

BABURAO G. PACHPATTE

**ON NEUTRAL TYPE HYPERBOLIC
INTEGRODIFFERENTIAL EQUATION**

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the existence, uniqueness and other properties of solutions of a certain neutral type hyperbolic integrodifferential equation in two independent variables. The Banach fixed point theorem and a certain integral inequality with explicit estimate are used to establish the results.

KEY WORDS: neutral type, hyperbolic integrodifferential equation, Banach fixed point theorem, integral inequality, Bielecki type norm, existence and uniqueness, boundedness and continuous dependence.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 34K10, 35R10.

1. Introduction

Let R^n denotes the real n -dimensional Euclidean space with appropriate norm denoted by $|\cdot|$. We denote by $R_+ = [0, \infty)$ the given subset of R , the set of real numbers, $\Delta = R_+ \times R_+$, $E = R^n \times R^n$ and $D = \{(x, y, s, t) \in \Delta^2 : 0 \leq s \leq x < \infty, 0 \leq t \leq y < \infty\}$. The partial derivatives of a function $z(x, y)$ for $x, y \in R_+$ with respect to x, y and xy are denoted by $D_1z(x, y)$, $D_2z(x, y)$ and $D_1D_2z(x, y) = D_2D_1z(x, y)$. Let $C(S_1, S_2)$ denotes the class of continuous functions from the set S_1 to the set S_2 .

In 1965, B. Palczewski [10] studied the uniqueness and convergence of successive approximations of Darboux problem for the equation

$$(P) \quad u_{xy} = f \left(x, y, u, u_x, u_y, \int_0^x \int_0^y g(x, y, s, t, u_s(s, t), u_t(s, t)) ds dt \right),$$

see also [8]. The Darboux problem for the special versions of equation (P) when the integral term is absent have been studied by many authors under a variety of hypotheses by using different techniques, see [1,11,12] and the references cited therein. Motivated by the important results obtained in [10] for the equation (P), in the present paper we study the existence, uniqueness

and other properties of solutions of the following neutral type hyperbolic integrodifferential equation

$$(1) \quad D_2 D_1 u(x, y) = f(x, y, u(x, y), D_2 D_1 u(x, y), (Hu)(x, y)),$$

with the given initial boundary conditions

$$(2) \quad u(x, 0) = \sigma(x), \quad u(0, y) = \tau(y), \quad u(0, 0) = 0,$$

for $x, y \in R_+$, where

$$(3) \quad (Hu)(x, y) = \int_0^x \int_0^y g(x, y, m, n, u(m, n), D_2 D_1 u(m, n)) \, dndm,$$

and $f \in C(\Delta \times E \times R^n, R^n)$, $g \in C(\Delta^2 \times E, R^n)$, $\sigma, \tau \in C(R_+, R^n)$. Obviously, $(H0)(x, y) = \int_0^x \int_0^y g(x, y, m, n, 0, 0) \, dndm$. The main tools employed in the analysis are based on the applications of the well known Banach fixed point theorem (see [4, p. 37]) coupled with Bielecki type norm [2] and a suitable variant of the integral inequality with explicit estimate given in [9, Theorem 2.4.1].

2. Existence and uniqueness

For a function $\phi(x, y)$ and its derivative $D_2 D_1 \phi(x, y)$ in $C(\Delta, R^n)$ we denote by $|\phi(x, y)|_1 = |\phi(x, y)| + |D_2 D_1 \phi(x, y)|$. Let S be the space of those functions $(\phi(x, y), D_2 D_1 \phi(x, y)) \in E$ which are continuous for $(x, y) \in \Delta$ and fulfil the condition

$$(4) \quad |\phi(x, y)|_1 = O(\exp(\lambda(x + y))),$$

for $(x, y) \in \Delta$, where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant. In the space S we define the norm (see [2])

$$(5) \quad |\phi|_S = \sup_{(x, y) \in \Delta} [|\phi(x, y)|_1 \exp(-\lambda(x + y))].$$

It is easy to see that S with norm defined in (5) is a Banach space. We note that the condition (4) implies that there exists a constant $N \geq 0$ such that $|\phi(x, y)|_1 \leq N \exp(\lambda(x + y))$ for $(x, y) \in \Delta$. Using this fact in (5) we observe that

$$(6) \quad |\phi|_S \leq N.$$

By a solution of equation (1) with the given initial boundary conditions (2) (IBVP (1)-(2) for short), we mean a function $u(x, y)$ which satisfy the

equations (1) and (2). It is easy to observe that the solution $u(x, y)$ of IBVP (1)-(2) satisfies the following integral equation

$$(7) \quad u(x, y) = \sigma(x) + \tau(y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t, u(s, t), D_2 D_1 u(s, t), (Hu)(s, t)) dt ds,$$

for $(x, y) \in \Delta$.

We need the following variant of the integral inequality established by Pachpatte in [9, Theorem 2.4.1]. We shall give it in the following lemma for completeness.

Lemma. *Let $w(x, y), a(x, y) \in C(\Delta, R_+)$, $b(x, y, s, t), D_1 b(x, y, s, t), D_2 b(x, y, s, t), D_2 D_1 b(x, y, s, t) \in C(D, R_+)$, $e(x, y, s, t) \in C(D, R_+)$ and $c \geq 0$ is a constant. If*

$$(8) \quad w(x, y) \leq c + \int_0^x \int_0^y \left\{ a(s, t) w(s, t) + b(x, y, s, t) w(s, t) + \int_0^s \int_0^t e(s, t, m, n) w(m, n) dn dm \right\} dt ds,$$

for $(x, y) \in \Delta$, then

$$(9) \quad w(x, y) \leq c \exp \left(\int_0^x \int_0^y [a(s, t) + A(s, t)] dt ds \right),$$

for $(x, y) \in \Delta$, where

$$(10) \quad A(x, y) = b(x, y, x, y) + \int_0^x D_1 b(x, y, m, y) dm + \int_0^y D_2 b(x, y, x, n) dn + \int_0^x \int_0^y D_2 D_1 b(x, y, m, n) dn dm + \int_0^x \int_0^y e(x, y, m, n) dn dm.$$

Proof. Define a function $z(x, y)$ by the right hand side of (8). Then $z(x, 0) = z(0, y) = c$, $w(x, y) \leq z(x, y)$, $z(x, y)$ is nondecreasing in x and y and (see [9, p. 65])

$$(11) \quad D_2 D_1 z(x, y) = a(x, y) w(x, y) + b(x, y, x, y) w(x, y) + \int_0^x D_1 b(x, y, m, y) w(m, y) dm + \int_0^y D_2 b(x, y, x, n) w(x, n) dn$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^x \int_0^y D_2 D_1 b(x, y, m, n) w(m, n) dndm \\
& + \int_0^x \int_0^y e(x, y, m, n) w(m, n) dndm \\
\leq & a(x, y) z(x, y) + b(x, y, x, y) z(x, y) \\
& + \int_0^x D_1 b(x, y, m, y) z(m, y) dm + \int_0^y D_2 b(x, y, x, n) z(x, n) dn \\
& + \int_0^x \int_0^y D_2 D_1 b(x, y, m, n) z(m, n) dndm \\
& + \int_0^x \int_0^y e(x, y, m, n) z(m, n) dndm \\
\leq & \left[a(x, y) + b(x, y, x, y) + \int_0^x D_1 b(x, y, m, y) dm + \int_0^y D_2 b(x, y, x, n) dn \right. \\
& \left. + \int_0^x \int_0^y D_2 D_1 b(x, y, m, n) dndm + \int_0^x \int_0^y e(x, y, m, n) dndm \right] z(x, y) \\
= & [a(x, y) + A(x, y)] z(x, y),
\end{aligned}$$

where $A(x, y)$ is given by (10). Now by following the proof of Theorem 4.2.1 given in [7], from (11) we get

$$(12) \quad z(x, y) \leq c \exp \left(\int_0^x \int_0^y [a(s, t) + A(s, t)] dt ds \right).$$

Using (12) in $w(x, y) \leq z(x, y)$ we get the required inequality in (9). ■

Our main result in this section is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Assume that*

(i) *the functions f, g in equation (1) satisfy the conditions*

$$(13) \quad |f(x, y, u, v, w) - f(x, y, \bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})| \leq k(x, y) [|u - \bar{u}| + |v - \bar{v}| + |w - \bar{w}|],$$

$$(14) \quad |g(x, y, m, n, u, v) - g(x, y, m, n, \bar{u}, \bar{v})| \leq h(x, y, m, n) \times [|u - \bar{u}| + |v - \bar{v}|],$$

where $k(x, y) \in C(\Delta, R_+)$, $h(x, y, m, n) \in C(D, R_+)$,

(ii) *for λ as in (4)*

(a) *there exists a nonnegative constant α such that $\alpha < 1$ and*

$$(15) \quad L(x, y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y L(s, t) dt ds \leq \alpha \exp(\lambda(x + y)),$$

for $(x, y) \in \Delta$, where

$$(16) \quad L(x, y) = k(x, y) \exp(\lambda(x + y)) + \int_0^x \int_0^y h(x, y, m, n) \exp(\lambda(m + n)) \, dndm,$$

(b) there exists a nonnegative constant β such that

$$(17) \quad |\sigma(x)| + |\tau(y)| + |f(x, y, 0, 0, (H0)(x, y))| + \int_0^x \int_0^y |f(s, t, 0, 0, (H0)(s, t))| \, dt ds \leq \beta \exp(\lambda(x + y)).$$

Then the IBVP (1)-(2) has a unique solution on Δ .

Proof. Let $u(x, y) \in S$ and define the operator T by

$$(18) \quad (Tu)(x, y) = \sigma(x) + \tau(y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t, u(s, t), D_2 D_1 u(s, t), (Hu)(s, t)) \, dt ds.$$

From (18) we observe that

$$(19) \quad D_2 D_1 (Tu)(x, y) = f(x, y, u(x, y), D_2 D_1 u(x, y), (Hu)(x, y)).$$

First we shall show that Tu maps S into itself. Evidently Tu is continuous on Δ and $Tu \in R^n$. We verify that (4) is fulfilled. From (18), (19), using the hypotheses and (6) we have

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} & |(Tu)(x, y)| + |D_2 D_1 (Tu)(x, y)| \leq |\sigma(x)| + |\tau(y)| \\ & + \int_0^x \int_0^y |f(s, t, u(s, t), D_2 D_1 u(s, t), (Hu)(s, t)) \\ & \quad - f(s, t, 0, 0, (H0)(s, t))| \, dt ds \\ & + \int_0^x \int_0^y |f(s, t, 0, 0, (H0)(s, t))| \, dt ds \\ & + |f(x, y, u(x, y), D_2 D_1 u(x, y), (Hu)(x, y)) \\ & \quad - f(x, y, 0, 0, (H0)(x, y))| + |f(x, y, 0, 0, (H0)(x, y))| \\ & \leq \beta \exp(\lambda(x + y)) + \int_0^x \int_0^y \left\{ k(s, t) |u(s, t)|_1 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^s \int_0^t h(s, t, m, n) |u(m, n)|_1 \, dndm \right\} \, dt ds \\ & + k(x, y) |u(x, y)|_1 + \int_0^x \int_0^y h(x, y, m, n) |u(m, n)|_1 \, dndm \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \beta \exp(\lambda(x+y)) + |u|_S \left\{ k(x,y) \exp(\lambda(x+y)) \right. \\
&\quad + \int_0^x \int_0^y h(x,y,m,n) \exp(\lambda(m+n)) \, dn \, dm \\
&\quad + \int_0^x \int_0^y \left\{ k(s,t) \exp(\lambda(s+t)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^s \int_0^t h(s,t,m,n) \exp(\lambda(m+n)) \, dn \, dm \right\} \, dt \, ds \left. \right\} \\
&\leq \beta \exp(\lambda(x+y)) + N \left\{ L(x,y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y L(s,t) \, dt \, ds \right\} \\
&\leq [\beta + N\alpha] \exp(\lambda(x+y)).
\end{aligned}$$

From (18) it follows that $Tu \in S$. This proves that the operator T maps S into itself.

Next, we verify that the operator T is a contraction map. Let $u(x,y), v(x,y) \in S$. From (18), (19) and using the hypotheses we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(21) \quad & |(Tu)(x,y) - (Tv)(x,y)| + |D_2 D_1(Tu)(x,y) - D_2 D_1(Tv)(x,y)| \\
&\leq \int_0^x \int_0^y |f(s,t,u(s,t), D_2 D_1 u(s,t), (Hu)(s,t)) \\
&\quad - f(s,t,v(s,t), D_2 D_1 v(s,t), (Hv)(s,t))| \, dt \, ds \\
&\quad + |f(x,y,u(x,y), D_2 D_1 u(x,y), (Hu)(x,y)) \\
&\quad - f(x,y,v(x,y), D_2 D_1 v(x,y), (Hv)(x,y))| \\
&\leq \int_0^x \int_0^y \left\{ k(s,t) |u(s,t) - v(s,t)|_1 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^s \int_0^t h(s,t,m,n) |u(m,n) - v(m,n)|_1 \, dn \, dm \right\} \, dt \, ds \\
&\quad + k(x,y) |u(x,y) - v(x,y)|_1 \\
&\quad + \int_0^x \int_0^y h(x,y,m,n) |u(m,n) - v(m,n)|_1 \, dn \, dm \\
&\leq |u - v|_S \left\{ k(x,y) \exp(\lambda(x+y)) \right. \\
&\quad + \int_0^x \int_0^y h(x,y,m,n) \exp(\lambda(m+n)) \, dn \, dm \\
&\quad + \int_0^x \int_0^y \left\{ k(s,t) \exp(\lambda(s+t)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^s \int_0^t h(s,t,m,n) \exp(\lambda(m+n)) \, dn \, dm \right\} \, dt \, ds \left. \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= |u - v|_S \left\{ L(x, y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y L(s, t) dt ds \right\} \\
&\leq \alpha |u - v|_S \exp(\lambda(x + y)).
\end{aligned}$$

From (21) we have

$$|Tu - Tv|_S \leq \alpha |u - v|_S.$$

Since $\alpha < 1$ it follows from Banach fixed point theorem (see [4, p. 37]) that T has a fixed point in S . The fixed point of T is however a solution of IBVP (1)-(2). The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Remark 1. We note that in 1956 A. Bielecki [2] first used the norm defined in (5) for proving global existence and uniqueness of solutions of ordinary differential equations. For the developments related to this topic, see [3].

Next, we give the following theorem concerning the uniqueness of solutions of IBVP (1)-(2) in the whole space R^n without existence part.

Theorem 2. *Assume that the functions f, g in equation (1) satisfy the conditions*

$$(22) \quad |f(x, y, u, v, w) - f(x, y, \bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})| \leq d[|u - \bar{u}| + |v - \bar{v}|] + |w - \bar{w}|,$$

$$(23) \quad |g(x, y, m, n, u, v) - g(x, y, m, n, \bar{u}, \bar{v})| \leq p(x, y, m, n) \times [|u - \bar{u}| + |v - \bar{v}|],$$

where d is a nonnegative constant such that $d < 1$ and $p(x, y, m, n), D_1 p(x, y, m, n), D_2 p(x, y, m, n), D_2 D_1 p(x, y, m, n) \in C(D, R_+)$. Then the IBVP (1)-(2) has at most one solution on Δ .

Proof. Let $u_1(x, y)$ and $u_2(x, y)$ be two solutions of IBVP (1)-(2) and $w(x, y) = |u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)| + |D_2 D_1 u_1(x, y) - D_2 D_1 u_2(x, y)|$. Then by hypotheses we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(24) \quad w(x, y) &\leq \int_0^x \int_0^y |f(s, t, u_1(s, t), D_2 D_1 u_1(s, t), (Hu_1)(s, t)) \\
&\quad - f(s, t, u_2(s, t), D_2 D_1 u_2(s, t), (Hu_2)(s, t))| dt ds \\
&\quad + |f(x, y, u_1(x, y), D_2 D_1 u_1(x, y), (Hu_1)(x, y)) \\
&\quad - f(x, y, u_2(x, y), D_2 D_1 u_2(x, y), (Hu_2)(x, y))| \\
&\leq \int_0^x \int_0^y \left\{ d[|u_1(s, t) - u_2(s, t)| + |D_2 D_1 u_1(s, t) - D_2 D_1 u_2(s, t)|] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^s \int_0^t p(s, t, m, n) [|u_1(m, n) - u_2(m, n)| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |D_2 D_1 u_1(m, n) - D_2 D_1 u_2(m, n)|] dndm \right\} dt ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + d [|u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)| + |D_2D_1u_1(x, y) - D_2D_1u_2(x, y)|] \\
& + \int_0^x \int_0^y p(x, y, m, n) [|u_1(m, n) - u_2(m, n)| \\
& \quad + |D_2D_1u_1(m, n) - D_2D_1u_2(m, n)|] dndm.
\end{aligned}$$

From (24) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(25) \quad w(x, y) \leq & \frac{1}{1-d} \int_0^x \int_0^y \left[dw(s, t) + p(x, y, s, t) w(s, t) \right. \\
& \left. + \int_0^s \int_0^t p(s, t, m, n) w(m, n) dndm \right] dt ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now a suitable application of Lemma to (25) yields

$$|u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)| + |D_2D_1u_1(x, y) - D_2D_1u_2(x, y)| \leq 0,$$

which implies $u_1(x, y) = u_2(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in \Delta$. Thus there is at most one solution to the IBVP (1)-(2) on Δ . \blacksquare

3. Boundedness and continuous dependence

In this section we shall study the boundedness of solutions of IBVP (1)-(2) and the continuous dependence of solutions of equation (1) on the given initial data and the functions involved therein.

The following theorem contains the estimate on the solution of IBVP (1)-(2).

Theorem 3. *Assume that*

$$(26) \quad |f(x, y, u, v, w)| \leq \gamma [|u| + |v|] + |w|,$$

$$(27) \quad |g(x, y, m, n, u, v)| \leq q(x, y, m, n) [|u| + |v|],$$

$$(28) \quad |\sigma(x)| + |\tau(y)| \leq \delta,$$

where γ, δ are nonnegative constants such that $\gamma < 1$ and $q(x, y, m, n), D_1q(x, y, m, n), D_2q(x, y, m, n), D_2D_1q(x, y, m, n) \in C(D, R_+)$. If $u(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in \Delta$ is any solution of IBVP (1)-(2), then

$$\begin{aligned}
(29) \quad |u(x, y)| + |D_2D_1u(x, y)| \leq & \frac{\delta}{1-\gamma} \\
& \times \exp \left(\int_0^x \int_0^y \left[\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} + \bar{A}(s, t) \right] dt ds \right),
\end{aligned}$$

for $(x, y) \in \Delta$, where $\bar{A}(x, y)$ is defined by the right hand side of (10), replacing $b(x, y, m, n)$ and $e(x, y, m, n)$ by $\frac{1}{1-\gamma}q(x, y, m, n)$.

Proof. Using the fact that $u(x, y)$ is a solution of IBVP (1)-(2) and the hypotheses we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (30) \quad & |u(x, y)| + |D_2 D_1 u(x, y)| \leq |\sigma(x)| + |\tau(y)| \\
 & + \int_0^x \int_0^y |f(s, t, u(s, t), D_2 D_1 u(s, t), (Hu)(s, t))| dt ds \\
 & + |f(x, y, u(x, y), D_2 D_1 u(x, y), (Hu)(x, y))| \\
 & \leq \delta + \int_0^x \int_0^y \left\{ \gamma [|u(s, t)| + |D_2 D_1 u(s, t)|] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \int_0^s \int_0^t q(s, t, m, n) [|u(m, n)| + |D_2 D_1 u(m, n)|] dn dm \right\} dt ds \\
 & + \gamma [|u(x, y)| + |D_2 D_1 u(x, y)|] \\
 & + \int_0^x \int_0^y q(x, y, m, n) [|u(m, n)| + |D_2 D_1 u(m, n)|] dn dm.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (30) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (31) \quad & |u(x, y)| + |D_2 D_1 u(x, y)| \\
 & \leq \frac{\delta}{1 - \gamma} + \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \int_0^x \int_0^y \left\{ \gamma [|u(s, t)| + |D_2 D_1 u(s, t)|] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + q(x, y, s, t) [|u(s, t)| + |D_2 D_1 u(s, t)|] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \int_0^s \int_0^t q(s, t, m, n) [|u(m, n)| + |D_2 D_1 u(m, n)|] dn dm \right\} dt ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now a suitable application of Lemma to (31) yields (29). ■

Remark 2. We note that, if the estimate obtained in (29) is bounded, then the solution $u(x, y)$ of IBVP (1)-(2) and also $D_2 D_1 u(x, y)$ is bounded on Δ .

The next result deals with the continuous dependence of solutions of equation (1) on given initial boundary values.

Theorem 4. *Assume that the functions f, g in equation (1) satisfy the conditions (22), (23). Let $u_1(x, y)$ and $u_2(x, y)$ be the solutions of equation (1) with the given initial boundary conditions*

$$(32) \quad u_1(x, 0) = \sigma_1(x), \quad u_1(0, y) = \tau_1(y), \quad u_1(0, 0) = 0,$$

and

$$(33) \quad u_2(x, 0) = \sigma_2(x), \quad u_2(0, y) = \tau_2(y), \quad u_2(0, 0) = 0,$$

respectively, where $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \tau_1, \tau_2 \in C(R_+, R^n)$ and

$$(34) \quad |\sigma_1(x) + \tau_1(y) - \sigma_2(x) - \tau_2(y)| \leq \mu,$$

where $\mu \geq 0$ is a constant. Then

$$(35) \quad |u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)| + |D_2 D_1 u_1(x, y) - D_2 D_1 u_2(x, y)| \\ \leq \frac{\mu}{1-d} \exp\left(\int_0^x \int_0^y \left[\frac{d}{1-d} + B(s, t)\right] dt ds\right),$$

for $(x, y) \in \Delta$, where $B(x, y)$ is defined by the right hand side of (10), replacing $b(x, y, m, n)$ and $e(x, y, m, n)$ by $\frac{1}{1-d}p(x, y, m, n)$.

Proof. Let $w(x, y) = |u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)| + |D_2 D_1 u_1(x, y) - D_2 D_1 u_2(x, y)|$ for $(x, y) \in \Delta$. From the hypotheses we have

$$(36) \quad w(x, y) \leq |\sigma_1(x) + \tau_1(y) - \sigma_2(x) - \tau_2(y)| \\ + \int_0^x \int_0^y |f(s, t, u_1(s, t), D_2 D_1 u_1(s, t), H(u_1)(s, t)) \\ - f(s, t, u_2(s, t), D_2 D_1 u_2(s, t), H(u_2)(s, t))| dt ds \\ + |f(x, y, u_1(x, y), D_2 D_1 u_1(x, y), H(u_1)(x, y)) \\ - f(x, y, u_2(x, y), D_2 D_1 u_2(x, y), H(u_2)(x, y))| \\ \leq \mu + \int_0^x \int_0^y \left\{ d \left[|u_1(s, t) - u_2(s, t)| \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + |D_2 D_1 u_1(s, t) - D_2 D_1 u_2(s, t)| \right] \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^s \int_0^t p(s, t, m, n) [|u_1(m, n) - u_2(m, n)| \right. \\ \left. + |D_2 D_1 u_1(m, n) - D_2 D_1 u_2(m, n)|] dndm \right\} dt ds \\ + d [|u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)| + |D_2 D_1 u_1(x, y) - D_2 D_1 u_2(x, y)|] \\ + \int_0^x \int_0^y p(x, y, m, n) [|u_1(m, n) - u_2(m, n)| \\ + |D_2 D_1 u_1(m, n) - D_2 D_1 u_2(m, n)|] dndm.$$

From (36) we observe that

$$(37) \quad w(x, y) \leq \frac{\mu}{1-d} + \frac{1}{1-d} \int_0^x \int_0^y \left\{ dw(s, t) + p(x, y, s, t) w(s, t) \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^s \int_0^t p(s, t, m, n) w(m, n) dndm \right\} dt ds.$$

Now a suitable application of Lemma to (37) yields the bound in (35), which shows the dependency of solutions of equation (1) on given initial boundary conditions.

Next, we consider the IBVP (1)-(2) and the corresponding IBVP

$$(38) \quad D_2 D_1 v(x, y) = F(x, y, v(x, y), D_2 D_1 v(x, y), (\bar{H}(v)(x, y))),$$

with the given initial boundary conditions

$$(39) \quad v(x, 0) = \bar{\sigma}(x), \quad v(0, y) = \bar{\tau}(y), \quad v(0, 0) = 0,$$

for $x, y \in R_+$, where

$$(\bar{H}v)(x, y) = \int_0^x \int_0^y G(x, y, m, n, v(m, n), D_2 D_1 v(m, n)) dn dm,$$

and $F \in C(\Delta \times E \times R^n, R^n)$, $G \in C(\Delta^2 \times E, R^n)$, $\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\tau} \in C(R_+, R^n)$. ■

Finally, we present the following theorem which deals with the continuous dependence of solutions of IBVP (1)-(2) on the functions involved therein.

Theorem 5. *Assume that the functions f, g in equation (1) satisfy the conditions (22), (23) and*

$$(40) \quad |\sigma(x) + \tau(y) - \bar{\sigma}(x) - \bar{\tau}(y)| \\ + \int_0^x \int_0^y |f(s, t, v(s, t), D_2 D_1 v(s, t), (Hv)(s, t)) \\ - F(s, t, v(s, t), D_2 D_1 v(s, t), (\bar{H}v)(s, t))| dt ds \\ + |f(x, y, v(x, y), D_2 D_1 v(x, y), (Hv)(x, y)) \\ - F(x, y, v(x, y), D_2 D_1 v(x, y), (\bar{H}v)(x, y))| \leq \varepsilon,$$

where f, g, σ, τ and $F, G, \bar{\sigma}, \bar{\tau}$ are as in IBVP (1)-(2) and IBVP (38)-(39), $\varepsilon \geq 0$ is a constant and $v(x, y)$ is a solution of IBVP (38)-(39). Then the solution $u(x, y)$ of IBVP (1)-(2) depends continuously on the functions involved therein.

Proof. Let $w(x, y) = |u(x, y) - v(x, y)| + |D_2 D_1 u(x, y) - D_2 D_1 v(x, y)|$ for $(x, y) \in \Delta$. From the hypotheses we have

$$(41) \quad w(x, y) \leq |\sigma(x) + \tau(y) - \bar{\sigma}(x) - \bar{\tau}(y)| \\ + \int_0^x \int_0^y |f(s, t, u(s, t), D_2 D_1 u(s, t), (Hu)(s, t)) \\ - f(s, t, v(s, t), D_2 D_1 v(s, t), (Hv)(s, t))| dt ds \\ + \int_0^x \int_0^y |f(s, t, v(s, t), D_2 D_1 v(s, t), (Hv)(s, t)) \\ - F(s, t, v(s, t), D_2 D_1 v(s, t), (\bar{H}v)(s, t))| dt ds \\ + |f(x, y, u(x, y), D_2 D_1 u(x, y), (Hu)(x, y))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - f(x, y, v(x, y), D_2 D_1 v(x, y), (Hv)(x, y))| \\
& + |f(x, y, v(x, y), D_2 D_1 v(x, y), (Hv)(x, y)) \\
& - F(x, y, v(x, y), D_2 D_1 v(x, y), (\bar{H}v)(x, y))| \\
\leq & \varepsilon + \int_0^x \int_0^y \left\{ d[|u(s, t) - v(s, t)| + |D_2 D_1 u(s, t) - D_2 D_1 v(s, t)|] \right. \\
& + \int_0^s \int_0^t p(s, t, m, n) \left[|u(m, n) - v(m, n)| \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + |D_2 D_1 u(m, n) - D_2 D_1 v(m, n)| \right] dndm \right\} dt ds \\
& + d[|u(x, y) - v(x, y)| + |D_2 D_1 u(x, y) - D_2 D_1 v(x, y)|] \\
& + \int_0^x \int_0^y p(x, y, m, n) [|u(m, n) - v(m, n)| \\
& \quad + |D_2 D_1 u(m, n) - D_2 D_1 v(m, n)|] dndm.
\end{aligned}$$

From (41) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(42) \quad w(x, y) \leq & \frac{\varepsilon}{1-d} + \frac{1}{1-d} \int_0^x \int_0^y \left\{ dw(s, t) + p(x, y, s, t) w(s, t) \right. \\
& \left. + \int_0^s \int_0^t p(s, t, m, n) w(m, n) dndm \right\} dt ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now a suitable application of Lemma to (42) yields

$$(43) \quad w(x, y) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1-d} \exp \left(\int_0^x \int_0^y \left[\frac{d}{1-d} + B(s, t) \right] dt ds \right),$$

for $(x, y) \in \Delta$, where $B(x, y)$ is defined as in Theorem 4. From (43) it follows that the solutions of IBVP (1)-(2) depends continuously on the functions involved therein. \blacksquare

Remark 3. We note that in the literature there are many papers dealing with the existence, uniqueness and other properties of solutions for equations of the form (P) and even for more general equations, see for instance [5,6,12]. Usually, the equations are discussed when the functions f, g in (P) are independent of the term $D_2 D_1 u$. Here, it is to be noted that our approach to the study of IBVP (1)-(2) is different from those of used in [10] and we believe that the results given above are of independent interest.

References

- [1] ALEXIEWICZ A., ORLICZ W., Some remarks on the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the hyperbolic equation $\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x \partial y} = f\left(x, y, z, \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\right)$, *Studia Math.*, 15(1956), 201-215.

- [2] BIELECKI A., Une remarque sur l'application de la Méthode de Banach-Cacciopoli-Tikhonov dans la théorie des équations différentielles ordinaires, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Phys. Astr.*, 4(1956), 261-264.
- [3] CORDUNEANU C., Bielecki's method in the theory of integral equations, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, section A*, 38(1984), 23-40.
- [4] CORDUNEANU C., *Integral Equations and Applications*, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [5] KWAPISZ M., Weighted norms and existence and uniqueness of L^p solutions for integral equations in several variables, *J. Differential Equations*, 97(1992), 246-262.
- [6] KWAPISZ M., TURO J., Some integral-functional equations, *Funkcial Ekvac.*, 18(1975), 107-162.
- [7] PACHPATTE B.G., *Inequalities for Differential and Integral Equations*, Mathematics in science and engineering series, Vol. 197, Academic Press, New York 1998.
- [8] PACHPATTE B.G., Darboux problem for hyperbolic partial integrodifferential equations, *J. Natural and Physical Science*, 14(2000), 59-70.
- [9] PACHPATTE B.G., *Integral and Finite Difference Inequalities and Applications*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Vol. 205, Elsevier Science, B.V., Amsterdam 2006.
- [10] PALCZEWSKI B., On uniqueness and successive approximations in the Darbox problem for the equation

$$u_{xy} = f \left(x, y, u, u_x, u_y, \int_0^x \int_0^y g(x, y, s, t, u(s, t), u_s(s, t), u_t(s, t)) ds dt \right),$$

Ann. Polon. Math., 17(1965), 1-11.

- [11] SHANAHAN J.P., On uniqueness questions for hyperbolic differential equations, *Pacific J. Math.*, 10(1960), 677-688.
- [12] WALTER W., *Differential and Integral Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag-Berlin, New York 1970.

BABURAO G. PACHPATTE

57 SHRI NIKETAN COLONY, NEAR ABHINAY TALKIES

AURANGABAD 431 001 (MAHARASHTRA) INDIA

e-mail: bgpachpatte@gmail.com

Received on 22.04.2007 and, in revised form, on 24.11.2007.