

KAROLINA TOMCZAK

APPROXIMATION BY MEANS OF BOREL TYPE
OF FOURIER SERIES

ABSTRACT: In this paper we introduce and study approximation properties of means of the Borel type of Fourier series of functions belonging to the space $C_{2\pi}$.

KEY WORDS: Fourier series, Borel summability, degree of approximation.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Let S_n , $n \in N := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, be the n -th partial sum of real numerical series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k.$$

As is known ([1]) the series (1) is summable to s ($|s| < \infty$) by the Borel method

(B), if the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^k}{k!} S_k$ is convergent on $R_+ := (0, +\infty)$ and if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} B(r) = s,$$

where

$$B(r) := e^{-r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^k}{k!} S_k.$$

In this paper we define methods (B_i) , $i=1, 2$, of the Borel type of summability of the series (1).

DEFINITION. The series (1) is summable to s ($|s| < \infty$) by the method (B_1) , if the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^{2k} / (2k)! S_k$ is convergent on R_+ and if means

$$B_1(r) := \frac{1}{\cosh r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2k}}{(2k)!} S_k, \quad r \in R_+$$

satisfies the condition

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} B_1(r) = s.$$

The series (1) is summable to s ($|s| < \infty$) by the method (B_2) , if the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^{2k+1} S_k / (2k+1)!$ is convergent on R_+ and if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} B_2(r) = s,$$

where

$$B_2(r) := \frac{1}{\sinh r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} S_k, \quad r \in R_+$$

(sinh, cosh are elementary hyperbolic functions).

By verification of Toeplitz conditions ([1], p. 43) we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *The methods (B_i) , $i=1,2$, of summability of series are regular.*

It is easily verified that the divergent series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k$ is summable to $s=1/2$ by the Borel method (B) and by methods (B_i) , $i=1,2$.

2. (B_i) -MEANS OF FOURIER SERIES

2.1. Let $C_{2\pi}$ be the space of 2π -periodic real-valued functions f , continuous on $Q = [-\pi, \pi]$ with the norm

$$(2) \quad \|f\| := \max_{x \in Q} |f(x)|.$$

For the function $f \in C_{2\pi}$ we define the modulus of smoothness ([2])

$$(3) \quad \omega_2(t; f) := \sup_{|h| \leq t} \|\Delta_h^2 f(\cdot)\|, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where

$$(4) \quad \Delta_h^2 f(x) := f(x+h) + f(x-h) - 2f(x).$$

Denote by $Lip^2 \alpha$, with a fixed $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, the class of all functions $f \in C_{2\pi}$ for which $\omega_2(t; f) = O(t^\alpha)$.

2.2. Let $f \in C_{2\pi}$ and let

$$(5) \quad f(x) \sim \frac{1}{2}a_0(f) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k(f) \cos kx + b_k(f) \sin(kx))$$

be its Fourier series. Let $S_n(\cdot; f)$, $n \in N$, be the n -th partial sum of the series (5). It is easily proved that for every $f \in C_{2\pi}$ there exist means $B_i(\cdot; \cdot; f)$, $i = 1, 2$, of the Fourier series (5)

$$(6) \quad B_i(r; x; f) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{i,k}(r) S_k(x; f)$$

for $x \in R = (-\infty, +\infty)$, $r \in R_+$ and $i = 1, 2$, where

$$(7) \quad p_{1,k}(r) := \frac{1}{\cosh r} \frac{r^{2k}}{(2k)!}, \quad p_{2,k}(r) := \frac{1}{\sinh r} \frac{r^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!},$$

for $r \in R_+$ and $k \in N$.

In this section we shall give some approximation properties of means $B_i(t)$ for $f \in C_{2\pi}$.

In this paper we shall denote by $M_k(a, b)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, suitable positive constants depending only on indicated parametrs a, b .

2.3. First we shall give some auxiliary results. Applying the integral formula for $S_n(\cdot; f)$:

$$S_n(x; f) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+t) D_n(t) dt, \quad x \in R, \quad n \in N,$$

$$D_0(t) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad D_n(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^n \cos jt \quad \text{for } n \geq 1,$$

we get from (6) and (7)

$$(8) \quad B_i(r; x; f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+t) K_i(r; t) dt,$$

for $x \in R$, $r \in R_+$ and $i = 1, 2$, where

$$(9) \quad K_i(r; t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{i,n}(r) D_n(t).$$

Using the formula

$$(10) \quad D_n(t) = \frac{\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t}, \quad |t| \in (0, \pi], \quad n \in N,$$

we shall prove the following

LEMMA 1. For all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, and $|t| \in (0, \pi]$ and $i = 1, 2$ we have

$$(11) \quad K_1(r; t) = \frac{1}{2 \cosh r \cdot \sin \frac{t}{2}} \left[\cos \frac{t}{2} \cdot \sin(r \sin \frac{t}{2}) \cdot \sinh(\cos \frac{t}{2}) + \sin \frac{t}{2} \cos(r \sin \frac{t}{2}) \cosh(r \cos \frac{t}{2}) \right],$$

$$(12) \quad K_2(r; t) = \frac{\sin(r \sin \frac{t}{2}) \cosh(r \cos \frac{t}{2})}{2 \sinh r \cdot \sin \frac{t}{2}}.$$

PROOF. Let $i = 1$. Then by (7), (9) and (10) we get

$$(13) \quad K_1(r; t) = \frac{1}{2 \cosh r \cdot \sin \frac{t}{2}} \left\{ \cos \frac{t}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2n}}{(2n)!} \cdot \sin\left(\frac{2nt}{2}\right) + \sin \frac{t}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2n}}{(2n)!} \cos\left(\frac{2nt}{2}\right) \right\}.$$

It is known that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2n}}{(2n)!} = \cosh z,$$

for all complex numbers z . Setting $z = r[\cos \frac{t}{2} + i \sin \frac{t}{2}]$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2n} [\cos(\frac{2nt}{2}) + i \sin(\frac{2nt}{2})]}{(2n)!} = \cosh[r(\cos \frac{t}{2} + i \sin \frac{t}{2})]$$

and by elementary calculations we have

$$(14) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2n}}{(2n)!} \cos\left(\frac{2nt}{2}\right) = \cosh(r \sin \frac{t}{2}) \cosh(r \cos \frac{t}{2}),$$

$$(15) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2n}}{(2n)!} \sin\left(\frac{2nt}{2}\right) = i \sin(r \sin \frac{t}{2}) \sinh(r \cos \frac{t}{2}).$$

From (13) - (15) immediately follows (11). The proof for $i = 2$ is similar.

By

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_n(t) dt = 1 \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and by (9) and (7) we get

$$(16) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_i(r;t) dt = 1 \quad \text{for } r \in R_+, \quad i=1,2.$$

From (8), (16) and (4) it follows that

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} B_i(r;x;f) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [f(x+t) - f(x)] K_i(r;t) dt = \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} [\Delta_t^2 f(x)] K_i(r;t) dt \end{aligned}$$

for every $f \in C_{2\pi}$, $x \in R$, $r \in R_+$ and $i=1,2$.

Now we shall prove two auxiliary inequalities.

LEMMA 2. *Let $i=1$ or $i=2$. Then there exists a positive constant M_1 such that*

$$(18) \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |K_i(r;t)| dt = 2 \int_0^{\pi} |K_i(r;t)| dt \leq M_1(\ln r + 1) \quad \text{for } r > 1.$$

PROOF. It is obvious that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |K_i(r;t)| dt = 2 \int_0^{\pi} |K_i(r;t)| dt = 2 \int_0^{1/r} |K_i(r;t)| dt + 2 \int_{1/r}^{\pi} |K_i(r;t)| dt,$$

for $r > 1$ and $i=1,2$. By the inequality $|\sin y| \leq |y|$ for $y \in R$, we get from (11) and (12)

$$|K_1(r;t)| \leq \frac{r[\sinh(r \cos \frac{t}{2}) + \cosh(r \cos \frac{t}{2})]}{2 \cosh r} \leq r \exp(-2r \sin^2 \frac{t}{4}) \leq r,$$

$$\begin{aligned} |K_2(r;t)| &\leq \frac{r \cosh(r \cos \frac{t}{2})}{2 \sinh r} \leq \frac{r}{(1-e^{-2})} \exp(-2r \sin^2 \frac{t}{4}) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{r}{(1-e^{-2})} \leq \frac{2r}{2(1-e^{-2})} < 2r, \end{aligned}$$

for all $r > 1$ and $t \in [0; \pi]$. Hence for $r > 1$ we have

$$\int_0^{1/r} |K_i(r;t)| dt \leq 2, \quad i=1,2.$$

Moreover, by $\sin y \geq (2/\pi)y$ for $y \in [0; \pi/2]$, we drive from (11) and (12):

$$(19) \quad |K_1(r;t)| \leq \frac{\sinh(r \cos \frac{t}{2}) + \cosh(r \cos \frac{t}{2})}{2 \cosh r \sin \frac{t}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \exp(-2r \sin^2 \frac{t}{4}) \leq \\ \leq \frac{\pi}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{rt^2}{2\pi^2}\right),$$

$$(20) \quad |K_2(r;t)| \leq \frac{1}{(1-e^{-2}) \sin \frac{t}{2}} \exp(-2r \sin^2 \frac{t}{4}) \leq \frac{\pi}{(1-e^{-2})t} \exp\left(-\frac{rt^2}{2\pi^2}\right) < \\ < \frac{2\pi}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{rt^2}{2\pi^2}\right),$$

for $r > 1$ and $t \in (0; \pi]$. Setting $rt^2/2\pi^2 = u$, we get

$$\int_{1/r}^{\pi} t^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{rt^2}{2\pi^2}\right) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/(2\pi^2 r)}^{r/2} u^{-1} e^{-u} du \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/(2\pi^2 r)}^{r/2} u^{-1} du = \ln(\pi r),$$

for $r > 1$. Consequently we have

$$\int_{1/r}^{\pi} |K_i(r;t)| dt \leq M_2(\ln r + 1) \quad \text{for } r > 1.$$

Combining these, we obtain the desired inequality (18).

LEMMA 3. *Suppose that $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ be a fixed number. Then there exists a positive constant $M_3(\alpha, i)$ such that*

$$(21) \quad \int_0^{\pi} t^{\alpha} |K_i(r;t)| dt \leq M_3(\alpha, i) r^{-\alpha/2}$$

for all $r > 1$ and $i = 1, 2$.

PROOF. Let $i = 1$. Applying (19), we have

$$I_{\alpha} := \int_0^{\pi} t^{\alpha} |K_1(r;t)| dt \leq \pi \int_0^{\pi} t^{\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{rt^2}{2\pi^2}\right) dt$$

for $r > 1$, which by $(t/\pi)\sqrt{r/2} = u$ implies that

$$I_{\alpha} \leq \pi^{\alpha+1} \left(\frac{2}{r}\right)^{\alpha/2} \int_0^{\sqrt{r/2}} u^{\alpha-1} e^{-u^2} du \leq \pi^{\alpha+1} \left(\frac{2}{r}\right)^{\alpha/2} \left\{ \int_0^1 u^{\alpha-1} e^{-u^2} du + \int_1^{\infty} u^{\alpha-1} e^{-u^2} du \right\}.$$

If $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, then

$$\int_0^1 u^{\alpha-1} e^{-u^2} du \leq \int_0^1 u^{\alpha-1} du = \frac{1}{\alpha}.$$

If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then

$$\int_1^{+\infty} u^{\alpha-1} e^{-u^2} du \leq \int_1^{+\infty} u^{-u^2} du < \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}.$$

For $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ we have

$$\int_1^{+\infty} u^{\alpha-1} e^{-u^2} du \leq \int_1^{+\infty} u e^{-u^2} du \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} dt = \frac{1}{2}.$$

From these we get

$$I_\alpha \leq \pi^{\alpha+1} \left(\frac{2}{r}\right)^{\alpha/2} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\right) \quad \text{for } r > 1.$$

Thus the estimation (21) is proved for $i=1$. The proof of (21) for $i=2$ is analogous by (20).

LEMMA 4. Let $f \in C_{2\pi}$ and $i=1,2$. Then there exists a positive constant M_4 such that

$$(22) \quad \|B_i(r;; f)\| \leq M_4 \|f\| (\ln r + 1) \quad \text{for all } r > 1.$$

PROOF. From (8) we get

$$B_i(r; x; f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi [f(x-t) + f(x+t)] K_i(r; t) dt$$

for $r \in R_+$, $x \in R$, $i=1,2$. By (2) we have for $f \in C_{2\pi}$:

$$|f(x-t) + f(x+t)| \leq 2\|f\|, \quad x, t \in R.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_i(r;; f)\| &\leq \max_{x \in Q} \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi |f(x-t) + f(x+t)| |K_i(r; t)| dt \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \|f\| \int_0^\pi |K_i(r; t)| dt \end{aligned}$$

for $r > 1$ and $i=1,2$, which by Lemma 2 implies (22).

2.4. Now we shall prove main theorems

THEOREM 2. *Let $f \in C_{2\pi}$ and $i = 1, 2$. Then there exists a positive constant $M_5(i)$ such that*

$$(23) \quad \|B_i(r; \cdot; f) - f(\cdot)\| \leq M_5(i) \omega_2(r^{-1/2}; f)(\ln r + 1) \quad \text{for } r > 1,$$

where $\omega_2(f)$ is defined by (3).

PROOF. From (17) and (2) it follows that

$$\|B_i(r; \cdot; f) - f(\cdot)\| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \|\Delta_t^2 f(\cdot)\| |K_i(r; t)| dt$$

for $r > 1$ and $i = 1, 2$. But by (3) and by the inequality $\omega_2(\lambda t; f) \leq (\lambda + 1)^2 \omega_2(t; f)$ for $\lambda, t \geq 0$, we have

$$\|B_i(r; \cdot; f) - f(\cdot)\| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \omega_2(r^{-1/2}; f) \left\{ r \int_0^\pi |K_i(r; t)| dt + \right. \\ \left. + 2\sqrt{r} \int_0^\pi t |K_i(r; t)| dt + \int_0^\pi |K_i(r; t)| dt \right\}$$

for $r > 1$ and $i = 1, 2$. Applying Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we immediately obtain the desired assertion (23) for $r > 1$ and $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 2 implies the following

COROLLARY. *If the modulus of smoothness of function $f \in C_{2\pi}$ satisfies the condition*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \omega_2(t; f) \ln \frac{1}{t} = 0,$$

then the Fourier series (5) is (B_i) summable, $i = 1, 2$, to f in the norm of the space $C_{2\pi}$, i.e.

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \|B_i(r; \cdot; f) - f(\cdot)\| = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that $f \in Lip^2 \alpha$ with a fixed $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $i = 1, 2$. Then there exists a positive constant $M_6(\alpha, i)$ such that*

$$(24) \quad \|B_i(r; \cdot; f) - f(\cdot)\| \leq M_6(\alpha, i, f) r^{-\alpha/2} \quad \text{for all } r > 1.$$

PROOF. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2 we get for $f \in Lip^2 \alpha$

$$\|B_i(r; \cdot; f) - f(\cdot)\| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \omega_2(t; f) |K_i(r; t)| dt \leq M_7(\alpha, f) \int_0^\pi t^\alpha |K_i(r; t)| dt,$$

$r > 1$ and $i = 1, 2$. Applying Lemma 3, we obtain (24).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. *I am very grateful to Prof. Lucyna Rempulska for her help in preparing this note.*

REFERENCES

- [1] C.H. Hardy, *Divergent series*, Oxford, 1949.
- [2] A.F. Timan, *Theory of approximation of functions of real variable*, Moscow, 1960 (in Russian).

Received on 02.07.2001 and, in revised form, on 08.10.2001.

