

JERZY STANKIEWICZ

SMOOTHING CONDITIONS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

ABSTRACT: Let $T_s = T(x_0, \dots, x_s)$ and $T_k = T(x_0, \dots, x_k, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_s)$ be two adjacent s -simplices with $T(x_0, \dots, x_k)$ as the common face. Suppose that $f \in C^r(T_s \cup T_k)$ with

$$f|_{T_s} = P(x), \quad f|_{T_k} = Q(x),$$

where P and Q are Bezier polynomials in R^s with degree n . The conditions, which must be required for function f to be in class C^r across T , were introduced by C.K. Chui and M. Lai (see [3], [4] and Theorem 1 in this paper). The improvement of these conditions were discussed in [6]. As the application an algorithm for computation of polynomial coefficients for function f to be in class C^r across T is given.

KEY WORDS: polynomial coefficients, Bezier polynomials.

SYSTEMS OF LINEARY INDEPENDENT POINTS IN R^s

Let A_1 and A_2 be two systems of lineary independed points in R^s :

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} A_1 &= (x_0, \dots, x_s), \\ A_2 &= (y_0, \dots, y_s), \end{aligned}$$

where $x_i, y_i \in R^s$ for every $i = 0, \dots, s$. Then any point $x \in R^s$ may be expressed as:

$$(2) \quad x = \sum_{i=0}^s \alpha_i x_i, \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{i=0}^s \alpha_i = 1,$$

or

$$(3) \quad x = \sum_{i=0}^s \beta_i y_i, \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{i=0}^s \beta_i = 1.$$

In particular, every point y_i from A_1 one can express as convex combination of points x_j form system A_2 , i.e.:

$$(4) \quad y_i = \sum_{j=0}^s c_{ji} x_j, \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{j=0}^s c_{ji} = 1.$$

Replacing y_i in (3) by the right-hand side of (4) we can obtain following equality:

$$(5) \quad x = \sum_{i=0}^s \beta_i y_i = \sum_{i=0}^s \beta_i \left(\sum_{j=0}^s c_{ji} x_j \right) = \sum_{j=0}^s x_j \left(\sum_{i=0}^s \beta_i c_{ji} \right).$$

Comparing the coefficients of x_i in (2) and (5) we get

$$(6) \quad \alpha_j = \sum_{i=0}^s \beta_i c_{ji},$$

for $j = 0, \dots, s$. The above equality may be written using matrix notation:

$$(7) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{00} & c_{01} & \cdots & c_{0s} \\ c_{10} & c_{11} & \cdots & c_{1s} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{s0} & c_{s1} & \cdots & c_{ss} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_s \end{bmatrix}.$$

Matrix $C = [c_{ij}]$, on the right-hand side of (7), is a transformation matrix from base A_1 and A_2 , and the columns of this matrix are barycentric coordinates of points of system A_2 respect to A_1 .

Lemma 1. For any $k = 0, 1, \dots, s$ we have $\sum_{i=0}^s c_{ik} = 1$.

Proof. It suffices to observe that the columns of matrix $C = [c_{ij}]$ are a barycentric coordinates of some points.

Now, we consider two basis in R^s

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} B_1 &= (x_1 - x_0, x_2 - x_0, \dots, x_s - x_0), \\ B_2 &= (y_1 - y_0, y_2 - y_0, \dots, y_s - y_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then, any vector $x \in R^s$ can be expressed as:

$$(9) \quad x = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i (x_i - x_0),$$

or

$$(10) \quad x = \sum_{i=1}^s b_i (y_i - y_0).$$

In particular, every vector $y_i - y_0$, for $i = 1, \dots, s$, may be written as:

$$(11) \quad y_i - y_0 = \sum_{j=1}^s d_{ji} (x_j - x_0).$$

Coefficients d_{ji} ($i, j = 1, \dots, s$) form transformation matrix D from base B_1 to B_2 .

Lemma 2. Dependence between the coefficients c_{ij} and d_{ij} is given by the following formula:

$$(12) \quad c_{ij} - c_{i0} = \begin{cases} d_{ij} & \text{for } i=1,2,\dots,s, \\ -\sum_{i=1}^s d_{ij} & \text{for } i=0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us consider the equalities (4) and (11). Replacing all y_i in (11) by the right-hand side of (4) we can rewrite (4) as:

$$(13) \quad \sum_{j=1}^s (c_{ij} - c_{i0})x_i + (c_{0j} - c_{00})x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^s d_{ij}x_i + \sum_{i=1}^s d_{ij}x_0.$$

Comparing the coefficients of x_i follows (12).

Corollary 1. The coefficients d_{ij} ($i, j = 1, \dots, s$) of D are given by the following formula:

$$(14) \quad d_{ij} = c_{ij} - c_{i0}.$$

Corollary 2. For the matrices C and D we have $\det(C) = \det(D)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \det(C) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} c_{00} & c_{01} & \cdots & c_{0s} \\ c_{10} & c_{11} & \cdots & c_{1s} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{s0} & c_{s1} & \cdots & c_{ss} \end{bmatrix} = \det \begin{bmatrix} c_{00} & c_{01} - c_{00} & \cdots & c_{0s} - c_{00} \\ c_{10} & c_{11} - c_{10} & \cdots & c_{1s} - c_{10} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{s0} & c_{s1} - c_{s0} & \cdots & c_{ss} - c_{s0} \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \sum c_{00} & \sum c_{01} - \sum c_{00} & \cdots & \sum c_{0s} - \sum c_{00} \\ c_{10} & c_{11} - c_{10} & \cdots & c_{1s} - c_{10} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{s0} & c_{s1} - c_{s0} & \cdots & c_{ss} - c_{s0} \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum c_{ij}$ denotes $\sum_{i=0}^s c_{ij}$.

Now, it is sufficient to notice that $\sum_{i=0}^s c_{ij}$ is equal to 1 for any $j = 0, \dots, s$ (see Lemma 1). Hence, determinant of C may be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(C) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ c_{10} & c_{11} - c_{10} & \cdots & c_{1s} - c_{10} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{s0} & c_{s1} - c_{s0} & \cdots & c_{ss} - c_{s0} \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} - c_{10} & c_{12} - c_{10} & \cdots & c_{1s} - c_{10} \\ c_{21} - c_{20} & c_{22} - c_{20} & \cdots & c_{2s} - c_{20} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{s1} - c_{s0} & c_{s2} - c_{s0} & \cdots & c_{ss} - c_{s0} \end{bmatrix} = \det(D). \end{aligned}$$

(The last equality is direct consequence of Corollary 1).

Now, we turn our attention to the base $A = (x_0, \dots, x_s)$ in R^s and consider a sequence of the basis $A_i = (x_0, \dots, x_{i+1}, y_i, \dots, y_s)$ for $i = s-1, s-2, \dots, k > 0$, where A_{s-1} and A_{i-1} are obtained from A and A_i by replacing x_s and x_i by y_s and y_i , respectively.

Let C denotes matrix of transformation from A to A_k and C_i ($i = s, \dots, k+1$) denotes matrix of transformation from A_i and A_{i-1} . Then above matrices can be written as:

$$(15) \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{0,k+1} & \cdots & c_{0,s} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & c_{1,k+1} & \cdots & c_{1,s} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & c_{k,k+1} & \cdots & c_{k,s} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{s,k+1} & \cdots & c_{s,s} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$(16) \quad C_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & a_{0,i} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & a_{1,i} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{i,i} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{s,i} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, it is clear that

$$(17) \quad C = C_s C_{s-2} \cdots C_{k+1}.$$

Lemma 3. Let c_i and a_j denote i -th column of C and C_i ($i = s, \dots, k+1$) respectively. Then the column c_i can be expressed as:

$$(18) \quad c_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_{0i} + \sum_{n=i+1}^s c_{0n} a_{ni} \\ \vdots \\ a_{ii} + \sum_{n=i+1}^s c_{in} a_{ni} \\ \sum_{n=i+1}^s c_{i+1,n} a_{ni} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{n=i+1}^s c_{sn} a_{ni} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{0i} \\ a_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ a_{ii} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{n=i+1}^s c_n a_{ni}.$$

Proof. From (17), for $i = s$, we have $C = C_s$. Hence it implies that

$$c_{ss} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{0s} \\ \vdots \\ c_{ss} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{0s} \\ \vdots \\ a_{ss} \end{bmatrix} = a_{0s}.$$

Suppose, the formula (18) holds for $m+1$, i.e.:

$$C_s C_{s-1} \dots C_{m+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & c_{0m+1} & \dots & c_{0s} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & c_{1m+1} & \dots & c_{1s} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & c_{sm+1} & \dots & c_{ss} \end{bmatrix}.$$

For m we have:

$$\begin{aligned} C_s C_{s-1} \dots C_{m+1} C_m &= (C_s C_{s-1} \dots C_{m+1}) C_m = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & c_{0m+1} & \dots & c_{0s} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & c_{1m+1} & \dots & c_{1s} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & c_{sm+1} & \dots & c_{ss} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & c_{0m} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & c_{1m} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & c_{sm} & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & a_{0m} + \sum_{i=m+1}^s a_{im} c_{0i} & c_{0,m+1} & \cdots & c_{0s} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & a_{1m} + \sum_{i=m+1}^s a_{im} c_{1i} & c_{1,m+1} & \cdots & c_{1s} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & a_{mm} + \sum_{i=m+1}^s a_{im} c_{mi} & c_{m,m+1} & \cdots & c_{ms} \\ & & & \sum_{i=m+1}^s a_{im} c_{m+1,i} & c_{m+1,m+1} & \cdots & c_{m+1,s} \\ 0 & & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & \sum_{i=m+1}^s a_{im} c_{s,i} & c_{s,m+1} & \cdots & c_{ss} \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that the m -th column of above matrix is given by (18).

THE SMOOTHING CONDITIONS

In this section we assume T_s and T_k to be two s -simplices in R^s , i.e.:

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} T_s &= T(x_0, \dots, x_s), \\ T_s &= T(x_0, \dots, x_k, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_s). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the sets of vertices of T_s and T_k are two systems of lineary independent points in R^s . The common face of T_s and T_k is k -simplex with vertices x_0, \dots, x_k , i.e.: $T_s \cap T_k = T(x_0, \dots, x_k)$. In this section we will consider a function $f: D \rightarrow R$ ($D = T_s \cup T_k$) such that:

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} f|_{T_s} &= P(x) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^s u_i E_i \right)^n a_{0\dots 0}, \\ f|_{T_k} &= Q(x) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^s v_i E_i \right)^n b_{0\dots 0}, \end{aligned}$$

where (u_0, \dots, u_s) and (v_0, \dots, v_s) are barycentric coordinates of $x \in R^s$ with respect to simplex T_s and T_k , respectively; and E_i is a shift operator (see [1] and [2]) defined by:

$$(21) \quad E_i a_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_s} = a_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i+1, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_s}.$$

Polynomials $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are called Bezier polynomials.

Theorem 1. (due to C.K. Chui and M.J. Lai, see [3]) Let $c_{i,j}$ (for $i = (0, \dots, s)$) be barycentric coordinates of y_j ($j = k+1, \dots, s$). Then for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $f \in C^r(T_s \cup T_k)$ the condition (22) holds if and only if for all $\gamma_{k+1} + \dots + \gamma_s = l$, $\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_k = n - l$, $l = 0, \dots, r$:

$$(22) \quad \Delta_{k+1,0}^{\gamma_{k+1}} \dots \Delta_{s,0}^{\gamma_s} b_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0} = \prod_{j=k+1}^s \left(\sum_{i=1}^s c_{i,j} \Delta_{i,0} \right)^{\gamma_j} a_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0}.$$

The operator $\Delta_{i,0}$ is defined by:

$$(23) \quad \Delta_{i,0} a_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_s} = E_i a_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_s} - E_0 a_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_s}.$$

Theorem 2. The condition (22) in the Theorem 1 may be replaced by (24):

$$(24) \quad b_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k, \gamma_{k+1}, \dots, \gamma_s} = \prod_{j=k+1}^s \left(\sum_{i=0}^s c_{i,j} E_i \right)^{\gamma_j} a_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0}.$$

Now, we turn our attention to the case $k = s-1$. Then the condition (24) may be written as:

$$(25) \quad b_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{s-1}, \gamma_s} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^s c_{i,s} E_i \right)^{\gamma_s} a_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{s-1}, 0}$$

for all $\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_k = n - \gamma_s$, $\gamma_s = 0, \dots, r$.

By symmetry in (25) the following Lemma may be formulated:

Lemma 4. Let $T_1 = T(x_0, \dots, x_s)$ and $T_2 = T(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_s)$ be two adjacent simplices with $(s-1)$ -simplex as the common face. Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $D = T_1 \cup T_2$ be function defined by $f|_{T_1} = P$ and $f|_{T_2} = Q$, where P and Q are Bezier polynomials in \mathbb{R}^s with total degree n . Then $f \in C^r(D)$ if and only if

$$(26) \quad b_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{k-1}, \gamma_k, \alpha_{k+1} \dots \alpha_s} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^s c_{i,k} E_i \right)^{\gamma_k} a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{k-1}, 0, \alpha_{k+1} \dots \alpha_s},$$

for $\sum_{i \neq k} \alpha_i = n - \gamma_k$, $\gamma_k = 0, \dots, r$.

MAIN RESULT

For the pair of simplices (19) consider sequence symplexes T_l ($l = s, s-1, \dots, k$) defined by (27):

$$(27) \quad T_l = T(x_0, \dots, x_l, y_{l+1}, \dots, y_s).$$

i.e., each simplex T_l is obtained from T_{l+1} by replacing vertex x_{l+1} by y_{l+1} . Without loss of generality we can assume each T_l ($l = s, s-1, \dots, k$) is s -simplex. Let $P_l(x)$ denote Bezier polynomial of degree n defined by:

$$(28) \quad P_l(x) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^s z_{l,i} E_i \right)^n a_{0 \dots 0}^l,$$

where $z_{l,i}$ ($i = 0, \dots, s$) are barycentric coordinates of x respect to T_l and $a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}^l$ are Bezier coefficients of $P_l(x)$.

Theorem 3. Let T_s, T_{s-1}, \dots, T_k be a sequence of simplices as defined in (27) and $P = P_s, P_{s-1}, \dots, P_k = Q$ be sequence of Bezier polynomials given by (20) and (28). Suppose that for every pair of polynomials P_{l+1}, P_l ($l = s-1, \dots, k$) the following conditions hold:

$$(29) \quad b_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_l, \gamma_{l+1}, \alpha_{l+2} \dots \alpha_s}^l = \left(\sum_{i=0}^s d_{i,l+1} E_i \right)^{\gamma_{l+1}} a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_l, 0, \alpha_{l+2} \dots \alpha_s}^{l+1},$$

for all $\sum_{i \neq l} \alpha_i = n - \gamma_{l+1}$, where $\gamma_{l+1} = 0, \dots, r$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i \geq n - r$. Then the coefficients of polynomials P i Q satisfy (24).

Proof. 1. For the case $l = s-1$ the formula (29) may be written as:

$$a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{s-1}, \gamma_s}^{s-1} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^s d_{i,s} E_i \right)^{\gamma_s} a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s, 0}^s,$$

for all $\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \alpha_i = n - \gamma_s$, and $\gamma_s = 0, \dots, r$. From this it follows (25) is particular case of condition (24).

2. Assume that (24) holds for the case $l = k+1$ i.e.:

$$(30) \quad a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{k+1}, \gamma_{k+2} \dots \gamma_s}^{k+1} = \prod_{j=k+2}^s \left(\sum_{i=0}^s c_{i,j} E_i \right)^{\gamma_j} a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{k+1}, 0 \dots 0},$$

for all $\gamma_{k+1} + \dots + \gamma_s = l$, $a_0 + \dots + a_{k+1} = n - l$, $l = 0, \dots, r$, and $a_0 + \dots + a_k \geq n - r$. Suppose that conditions (29) hold for $l = k$, i.e.:

$$(31) \quad a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_k, \gamma_{k+1}, \alpha_{k+2} \dots \alpha_s}^k = \left(\sum_{i=0}^s d_{i, k+1} E_i \right)^{\gamma_{k+1}} a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_k, 0, \alpha_{k+2} \dots \alpha_s}^{l+1},$$

for $\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq k+1}}^s a_i = n - \gamma_{k+1}$, $\gamma_{k+1} = 0, \dots, r$, $\sum_{i=0}^k a_i \geq n - r$.

Consider the equality (31):

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_k, \gamma_{k+1}, \alpha_{k+2} \dots \alpha_s}^k &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^s d_{i, k+1} E_i \right)^{\gamma_{k+1}} a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_k, 0, \alpha_{k+2} \dots \alpha_s}^{l+1} = \\ &= \left(\sum_{j_0 + \dots + j_s = \gamma_{k+1}} \binom{\gamma_{k+1}}{j_0 \dots j_s} d_{0, k+1}^{j_0} \dots d_{s, k+1}^{j_s} E_0^{j_0} \dots E_s^{j_s} \right) a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_k, 0, \gamma_{k+2} \dots \gamma_k}^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing coefficients $a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_k, 0, \gamma_{k+2} \dots \gamma_k}^{k+1}$ of polynomial P_{k+1} by the right-hand side of (30) we obtain the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j_0 + \dots + j_s = \gamma_{k+1}} \binom{\gamma_{k+1}}{j_0 \dots j_s} d_{0, k+1}^{j_0} \dots d_{s, k+1}^{j_s} \prod_{l=k+2}^s \left(\sum_{i=0}^s c_{i, l} E_i \right)^{\gamma_l + j_l} a_{\alpha_0 + j_0 \dots \alpha_k + j_k, j_{k+1}, 0, \dots, 0}^{k+1} = \\ &= \sum_{j_0 + \dots + j_s = \gamma_{k+1}} \binom{\gamma_{k+1}}{j_0 \dots j_s} d_{0, k+1}^{j_0} \dots d_{s, k+1}^{j_s} E_0^{j_0} \dots E_{k+1}^{j_{k+1}} \prod_{l=k+2}^s \left(\sum_{i=0}^s c_{i, l} E_i \right)^{\gamma_l + j_l} a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0}^{k+1} = \\ &= A \prod_{l=k+2}^s \left(\sum_{i=0}^s c_{i, l} E_i \right)^{\gamma_l} a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{j_0 + \dots + j_s = \gamma_{k+1}} \binom{\gamma_{k+1}}{j_0 \dots j_s} d_{0, k+1}^{j_0} \dots d_{s, k+1}^{j_s} E_0^{j_0} \dots E_{k+1}^{j_{k+1}} \prod_{l=k+2}^s \left(\sum_{i=0}^s c_{i, l} E_i \right)^{j_l} = \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=0}^{k+1} d_{m, k+1} E_m + \sum_{m=k+2}^s \left(d_{m, k+1} \sum_{i=0}^s c_{i, m} E_i \right) \right)^{\gamma_{k+1}} = \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=0}^{k+1} d_{m, k+1} + \sum_{i=0}^s \left(\sum_{m=k+2}^s d_{m, k+1} c_{i, m} \right) E_i \right)^{\gamma_{k+1}} = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \left(d_{i,k+1} + \sum_{m=k+2}^s d_{m,k+1} c_{i,m} \right) E_i + \sum_{i=k+2}^s \left(\sum_{m=k+2}^s d_{m,k+1} c_{i,m} \right) E_i \right)^{\gamma_{k+1}}.$$

Now, it suffices to observe (see Lemma 3) that: $B_i = c_{i,k+1}$, for $i = 0, \dots, k+1$ and $C_i = c_{i,k+1}$, for $i = k+2, \dots, s$. From this we have:

$$A = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} B_i E_i + \sum_{i=k+2}^s C_i E_i \right)^{\gamma_{k+1}} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^s c_{i,k+1} E_i \right)^{\gamma_{k+1}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_k \gamma_{k+1} \alpha_{k+2} \dots \alpha_s}^k &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^s c_{i,k+1} E_i \right)^{\gamma_{k+1}} \prod_{l=k+2}^s \left(\sum_{i=0}^s c_{i,l} E_i \right)^{\gamma_l} a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_k 0 \dots 0} = \\ &= \prod_{l=k+1}^s \left(\sum_{i=0}^s c_{i,l} E_i \right)^{\gamma_l} a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_k 0 \dots 0}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

Now we consider a Bezier polynomial $P(x)$ defined as:

$$(32) \quad P(x) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^s \lambda_i E_i \right)^n a_{0 \dots 0},$$

where $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_s$ are barycentric coordinates of x . The right-hand side of equality (32) may be evaluated by a recursion formula:

$$(33) \quad \left(\sum_{i=0}^s \lambda_i E_i \right)^n a_{0 \dots 0} = \sum_{j=0}^s \left(\lambda_j \left(\sum_{i=0}^s \lambda_i E_i \right)^{n-1} E_j a_{0 \dots 0} \right).$$

The recursion formula (33) provides de Casteljau algorithm for evaluation $P(x)$ at given point x :

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}^0 &= a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}, \\ a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}^\gamma &= \sum_{i=0}^s \lambda_i E_i a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}^{\gamma-1} \quad \text{for } \gamma = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_s$ are barycentric coordinates of x and $a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}^\gamma$ are auxiliary points with $\sum_{i=0}^s \alpha_i = n - \gamma$. It is easy to show that $P(x) = a_{0 \dots 0}^n$ (see [5]). We now turn to the case of two polynomial P_{l+1} and P_l from Theorem 3. Applying the

algorithm (34) to the polynomial P_{l+1} and y_{l+1} we obtain a procedure for evaluating the coefficients of P_l to satisfying the conditions (29). Since $d_{i,l+1}$ ($i = 0, \dots, s$) be barycentric coordinates of y_{l+1} with respect to the simplex T_{l+1} , then the algorithm de Casteljau may be written as:

$$(35) \quad b_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}^0 = a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}^{l+1},$$

$$b_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}^\gamma = \sum_{i=0}^s d_{i,l+1} E_i b_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}^{\gamma-1} \quad \text{for } \gamma = 1, \dots, n.$$

Now, it is sufficient to note that $a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_l, \gamma, \alpha_{l+1}, \dots, \alpha_s}^l = b_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_l, 0, \alpha_{l+1}, \dots, \alpha_s}^\gamma$ ($\gamma = 0, \dots, r$). Since the conditions (29) are defined for all $\sum_{i \neq l} \alpha_i = n - \gamma_{l+1}$, where $\gamma_{l+1} = 0, \dots, r$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i \geq n - r$, then algorithm (35) may be expressed as:

$$(36) \quad b_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}^0 = a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}^{l+1} \quad \text{for all } \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i \geq m - r,$$

$$b_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}^\gamma = \sum_{i=0}^s d_{i,l+1} E_i b_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}^{\gamma-1} \quad \text{for } \gamma = 1, \dots, r.$$

For the general case of two simplices (19) and two polynomials (20) we can obtain the coefficients $b_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}$ of Q from the coefficients $a_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s}$ of P for all $\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i \geq n - r$ in two steps:

1. We need to construct of $n - k$ auxiliary simplices and $n - k$ corresponding polynomials (as it is shown in Theorem 3).
2. Then, it suffices to calculate their coefficients by using the algorithm (36) for each pair $l + 1$ and l ($l = s - 1, \dots, k$).

The implementation of the above algorithms will be considered in the next paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Beška, Convexity and variation diminishing property for Bernstein polynomials in higher dimensions, *Banach Center Publications* 22(1989), 45-53.
- [2] G. Chang, J. Hoschek, Convexity and variation diminishing property for Bernstein polynomials over triangles, *International Series of Numerical Mathematics* 75(1985), 61-70.
- [3] C.K. Chui, L.J. Lai, On bivariate vertex splines, *International Series of Numerical Mathematics*, 75(1985), 84-115.
- [4] C.K. Chui, L.J. Lai, On bivariate vertex splines and applications, *Topics in Multivariate Approximation* (1987), 19-36.

- [5] W. Bohm, G. Farin, J. Kahman, A survey of curve and surface methods in CAGD, *Computer Aided Geometric Design* 4(1984), 1-60.
- [6] J. Stankiewicz, *On smoothing conditions of multivariate splines*, to appear in Proceedings of Fourth International Conference on Function Spaces, 1995.

(Adam Mickiewicz University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Poznań, Poland)

Received on 01.07.1996 and, in revised form, on 17.07.1996.