

$$\|U_m(\cdot; f)\|_\omega = \sup_{h>0} \frac{\|\Delta_h U_m(\cdot; f)\|_C}{\omega(h)}.$$

Using (16) with $X = C$, we have

$$\|U_m(\cdot; f)\|_C \leq M_1 \|f\|_C, \quad 0 \leq m \leq n.$$

For the sum (9) we have

$$\Delta_h I_{n,k}(x; f) = I_{n,k}(x; \Delta_h f) \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \quad n \in N.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_h U_m(x; f)| &= \left| \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m \Delta_h I_{n,k}(x; f) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m |\Delta_h I_{n,k}(x; f)| = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m |I_{n,k}(x; \Delta_h f)| = U_m(x; \Delta_h f) \end{aligned}$$

and, by (16) with $X = C$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_m(\cdot; f)\|_\omega &= \sup_{h>0} \frac{\|U_m(\cdot; \Delta_h f)\|_C}{\omega(h)} \leq \\ &\leq M_1 \sup_{h>0} \frac{\|\Delta_h f\|_C}{\omega(h)} = M_1 \|f\|_\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up, we obtain

$$\|U_m(\cdot; f)\|_{H^\omega} \leq M_1 (\|f\|_C + \|f\|_\omega),$$

which completes the proof of (16) for $f \in H^\omega$. ■

Analogously we obtain the following

Lemma 2. If $f \in \bar{H}^\omega$ and $n \in N$, then $U_m(\cdot; f) \in \bar{H}^\omega$, $0 \leq m \leq n$. ■

Lemma 3. Let X be the space C or H^ω and $n \in N$. If $f \in X$ and $0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n$, then

$$\|U_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_X \leq M_2 B_{n_1, n_2}(n; \lambda) \frac{n_2 + 1}{n_2 - n_1 + 1} \|f\|_X,$$

where $B_{p, q}(n; \lambda)$ is defined by (15). ■

Lemma 4. If $f \in \bar{H}^\omega$, $n \in N$ and $0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n$, then $U_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; f, \lambda)$ belongs to \bar{H}^ω also. ■

Now we shall prove three lemmas on strong means (14).

Lemma 5. If $f \in C$, then

$$\|W_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_C \leq M_3 B_{n_1, n_2}(n; \lambda) \frac{n_2 + 1}{n_2 - n_1 + 1} E_{n_1}(f; C)$$

for all $0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n$ and $n \in N$.

Proof. In [5] it is proved that if $f \in C$, then

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n_2 - n_1 + 1} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} |I_{n, k}(\cdot; f) - f(\cdot)| \right\|_C \leq M_4 \frac{n_2 + 1}{n_2 - n_1 + 1} E_{n_1}(f; C)$$

for $0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n$ and $n \in N$. Using this inequality, we obtain our assertion.

Lemma 6. If $f \in H^\omega$ and $H^\omega \subset H^\mu$, then

$$(17) \quad \|W_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_{H^\mu} \leq M_5 B_{n_1, n_2}(n; \lambda) \frac{n_2 + 1}{n_2 - n_1 + 1} E_{n_1}(f; H^\mu)$$

for all $0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n$ and $n \in N$.

Proof. By (1)–(5) we have

$$(18) \quad \|W_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_{H^\mu} = \|W_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_C + \|W_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_\mu.$$

Denote by $T_{n_1}^*$ the trigonometric polynomial of the best approximation of function f by trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leq n_1$ in the sense of H^μ , i.e.

$$(19) \quad E_{n_1}(f; H^\mu) := \|f - T_{n_1}^*\|_{H^\mu}.$$

Then we have

$$I_n(x; T_{n_1}^*) = T_{n_1}^*(x) \text{ and } I_{n,k}(x; T_{n_1}^*) = T_{n_1}^*(x)$$

for $n_1 \leq k \leq n$ and $x \in R$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{n,k}(x; f) - f(x)| &\leq |I_{n,k}(x; f) - T_{n_1}^*(x)| + \\ &+ |T_{n_1}^*(x) - f(x)| = |I_{n,k}(x; f - T_{n_1}^*)| + |T_{n_1}^*(x) - f(x)| \end{aligned}$$

for $n_1 \leq k \leq n$ and $x \in R$. From this and by (12)–(15) we get

$$\|W_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_C \leq \|U_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; f, -T_{n_1}^*, \lambda)\|_C + B_{n_1, n_2}(n; \lambda) \|T_{n_1}^* - f\|_C.$$

Now using Lemma 3, we obtain

$$(20) \quad \|W_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_C \leq \left\{ M_2 \frac{n_2 + 1}{n_2 - n_1 + 1} + 1 \right\} B_{n_1, n_2}(n; \lambda) \|T_{n_1}^* - f\|_C.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_h W_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_C &\leq \|W_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; \Delta_h f, \lambda)\|_C \leq \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{n_2 - n_1 + 1} \sum_{k=n}^{n_2} \lambda_{n,k} |I_{n,k}(\cdot; \Delta_h f) - \Delta_h T_{n_1}^*(\cdot)| \right\|_C + \\ &+ \left\| \frac{1}{n_2 - n_1 + 1} \sum_{k=n}^{n_2} \lambda_{n,k} |\Delta_h T_{n_1}^*(\cdot) - \Delta_h f(\cdot)| \right\|_C \leq \\ &\leq \|U_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; \Delta_h(f - T_{n_1}^*), \lambda)\|_C + B_{n_1, n_2}(n; \lambda) \|\Delta_h(f - T_{n_1}^*)\|_C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\|\Delta_h W_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_C \leq \left\{ M_2 \frac{n_2 + 1}{n_2 - n_1 + 1} + 1 \right\} B_{n_1, n_2}(n; \lambda) \|\Delta_h(f - T_{n_1}^*)\|_C.$$

which, by (2), gives

$$(21) \quad \|W_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_\mu \leq \left\{ M_2 \frac{n_2 + 1}{n_2 - n_1 + 1} + 1 \right\} B_{n_1, n_2}(n; \lambda) \|T_{n_1}^* - f\|_\mu.$$

Using (19)–(21) to (18), we obtain (17). ■

Analogously as Lemma 6 we obtain the following

Lemma 7. If $f \in \bar{H}^\omega$ and $\bar{H}^\omega \subset \bar{H}^\mu$, then

$$\|W_{n_1, n_2}(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_{\bar{H}^\mu} \leq M_5 B_{n_1, n_2}(n; \lambda) \frac{n_2 + 1}{n_2 - n_1 + 1} E_{n_1}(f; \bar{H}^\mu)$$

for all $0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n$ and $n \in N$. ■

3. Main theorems

Now we shall give the main theorems on generalized Hölder norms of the strong means $L_n(\cdot; f, \lambda)$ defined by (10). We observe that the formula (10) can be written in the form

$$(22) \quad L_n(\cdot; f, \lambda) = \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} 2^p W_{p, 2^p}^-(x; f, \lambda) + (n - \bar{s} + 1) W_{s, n}^-(x; f, \lambda), \quad n \in N,$$

where s is an integer such that $2^s \leq n+1 < 2^{s+1}$, $\bar{p} = 2^p - 1$ and $\bar{s} = 2^s - 1$.

Theorem 1. If $f \in C$, then

$$(23) \quad \|L_n(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_C \leq M_7 \sum_{p=0}^s 2^p B_{p, 2^p}^-(n; \lambda) E_p^-(f; C)$$

for all $n \in N$, where s is an integer such that $2^s \leq n+1 < 2^{s+1}$ and $\bar{p} = 2^p - 1$.

In particular, if $f \in H^\omega$, then

$$(24) \quad \|L_n(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_C \leq 3M_7 \|f\|_\omega \sum_{p=0}^s 2^p B_{p, 2^p}^-(n; \lambda) \omega(2^{-p})$$

for all $n \in N$.

Proof. By (22) and Lemma 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_n(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_C &\leq \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} 2^p \|W_{p, 2^p}^-(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_C + (n - \bar{s} + 1) \|W_{s, n}^-(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_C \leq \\ &\leq M_4 2 \sum_{p=0}^s 2^p B_{p, 2^p}^-(n; \lambda) E_p^-(f; C) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in N$. Thus the proof of (23) is completed. Using the inequality (6) to (23), we obtain (24). ■

From Theorem 1 we obtain the following

Corollary 1. Suppose that the matrix λ satisfies the conditions 1°–2° and moreover,

$$(25) \quad \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor \log_2(n+1) \rfloor} 2^p B_{p, 2^p}^-(n; \lambda) \leq M_{10}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f \in C$, then

$$\|L_n(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_C \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In particular, for the strong means defined by (11), we have

$$\|L_n(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_C \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is proved in [5]. ■

Theorem 2. If $f \in H^\omega$ and $H^\omega \subset H^\mu$, then

$$(26) \quad \|L_n(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_{H^\mu} \leq M_8 \sum_{p=0}^s 2^p B_{p, 2^p}^-(n; \lambda) E_p^-(f, H^\mu)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where s and \bar{p} are integers as in Theorem 1. In particular, if μ is a function such that $q(h) = \omega(h)/\mu(h)$ is monotonically increasing for $h > 0$, then

$$(27) \quad \|L_n(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_{H^\mu} \leq M_g \|f\|_\omega \sum_{p=0}^s 2^p B_{p, 2^p}^-(n; \lambda) q(2^{-p})$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. From (22) and Lemma 6 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_n(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_{H^\mu} &\leq \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} 2^p \|W_{p, 2^p}^-(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_{H^\mu} + (n - \bar{s} + 1) \|W_{s, n}^-(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_{H^\mu} \leq \\ &\leq 2M_5 \sum_{p=0}^s 2^p B_{p, 2^p}^-(n; \lambda) E_p^-(f, H^\mu) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which gives (26).

Using Theorem A to (26), we obtain (27). ■

Theorem 2 implies the following

Corollary 2. Suppose that the matrix λ satisfies the conditions 1°, 2° and (25).

If $f \in H^\omega$ and μ, q are functions as in Theorem 2 and $q(h) \rightarrow 0$ if $h \rightarrow 0^+$, then

$$\|L_n(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_{H^\mu} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \blacksquare$$

Applying (22), Lemma 7 and Theorem A, and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2, we obtain

Theorem 3. If $f \in \bar{H}^\omega$ and $\bar{H}^\omega \subset \bar{H}^\mu$, then

$$\|L_n(\cdot; f, \lambda)\|_{\bar{H}^\mu} \leq M_8 \sum_{p=0}^s 2^p B_{\bar{p}, 2\bar{p}}^-(n; \lambda) E_{\bar{p}}(f; \bar{H}^\mu)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where s and \bar{p} are integers as in Theorem 1.

4. Applications

We shall examine, for example, the strong Riesz and Cesàro means of polynomial (8) of $f \in C$.

4.1. Consider the strong Riesz means of (8) defined by

$$(28) \quad R_n(x; f, \beta) := \frac{1}{\beta(n+1)^\beta} \sum_{k=0}^n \{(k+1)^\beta - k^\beta\} |I_{n,k}(x; f) - f(x)|,$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where β is a fixed positive number. The strong means (28) contain strong Cesàro means defined by (11).

From Theorems 1–2, (6), (7) and Theorem A we easily obtain the following three simple facts.

Corollary 3. If $f \in H^\omega$ with $\omega(h) = h^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\beta > 0$, then

$$\|R_n(\cdot; f, \beta)\|_C \leq \begin{cases} (n+1)^{-\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha < \beta, \\ (n+1)^{-\alpha} \log(n+2) & \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \\ (n+1)^{-\beta} & \text{if } \alpha > \beta, \end{cases} \\ \leq M_{10}(\alpha, \beta) \|f\|_\omega$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \blacksquare

Corollary 4. Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled and $q(h) \leq M_{11} h^\gamma$ for $h > 0$, with some $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then we have

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|R_n(\cdot; f, \beta)\|_{H^\mu} \leq \\ & \leq M_{12}^* \|f\|_\omega \begin{cases} (n+1)^{-\gamma} & \text{if } \gamma < \beta, \\ (n+1)^{-\gamma} \log(n+2) & \text{if } \gamma = \beta, \\ (n+1)^{-\beta} & \text{if } \gamma > \beta, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta > 0$, where $M_{12}^* = M_{12}(\beta, \gamma)$. ■

Corollary 5. Suppose that $f \in \bar{H}^\omega$ and $\bar{H}^\omega \subset \bar{H}^\mu$, where ω, μ, q satisfy the assumptions of Corollary 4. Then, for every $\beta > 0$, we have

$$\|R_n(\cdot; f, \beta)\|_{\bar{H}^\mu} = \begin{cases} o((n+1)^{-\gamma}) & \text{if } \gamma < \beta, \\ o((n+1)^{-\gamma} \log(n+2)) & \text{if } \gamma = \beta, \\ o((n+1)^{-\beta}) & \text{if } \gamma > \beta, \end{cases}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. ■

4.2. Now, we shall consider the strong Cesàro (C, δ) means, $\delta \geq 1$, of the polynomial (8) of $f \in C$, i.e.

$$t_n(x; f, \delta) := \frac{1}{A_n^\delta} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\delta-1} I_{n,k}(x; f) - f(x),$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$A_0^\delta = 1 \text{ and } A_k^\delta = \frac{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)\dots(\delta+k)}{k!} \text{ for } k \geq 1.$$

We have $t_n(x; f, 1) \equiv R_n(x; f, 1)$ and

$$(30) \quad t_n(x; f, \delta) := \frac{1}{A_n^\delta} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\delta-1} A_k^1 t_k(x; f, 1)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta > 1$. Using the estimations of the norms of $R_n(\cdot; f, 1)$ given in Corollaries 3–5 to the norms of $t_n(\cdot; f, \delta)$ defined by (30), we obtain the analogues of Corollaries 3–5, formulated below

Corollary 6. If $f \in H^\omega$ with $\omega(h) = h^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\delta > 1$, then

$$\|t_n(\cdot; f, \delta)\|_C \leq M_{13}(\alpha, \delta) \|f\|_\omega \begin{cases} (n+1)^{-\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ (n+1)^{-1} \log(n+2) & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. ■

Corollary 7. Suppose that the assumptions of Corollary 4 are fulfilled. Then we have

$$(31) \quad \|t_n(\cdot; f, \delta)\|_{H^\mu} \leq M_{14}(\gamma, \delta) \|f\|_\omega (n+1)^{-\gamma}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta > 1$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$. ■

Corollary 8. Suppose that the assumptions of Corollary 5 are satisfied. Then, for every $\delta > 1$ we have

$$\|t_n(\cdot; f, \delta)\|_{\bar{H}^\omega} = o((n+1)^{-\gamma}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad \blacksquare$$

Indeed, e.g. inequality (31) we obtain with the application of (29) and (30) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_n(\cdot; f, \delta)\|_{H^\mu} &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^\delta} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\delta-2} (k+1) \|t_k(\cdot; f, 1)\|_{H^\mu} \leq \\ &\leq M_{12}(\gamma) \|f\|_\omega \frac{1}{A_n^\delta} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\delta-2} (k+1)^{1-\gamma} \leq \\ &\leq M_{12}(\gamma) \|f\|_\omega (n+1)^{1-\gamma} \frac{1}{A_n^\delta} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\delta-2} \leq \\ &\leq M_{14}(\gamma, \delta) \|f\|_\omega (n+1)^{1-\gamma} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Leindler L., *Strong Approximation by Fourier Series*, Budapest 1985.
- [2] Prestin J., *On the Approximation by de la Vallée Poussin Sums and Interpolatory Polynomials in Lipschitz Norms*, *Analysis Math.* 13, 1987, pp. 251–259.
- [3] Prestin J., *Trigonometric Interpolation in Hölder Spaces*, *J. Approx. Theory* 53, 1988, pp. 145–154.

-
- [4] Prestin J., Prössdorf S., *Error Estimates in Generalized Trigonometric Hölder-Zygmund Norms*, *Z. Anal. und Anwend.* 9 (4), 1990, pp. 343–349.
- [5] Taberski R., *On Strong Summability of Some Trigonometric Polynomials*, *Fasciculi Math.* 5, 1970, pp. 39–47.
- [6] Timan A.F., *Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable*, Moscow 1960 (in Russian).
- [7] Zygmund A., *Trigonometric Series*, Vol. II, Moscow 1965 (in Russian).

(Poznań University of Technology, Institute of Mathematics, 60-965 Poznań, Poland)

Received on 24.3.1993.