

ALEKSANDER WASZAK

ON THE STRONG CONVERGENCE IN SOME  
SEQUENCE SPACES

ABSTRACT: The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study an idea of lacunary strong  $(A, \varphi)$ -convergence with respect to a modulus function. In courses of these investigations we study some connections between  $(A, \varphi)$ -strong summability of sequences and lacunary strong convergence with respect to a modulus or lacunary statistical convergence.

KEY WORDS: sequence spaces, modular spaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In papers of J. Musielak [9], J. Musielak and W. Orlicz [12], W. Orlicz [15] and myself [18] there are considered and investigated some modular spaces connected with strong  $(A, \varphi)$ -summability of sequences.

The spaces  $N_{\Theta}$  of lacunary strong convergence of sequences have been introduced by A. Freedman, J. Somberg and M. Raphael [4], where

$$N_{\Theta} = \left\{ x = (t_{\nu}) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\nu \in I_r} |t_{\nu} - s| = 0 \text{ for some } s \right\}.$$

and  $\Theta = (k_r)$  is a given lacunary sequence.

If  $f$  is a given modulus function (which have been introduced by H. Nakano [14]) and  $A = (a_{n\nu})$  is a given matrix, then applying the concept of T. Bilginc [1] we may define the sequence space (compare e.g. [2], [3] or [8])

$$N_{\Theta}(A, f) = \left\{ x = (t_{\nu}) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} t_{\nu} - s \right| \right) = 0 \text{ for some } s \right\}.$$

Throughout this paper it will be supposed that  $s=0$  and that we take the sequence  $(\sigma_n^{\varphi})$ , where  $\sigma_n^{\varphi}(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \varphi(|t_{\nu}|)$  instead of the sequence

$$\left( \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} t_{\nu} \right).$$

Finally, the space  $T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), f)$  of lacunary strongly convergent sequences is defined by the formula

$$T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), f) = \left\{ x = (t_\nu) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f(|\sigma_n^\varphi(x)|) = 0 \right\}.$$

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $T$ ,  $T_b$ ,  $T_0$ ,  $T_f$  denote spaces of all real sequences, bounded real sequences, real sequences convergent to zero and sequences with a finite number of elements different from zero, respectively. Sequences belonging to  $T$  will be denoted by  $x = (t_\nu)$ ,  $y = (s_\nu)$ ,  $x_m = (t_\nu^m)$ ,  $|x| = (|t_\nu|)$ ,  $0 = (0)$  and  $x^q$  will mean the sequence  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_q, 0, 0, \dots$ . Moreover, we shall write  $e_p$ ,  $e^q$ ,  $e_p^q$  for the following sequences:  $0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots$  (with 1 at the  $p$ th place);  $1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots$  (with 1 at the first  $q$  places);  $0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots$  (with 1 at the  $p$ th,  $(p+1)$ st, ...,  $(p+q-1)$ st place), respectively.

A sequence of positive integers  $\Theta = (k_r)$  is called lacunary if  $k_0 = 0$ ,  $k_r < k_{r+1}$  for all  $r$  and if  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$  then  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . In the following the quotient  $k_r/k_{r-1}$  will be denoted by  $q_r$ , (compare [4]).

Let  $A = (a_{n\nu})$  be an infinite matrix such that:

- is nonnegative i.e.  $a_{n\nu} \geq 0$  for  $n, \nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ,
- for an arbitrary positive integer  $n$  (or  $\nu$ ) there exists a positive integer  $\nu_0$  (or  $n_0$ ) such that  $a_{n\nu_0} \neq 0$  (or  $a_{n_0\nu} \neq 0$ ), respectively,
- there exist  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n\nu} = 0$  for  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ,
- $\sup_n \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} = K < \infty$ ,
- $\sup_n a_{n\nu} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ .

By a  $\varphi$ -function we understand a continuous non-decreasing function  $\varphi(u)$  defined for  $u \geq 0$  and such that  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(u) > 0$  for  $u > 0$  and  $\varphi(u) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ . The symbol  $\varphi(|x|)$  means the function  $\varphi(|x(t)|)$ .

A  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  is called non weaker than a  $\psi$ -function  $\psi$  and we write  $\varphi \prec \psi$  if there are constants  $c, b, k, l > 0$  such that  $c\psi(lu) \leq b\varphi(ku)$ , (for all, large or small  $u$ , respectively).

$\varphi$ -functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are called equivalent and we write  $\varphi \sim \psi$  if there are positive constants  $b_1, b_2, c, k_1, k_2, l$  such that  $b_1\varphi(k_1u) \leq c\psi(lu) \leq b_2\varphi(k_2u)$ , (for all, large or small  $u$ , respectively).

A  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  is said to satisfy the condition  $(\Delta_2)$ , (for all, large or small  $u$ , respectively) if for some constant  $k > 1$  there is satisfied the inequality  $\varphi(2u) \leq k\varphi(u)$ . For more properties of  $\varphi$ -function see e.g. [7], [10], [11].

By a modulus function we understood the increasing function  $f$  from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $[0, \infty)$  such that:  $f(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ,  $f(x+y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$  for  $x, y \geq 0$  and is continuous from the right at 0, (compare [14]).

### 3. SPACES OF STRONGLY $(A, \varphi)$ -SUMMABLE SEQUENCES

For a given  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi(u)$  and the matrix  $A = (a_{nv})$  we adopt the following notation:

$$\sigma_n^\varphi(x) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \text{ for } n=1, 2, \dots,$$

$$T_\varphi^0 = \left\{ x \in T : \sigma_n^\varphi(x) < \infty \text{ for } n=1, 2, \dots \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^\varphi(x) = 0 \right\},$$

$$T_\varphi = \left\{ x \in T : \lambda x \in T_\varphi^0 \text{ for an arbitrary } \lambda > 0 \right\},$$

$$T_\varphi^* = \left\{ x \in T : \lambda x \in T_\varphi^0 \text{ for a certain } \lambda > 0 \right\}.$$

Sequences  $x$  belonging to  $T_\varphi^*$  are called strongly  $(A, \varphi)$ -summable to zero.

A list of the most interesting properties concerning the space  $T_\varphi^*$  is presented below, (compare also [9], [12], [15] or [18]).

- (1)  $T_f \subset T_\varphi^*$ ,  $T_\varphi \subset T_\varphi^0 \subset T_\varphi^*$ .
- (2) If  $a_{nv} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $v$ , then  $e_p, e^q, e_p^q \in T_\varphi$ .
- (3) For an arbitrary  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  we have  $T_b \cap T_\varphi = T_b \cap T_\varphi^*$ .
- (4) For arbitrary two  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  the following identity holds  

$$T_b \cap T_\varphi^* = T_b \cap T_\psi^*.$$
- (5) If  $\psi \prec \varphi$  then  $T_\varphi \subset T_\psi$  and  $T_\varphi^* \subset T_\psi^*$ .
- (6) If the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi(u)$  satisfies the condition  $(\Delta_2)$  then  $T_\varphi = T_\varphi^*$ .

### 4. SPACES OF LACUNARY STRONGLY CONVERGENT SEQUENCES

Let  $\varphi$  and  $f$  be given  $\varphi$ -function and modulus function, respectively. Moreover, let a matrix  $A$  and a lacunary sequence  $\Theta$  be given. We introduce sequence space  $T_\Theta((A, \varphi), f)$  by the formula:

$$T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), f) = \left\{ x = (t_v) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) = 0 \right\}.$$

The sequence  $x$  is said to be lacunary strong  $(A, \varphi)$ -convergent to zero with respect to a modulus  $f$ , if  $x \in T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), f)$ .

Let us remark that in particularity we have:

1<sup>0</sup> If  $\varphi(u) = u$  for all  $u$ , then we obtain the space

$$N_{\Theta}^0(A, f) \equiv \left\{ x = (t_v) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} t_v \right) = 0 \right\}$$

which was defined and considered in [1].

2<sup>0</sup> If  $f(v) = v$  then  $T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), v) = T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi))$ , where

$$T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi)) = \left\{ x = (t_v) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) = 0 \right\}.$$

3<sup>0</sup> If  $A = I$  then we obtain the following sequence space

$$T_{\Theta}((I, \varphi), f) = \left\{ x = (t_v) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f(\varphi(|t_v|)) = 0 \right\}.$$

4<sup>0</sup> If  $A = I$  and moreover  $\varphi(u) = u$  and  $f(v) = v$  for all  $u$  and  $v$ , respectively, then we have the sequence space

$$N_{\Theta}^0 = T_{\Theta}((I, u), v) = \left\{ x = (t_v) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} |t_v| = 0 \right\},$$

(compare [1]).

5<sup>0</sup> If the matrix  $A = (a_{nv})$  is defined by the formula:

$$a_{nv} = \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{for } n \geq v \quad \text{and} \quad a_{nv} = 0 \quad \text{for } n < v,$$

then applying the properties of  $\Theta$  and  $f$  we obtain the sequence space

$$T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), f) = \left\{ x = (t_v) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=1}^n \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) = 0 \right\}.$$

Moreover, we have the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} f\left(\frac{1}{q_r} \min_{1 \leq \nu \leq k_{r-1}-1} \{\varphi(|t_\nu|)\}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \varphi(|t_\nu|)\right) \leq \\ &\leq f\left(\frac{1}{k_{r-1}+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{k_r} \varphi(|t_\nu|)\right). \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $f$  be any modulus function and let  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$ , the matrix  $A$  and the sequence  $\Theta$  be given. If

$$w((A, \varphi), f) = \left\{ x = (t_\nu) : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^m f\left(\left|\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \varphi(|t_\nu|)\right|\right) = 0 \right\}$$

then the following relations are true:

- (a) If  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$ , then we have  $w((A, \varphi), f) \subseteq T_\Theta((A, \varphi), f)$ .  
 (b) If  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$ , then we have  $T_\Theta((A, \varphi), f) \subseteq w((A, \varphi), f)$ .  
 (c) If  $1 < \liminf_r q_r \leq \limsup_r q_r < \infty$ , then  $T_\Theta((A, \varphi), f) = w((A, \varphi), f)$ .

**PROOF.** (a). Let us suppose that  $x \in w((A, \varphi), f)$ . There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $q_r > 1 + \delta$  for sufficiently large  $r$  and we have  $h_r/k_r \geq \delta/(1 + \delta)$  for sufficiently large  $r$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{n=1}^{k_r} f\left(\left|\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \varphi(|t_\nu|)\right|\right) &\geq \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f\left(\left|\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \varphi(|t_\nu|)\right|\right) = \\ &= \frac{h_r}{k_r} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f\left(\left|\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \varphi(|t_\nu|)\right|\right) \geq \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f\left(\left|\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \varphi(|t_\nu|)\right|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,  $x \in T_\Theta((A, \varphi), f)$ .

**PROOF.** (b). The condition  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$  implies that there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $q_r < M$  for every  $r$ . If  $x \in T_\Theta((A, \varphi), f)$  and  $\varepsilon$  is an arbitrary positive number, then there exists an index  $m_0$  such that

$$H_m = \frac{1}{h_m} \sum_{n \in I_m} f\left(\left|\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \varphi(|t_\nu|)\right|\right) < \varepsilon$$

for every  $m \geq m_0$ . Thus, we can find a positive constant  $L$  such that  $H_m \leq L$  for all  $m$ . In the following choosing an integer  $\alpha$  such that  $k_{r-1} < \alpha < k_r$  we obtain

$$I = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\alpha} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) \leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{n=1}^{k_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) = I_1 + I_2$$

where

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} \sum_{n \in I_m} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right),$$

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_m} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right).$$

It is easily verified that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} \sum_{n \in I_m} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \left( \sum_{n \in I_1} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) \right) + \dots + \sum_{n \in I_{m_0}} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} (h_1 H_1 + \dots + h_{m_0} H_{m_0}) \leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} m_0 k_{m_0} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq m_0} H_i \leq \frac{m_0 k_{m_0}}{k_{r-1}} L. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_m} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{h_m} \sum_{n \in I_m} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) \right) h_m \leq \\ &\leq \varepsilon \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{\alpha} h_m \leq \varepsilon \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}} = \varepsilon q_r < \varepsilon M. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $I \leq (m_0 k_{m_0} / k_{r-1}) L + \varepsilon M$ . Finally,  $x \in w((A, \varphi), f)$ .

## 5. PROPERTIES AND THEOREMS

Let the sequence  $\Theta$ , the modulus function  $f$  be given and let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are two  $\varphi$ -functions.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let us suppose that the matrix  $A$  satisfies the condition*

$$a_{n1} + a_{n2} + \dots \leq K \text{ for } n=1, 2, \dots$$

and let  $\varphi$ -functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  satisfy the condition  $(\Delta_2)$  for large  $u$ .

(a) If  $\psi \prec \varphi$  then  $T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), f) \subset T_{\Theta}((A, \psi), f)$ .

(b) If  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are equivalent for large  $u$ , then  $T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), f) = T_{\Theta}((A, \psi), f)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $x = (t_\nu) \in T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), f)$ . By assumption we have

$$(+)\quad \psi(|t_\nu|) \leq b\varphi(c|t_\nu|)$$

for  $b, c, u_0 > 0$  and  $|t_\nu| > u_0$ . Let us denote  $x = x^1 + x^2$ , where  $x^1 = (t_\nu^1)$  and  $t_\nu^1 = t_\nu$  for  $|t_\nu| < u_0$  and  $t_\nu^1 = 0$  for remaining values of  $\nu$ . It is easily seen that  $x^1 \in T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), f)$ . Moreover, by the assumptions and the inequality (+) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \psi(|t_\nu^2|) \right| \right) &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( b \left| \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \varphi(c|t_\nu^2|) \right| \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{L}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \varphi(|t_\nu^2|) \right| \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $L$  is connected with properties of  $f$  and  $\varphi$ .

Finally, we obtain  $x^2 = (t_\nu^2) \in T_{\Theta}((A, \psi), f)$  and in consequence  $x \in T_{\Theta}((A, \psi), f)$ .

The identity  $T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), f) = T_{\Theta}((A, \psi), f)$  is proved, analogously.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi(u)$  satisfies the condition  $(\Delta_2)$  and let the matrix  $A$  has the property  $a_{n1} + a_{n2} + \dots \leq K$  for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . The following conditions are true.*

(a) If  $x = (t_\nu) \in T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), f)$  and  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary number, then  $\alpha x \in T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), f)$ .

(b) If  $x, y = (t_v) \in T_\Theta((A, \varphi), f)$  where  $x = (t_v)$ ,  $y = (s_v)$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  are given numbers, then  $\alpha x + \beta y \in T_\Theta((A, \varphi), f)$ .

(c)  $T_\Theta((A, \varphi), f)$  is a linear space.

**PROOF.** Let  $x \in T_\Theta((A, \varphi), f)$ . First let us remark that for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  we get

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(\alpha |t_v|) \right| \right) \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right).$$

Moreover, if  $\alpha > 1$  then we may find a positive number  $s$  such that  $\alpha < 2^s$  and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(\alpha |t_v|) \right| \right) &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( d^s \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{L}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $d$  and  $L$  are constants connected with the properties of  $\varphi$  and  $f$ . Hence we obtain the condition (a).

In the following let the numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  and the elements  $x, y \in T_\Theta((A, \varphi), f)$  be given. From the part (a) it follows that the following inequality is true

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|\alpha t_v + \beta s_v|) \right| \right) &\leq L_1 \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) + \\ &+ L_2 \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|s_v|) \right| \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the constants  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are defined as in (a). In consequence  $x + y \in T_\Theta((A, \varphi), f)$ .

**REMARK.** Let us remark that the modulus function  $f$  is continuous in the interval  $[0, \infty)$ . Moreover, it is easily verified that by the assumptions of matrix  $A$ , the sums of elements in  $n$ -th row of the matrix  $A$

$$S_{pq}^n = a_{n,p} + a_{n,p+1} + \dots + a_{n,p+q-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \in I_r} f(S_{pq}^n)$$

are bounded and tend to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , (compare [13], [19]).



In consequence we have  $e, e^q, e_p^q \in T_\Theta((A, \varphi), f)$ .

**THEOREM 5.**  $T_\Theta((A, \varphi)) \subseteq T_\Theta((A, \varphi), f)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $x \in T_\Theta((A, \varphi))$ . For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we choose  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $f(v) < \varepsilon$  for every  $v \in [0, \delta]$ . We can write

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) = S_1 + S_2,$$

where  $S_1 = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right)$  and this sum is taken over  $\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \leq \delta$  and  $S_2 = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right)$  and this sum is taken over  $\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) > \delta$ .

By definition of the modulus  $f$  we have  $S_1 = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f(\delta) = f(\delta) < \varepsilon$  and moreover  $S_2 = f(1) \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|)$ . Finally, we get  $x \in T_\Theta((A, \varphi), f)$ .

## 6. SOME REMARKS ON LACUNARY $(A, \varphi)$ - STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE

Let  $\Theta$  be a lacunary sequence, and let the matrix  $A = (a_{nv})$ , the sequence  $x = (t_v)$ , the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi(u)$  and a positive number  $\varepsilon$  be given. We adopt the following notation

$$K_\Theta^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon) = \left\{ n \in I_r : \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

The sequence  $x$  is said to be lacunary  $(A, \varphi)$ -statistically convergent to a number zero if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k_r} \mu(K_\Theta^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon)) = 0,$$

where  $\mu(K_{\ominus}^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon))$  denotes the number of elements belonging to  $K_{\ominus}^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon)$ . The set of all lacunary  $(A, \varphi)$ -statistical convergent sequences is denoted by  $S_{\ominus}((A, \varphi))$ ,

$$S_{\ominus}((A, \varphi)) = \left\{ x = (t_\nu) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(K_{\ominus}^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon)) = 0 \right\},$$

(compare [2], [4], [5], [6], and [17]).

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $\psi \prec \varphi$  then  $S_{\ominus}((A, \psi)) \subset S_{\ominus}((A, \varphi))$ .*

**PROOF.** By assumptions we have  $\psi(|t_\nu|) \leq b\varphi(c|t_\nu|)$  and we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \psi(|t_\nu|) \leq b \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \varphi(c|t_\nu|) \leq L \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \varphi(|t_\nu|),$$

for  $b, c > 0$ , where the constant  $L$  is connected with properties of  $\varphi$ . Thus, the condition  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \psi(|t_\nu|) \geq \varepsilon$  implies the condition  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \varphi(|t_\nu|) \geq \varepsilon$  and in consequence we obtain

$$\mu(K_{\ominus}^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon)) \leq \mu(K_{\ominus}^r((A, \psi), \varepsilon))$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(K_{\ominus}^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon)) \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(K_{\ominus}^r((A, \psi), \varepsilon)).$$

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $\psi \sim \varphi$  then  $S_{\ominus}((A, \varphi)) = S_{\ominus}((A, \psi))$ .*

**THEOREM 8.**

(a) *If the matrix  $A$ , the sequence  $\ominus$  and functions  $f$  and  $\varphi$  be given, then*

$$T_{\ominus}((A, \varphi), f) \subset S_{\ominus}((A, \varphi)).$$

(b) *If the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi(u)$  and the matrix  $A$  are given, and if the modulus function  $f$  is bounded, then*

$$S_{\ominus}((A, \varphi)) \subset T_{\ominus}((A, \varphi), f).$$

(c) *If the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi(u)$  and the matrix  $A$  are given, and if the modulus function  $f$  is bounded, then*

$$S_{\ominus}((A, \varphi)) = T_{\ominus}((A, \varphi), f).$$

**PROOF.** (a) Let  $f$  be a modulus function and let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive number. We have the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r^1} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) \geq \frac{1}{h_r} f(\varepsilon) \sum_{n \in I_r^1} 1 \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} f(\varepsilon) \mu(K_{\Theta}^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $I_r^1 = \left\{ n \in I_r : \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\}$ . Finally, if  $x \in T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), f)$  then  $x \in S_{\Theta}((A, \varphi))$ .

**PROOF.** (b) Let us suppose that  $x \in S_{\Theta}((A, \varphi))$ . If the modulus function  $f$  is a bounded function, then there exists an integer  $L$  such that  $f(v) \leq L$  for all  $v \geq 0$ . In the following let

$$I_r^2 = \left\{ n \in I_r : \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r^1} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r^2} f \left( \left| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \varphi(|t_v|) \right| \right) \leq \frac{1}{h_r} L \mu(K_{\Theta}^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon)) + f(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain that  $x \in T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), f)$ .

**PROOF** of the part (c) follows from (a) and (b).

**THEOREM 9.** Let us suppose that the matrix  $A$  is regular and that the modulus function  $f$  is bounded. Then the condition  $x \in T_{\Theta}$  implies  $x \in S_{\Theta}((A, \varphi))$ .

**PROOF.** If  $t_v \rightarrow 0$  as  $v \rightarrow \infty$  then by regularity of  $A$  and by the definition of statistical  $(A, \varphi)$ -convergence we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \varphi(|t_{\nu}|) = 0.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(K_{\Theta}^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon)) = 0.$$

Finally, we obtain  $x \in T_{\Theta}((A, \varphi), f) \subset S_{\Theta}((A, \varphi))$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bilgin, Lacunary strong  $A$ -convergence with respect to a modulus, (preprint).
- [2] J.S. Connor, On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 32(1989), 194-198.
- [3] A. Esi, The  $A$ -statistical and strongly  $(A-p)$ -Cesaro convergence of sequences, *Pure and Appl. Math. Sci.* 43(1996), 89-93.
- [4] A.R. Freedman, J.J. Sember, M. Raphael, Some Cesaro-type summability spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 37(1978), 508-520.
- [5] J. Frydy, On statistical convergence, *Analysis* 5(1985), 301-313.
- [6] J. Frydy, C. Orhan, Lacunary statistical convergence, *Pacific J. Math.* 160(1993), 45-51.
- [7] A. Kufner, O. John, S. Fučík, *Function spaces*, Prague 1977.
- [8] I.J. Maddox, Sequence spaces defined by a modulus, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 100(1986), 161-166.
- [9] J. Musielak, On some modular spaces connected with strong summability, *Math. Student* 27(1959), 129-136.
- [10] J. Musielak, *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1034, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo 1983.
- [11] J. Musielak, W. Orlicz, On modular spaces, *Studia Math.* 18(1959), 49-65.
- [12] J. Musielak, W. Orlicz, On modular spaces of strongly summable sequences, *Studia Math.* 22(1962), 127-144.
- [13] J. Musielak, A. Waszak, On a property of some methods of summability, *Publ. Elektr. Facult. Univ. u Beogradu* 280(1969), 27-32.
- [14] H. Nakano, Concave modulars, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 5(1953), 29-49.
- [15] W. Orlicz, On some spaces of strongly summable sequences, *Studia Math.* 22(1963), 331-336.
- [16] S. Pehlivan, B. Fisher, On some sequence spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 25(1994), 1067-1071.
- [17] T. Salat, On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, *Math. Slovaca* 2(1980), 139-150.
- [18] A. Waszak, On spaces of strongly summable sequences with an Orlicz metric, *Comment. Math.* 11(1968), 229-246.

- [19] A. Waszak, Orlicz spaces connected with strong summability II, *Fasc. Math.* 5(1970), 85-91.

(Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Adam Mickiewicz University, Umultowska 87, 61-614 Poznań, Poland)

Received on 12.02.2001 and, in revised form, on 30.05.2001.





