

METIN BAŞARIR

ON LACUNARY STRONG σ -CONVERGENCE WITH RESPECT TO A SEQUENCE OF φ -FUNCTIONS

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce some new sequence spaces combining with lacunary sequence, σ -convergence, a sequence of φ -functions and a sequence of modulus functions. We establish some inclusion relations between these spaces under some conditions. Also we studied connections between lacunary (A, φ_k, σ) -statistically convergence with these spaces.

KEY WORDS: σ -convergence, modulus function, φ -function, lacunary sequence, statistical convergence.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A45, 40F05, 46A80.

1. Introduction

Let w be the set of all sequences of real or complex numbers and l_∞ , c and c_0 be, respectively, the Banach spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences $x = (x_k)$ with the usual norm $\|x\| = \sup_k |x_k|$.

A sequence $x \in l_\infty$ is said to be almost convergent if all of its Banach limits coincide. Let \hat{c} denote the space of all almost convergent sequences. Lorentz [1] has shown that

$$\hat{c} = \left\{ x \in l_\infty : \lim_m t_{mn}(x) \text{ exists uniformly in } n \right\}$$

where

$$t_{mn}(x) = \frac{x_n + x_{n+1} + \dots + x_{n+m}}{m+1}.$$

The space $[\hat{c}]$ of strongly almost convergent sequences was introduced by Maddox [2] as follows:

$$[\hat{c}] = \left\{ x \in l_\infty : \lim_m t_{mn}(|x - le|) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, \text{ for some } l \right\}.$$

Let σ be one-to-one mapping of the set of positive integers into itself such that $\sigma^k(n) = \sigma(\sigma^{k-1}(n))$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $\sigma^0(n) := n$. A continuous linear

functional Φ on l_∞ is said to be an invariant mean or a σ -mean if and only if

- (1) $\Phi(x) \geq 0$ when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ has $x_n \geq 0$ for all n
- (2) $\Phi(e) = 1$ where $e = (1, 1, \dots)$ and
- (3) $\Phi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \Phi(x)$ for all $x \in l_\infty$.

For a certain kinds of mapping σ every invariant mean Φ extends the limit functional on space c , in the sense that $\Phi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in c$. Consequently, $c \subset V_\sigma$ where V_σ is the set of bounded sequences all of whose σ -means are equal.

It can be shown [3] that

$$V_\sigma = \left\{ x \in l_\infty : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{km}(x) = L \text{ uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } L = \sigma - \lim x \right\}$$

where

$$t_{km}(x) = \frac{x_m + x_{\sigma(m)} + \dots + x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{k+1}.$$

We say that a bounded sequence $x = (x_k)$ is σ -convergent if and only if $x \in V_\sigma$ such that $\sigma^k(m) \neq m$ for all $m \geq 0, k \geq 1$.

$[V_\sigma]$ denotes the set of all strongly σ -convergent sequences which has been defined by Mursaleen [5], as

$$[V_\sigma] = \left\{ x \in l_\infty : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m |x_{\sigma^k(n)} - l| = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}.$$

Taking $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, we obtain $[V_\sigma] = [\hat{c}]$ so that strong σ -convergence generalizes the concept of strong almost convergence.

By a lacunary $\theta = (k_r)$; $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ where $k_0 = 0$, we shall mean an increasing sequence of non-negative integers with $k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ and $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$. The ratio $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$ will be denoted by q_r . In [4], the space of lacunary strongly convergent sequences N_θ was defined as follows:

$$N_\theta = \left\{ x = (x_i) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} |x_i - s| = 0 \text{ for some } s \right\}.$$

A modulus function f is a function acting from $[0, \infty)$ to $[0, \infty)$ such that

- (i) $f(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- (ii) $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \geq 0$,
- (iii) f increasing,
- (iv) f is right continuous at zero.

Since $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq f(|x - y|)$, it follows from condition (iv) that f is continuous on $[0, \infty)$. Furthermore, we have $f(nx) \leq nf(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, from condition (ii) and so

$$f(x) = f\left(nx \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq nf\left(\frac{x}{n}\right).$$

Hence, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\frac{1}{n}f(x) \leq f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right).$$

A modulus may be bounded or unbounded. For example, $f(x) = x^p$, for $0 < p \leq 1$ is unbounded, but $f(x) = \frac{x}{1+x}$ is bounded. Ruckle [6] used the idea of a modulus function f to construct a class of FK spaces

$$L(f) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(|x_k|) < \infty \right\}.$$

The space $L(f)$ is closely related to the space l_1 which is a $L(f)$ space with $f(x) = x$ for all real $x \geq 0$.

Furthermore, modulus function has been discussed in [7], [8], [9], [10], [11],[12], [15] and many others.

By a φ -function we understand a continuous non-decreasing function $\varphi(v)$ defined for $v \geq 0$ and such that $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(v) > 0$ for $v > 0$ and $\varphi(v) \rightarrow \infty$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$.

In [12], [13], [14] and [16] some sequence spaces were studied using φ -function.

Let $\varphi = (\varphi_k)$ and $\psi = (\psi_k)$ be sequences of φ -functions.

A sequence of φ -functions φ is called non weaker than a sequence of φ -function ψ and we write $\psi \prec \varphi$ (or $\psi_k \prec \varphi_k$ for all k) if there are constants $c, b, n, l > 0$ such that $c\psi_k(lv) \prec b\varphi_k(nv)$ (for all, large or small v , respectively).

Two sequences of φ -functions φ and ψ are called equivalent and we write $\varphi \sim \psi$ (or $\psi_k \prec \varphi_k$ for all k) if there are positive constants b_1, b_2, c, k_1, k_2, l such that $b_1\varphi_k(k_1v) \leq c\psi_k(lv) \leq b_2\varphi_k(k_2v)$ (for all, large or small v , respectively).

A sequence of φ -functions φ is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition (for all, large or small v , respectively) if for some constant $l > 1$ there is satisfied the inequality $\varphi_k(2v) \leq l\varphi_k(v)$ for all k . For a φ -function satisfying the Δ_2 -condition, there is $L > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad \varphi_k(cv) \leq L\varphi_k(v)$$

for v large enough.

Indeed, for every $c > 0$ there is an integer s such that $c \leq 2^s$ and

$$(2) \quad \varphi_k(cv) \leq \varphi_k(2^s v) \leq l^s \varphi_k(v)$$

for v large enough.

Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix such that;

- a) A is non-negative, i.e. $a_{nk} \geq 0$ for $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$,
- b) for an arbitrary positive integer n (or k) there exists a positive integer k_0 (or n_0) such that $a_{nk_0} \neq 0$ (or $a_{n_0k} \neq 0$), respectively,
- c) there exists $\lim_n a_{nk} = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$,

d) $\sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} < \infty$,

e) $\sup_n a_{nk} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

In the present paper, we introduce and study some properties of the following sequence space that is defined by using the sequence of φ -functions and the sequence of modulus functions.

2. Main results

Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence, $\varphi = (\varphi_k)$ and $f = (f_n)$ be a sequence of φ -functions and a sequence of modulus functions, respectively. Moreover, let a matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ be given as above. Then we define,

$$V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(|x_{\sigma^k(m)}| \right) \right) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}.$$

Throughout this paper, the sequence of modulus functions $f = (f_n)$ satisfy the condition $\lim_{v \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_n f_n(v) = 0$.

If $x \in V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$ then the sequence x is said to be lacunary strong (A, φ_k, σ) -convergent to zero with respect to a sequence of modulus f .

If we take $\theta = (2^r)$ then we have

$$V^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_k \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^k f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(|x_{\sigma^k(m)}| \right) \right) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}.$$

When $\varphi_k(x) = x$ for all x and k , we obtain

$$V_{\theta}^0((A, \sigma), f_n) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left(|x_{\sigma^k(m)}| \right) \right) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}.$$

If $f_n(x) = x$ for all x and n , we write

$$V_{\theta}^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(|x_{\sigma^k(m)}| \right) \right) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}.$$

When $A = I$, we get the following sequence space,

$$V_{\theta}^0((I, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n(\varphi_n(|x_{\sigma^n(m)}|)) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}.$$

If we take $A = I$, $\varphi_k(x) = x$ for all x and k , then we have

$$V_{\theta}^0((I, \sigma), f_n) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n(|x_{\sigma^n(m)}|) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}.$$

If we take $A = I$, $\varphi_k(x) = x$ for all x and k and $f_n(x) = f(x)$ for all x and n then we have

$$V_{\theta}^0((I, \sigma), f) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f(|x_{\sigma^n(m)}|) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}.$$

If we take $A = I$, $\varphi_k(x) = x$ for all x and k , $f_n(x) = x$ for all x and n then we have

$$V_{\theta}^0(I, \sigma) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} |x_{\sigma^n(m)}| = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}.$$

If we define the matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ as follows:

$$a_{nk} = \frac{1}{n} \text{ for } n \geq k \text{ and } a_{nk} = 0 \text{ for } n < k,$$

then we have the sequence space,

$$V_{\theta}^0((C, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k \left(|x_{\sigma^k(m)}| \right) \right) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}.$$

If we take $\sigma(m) = m+1$, the sequence spaces $V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$, $V^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$, $V_\theta^0((A, \sigma), f_n)$, $V_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$, $V_\theta^0((I, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$, $V_\theta^0((I, \sigma), f_n)$, $V_\theta^0((I, \sigma), f)$, $V_\theta^0(I, \sigma)$ and $V_\theta^0((C, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$ reduce to the following spaces of sequences, respectively.

$$V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k), f_n) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k(|x_{k+m}|) \right) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\},$$

$$V^0((A, \varphi_k), f_n) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_k \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^k f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k(|x_{k+m}|) \right) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\},$$

$$V_\theta^0((A), f_n) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} (|x_{k+m}|) \right) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\},$$

$$V_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k(|x_{k+m}|) \right) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\},$$

$$V_\theta^0((I, \varphi_k), f_n) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n(\varphi_n(|x_{n+m}|)) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\},$$

$$V_\theta^0((I), f_n) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n(|x_{n+m}|) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\},$$

$$V_{\theta}^0((I), f) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f(|x_{n+m}|) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\},$$

$$V_{\theta}^0(I) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} (|x_{n+m}|) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\},$$

$$V_{\theta}^0((C, \varphi_k), f_n) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(|x_{k+m}|) \right) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}.$$

We can note that the space $[V_{\sigma}]$ (for $l=0$) is equivalent to space $V^0(I, \sigma)$ which has been noticed by the referee.

Now we have,

Theorem 1. *Let us suppose that $\varphi = (\varphi_k)$ and $\psi = (\psi_k)$ be two sequences of φ -functions and $\varphi = (\varphi_k(v))$ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition for large v .*

(i) *If $\psi \prec \varphi$ then $V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n) \subset V_{\theta}^0((A, \psi_k, \sigma), f_n)$.*

(ii) *If two sequences of φ -functions $(\varphi_k(v))$ and $(\psi_k(v))$ are equivalent for large v and they satisfy the Δ_2 -condition for large v then $V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n) = V_{\theta}^0((A, \psi_k, \sigma), f_n)$.*

Proof. (i) Let $x = (x_k) \in V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$.

Then $\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(|x_{\sigma^k(m)}| \right) \right) = 0$, uniformly in m . By assumption, $\psi \prec \varphi$, we have

$$(3) \quad \psi_k(|x_k|) \leq b\varphi_k(c|x_k|)$$

for $b, c, v_0 > 0$, all k , and $|x_k| > v_0$. Let us denotes $x = x' + x''$, where for all m , $x' = (x'_{\sigma^k(m)})$ and $x'_{\sigma^k(m)} = x_{\sigma^k(m)}$ for $|x_{\sigma^k(m)}| < v_0$ and $x'_{\sigma^k(m)} = 0$ for remaining values of k and m . It is easy to see that $x' \in V_{\theta}^0((A, \psi_k, \sigma), f_n)$. Furthermore, by the assumptions and the inequality (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \psi_k \left(|x''_{\sigma^k(m)}| \right) \right) &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(b \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(c |x''_{\sigma^k(m)}| \right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(bL \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(|x''_{\sigma^k(m)}| \right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{K}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(|x''_{\sigma^k(m)}| \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the constants K and L is connected with properties of f and φ functions. We recall that a φ -function satisfying the Δ_2 -condition imply (1) and (2).

Finally we obtain $x'' = (x''_k) \in V_\theta^0((A, \psi_k, \sigma), f_n)$ and in consequence $x \in V_\theta^0((A, \psi_k, \sigma), f_n)$.

(ii) The identity $V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n) = V_\theta^0((A, \psi_k, \sigma), f_n)$ is proved by using the same argument. \blacksquare

Theorem 2. *Let the sequence $\varphi = (\varphi_k(v))$ of φ -functions satisfies the Δ_2 -condition for all k and for large v then $V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$ is linear space.*

Proof. Firstly we prove that if $x = (x_k) \in V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$ and α is an arbitrary number then $\alpha x \in V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$. Let us remark that for $0 < \alpha < 1$ we get

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| \left(\alpha x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right) \right| \right) \right) \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right).$$

Moreover, if $\alpha > 1$ then we may find a positive number s such that $\alpha < 2^s$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| \left(\alpha x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right) \right| \right) \right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(d^s \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right) \\ \leq \frac{K}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where d and K are constants connected with the properties of φ and f functions. We recall that a φ -function satisfying the Δ_2 -condition imply (1) and (2). Hence we obtain $\alpha x \in V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$.

Secondly, let $x, y \in V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$ and α, β arbitrary numbers. We will show that $\alpha x + \beta y \in V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| \left(\alpha x_{\sigma^k(m)} + \beta y_{\sigma^k(m)} \right) \right| \right) \right) \\ \leq \frac{K_1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right) \\ + \frac{K_2}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| y_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the constants K_1 and K_2 are defined as above. In consequence, $\alpha x + \beta y \in V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$. \blacksquare

Now, we give the following Proposition that is necessary for proof of the Theorem 3.

Proposition 1 (15). *Let f be a modulus and let $0 < \delta < 1$. Then for each $v \geq \delta$ we have $f(v) \leq 2f(1)\delta^{-1}v$.*

Theorem 3. *Let $\varphi = (\varphi_k)$ and $f = (f_n)$ be a given sequence of φ -functions and a sequence of modulus functions, respectively, and $\sup_n f_n(1) < \infty$. Then $V_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma) \subset V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in V_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$ and put $\sup_n f_n(1) = M$. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$ we choose $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $f_n(x) < \varepsilon$ for every $x \in [0, \delta]$ and for all n . We can write

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right) = S_1 + S_2$$

where

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right)$$

and this sum is taken over

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \leq \delta$$

and

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right)$$

and this sum is taken over

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) > \delta$$

By the definition of the modulus f we have

$$S_1 \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n(\delta) < \frac{1}{h_r} (h_r \varepsilon) = \varepsilon$$

and moreover

$$S_2 \leq 2M \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right)$$

by Proposition 1. Finally we have $x \in V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$.

This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Theorem 4. *Let $\varphi = (\varphi_k)$ and $f = (f_n)$ be a given sequence of φ -functions and a sequence of modulus functions, respectively. If $\liminf_{v \rightarrow \infty} \inf_n \frac{f_n(v)}{v} > 0$ $V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n) = V_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$.*

Proof. If $\liminf_{v \rightarrow \infty} \inf_n \frac{f_n(v)}{v} > 0$ then there exists a number $c > 0$ such that $f_n(v) > cv$ for $v > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x \in V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right) &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} c \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{c}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $x \in V_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$. We complete the proof using Theorem 3. \blacksquare

Theorem 5. *Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence and $f = (f_n)$ be a sequence of modulus functions.*

- (i) *If $\liminf q_r > 1$ then $V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n) \subset V_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$.*
- (ii) *If $\limsup q_r < \infty$ then $V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n) \subset V_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$.*
- (iii) *If $1 < \liminf q_r \leq \limsup q_r < \infty$ then $V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n) = V_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$.*

Proof. This can be proved by using the same techniques as in [9] and hence we omit the proof. \blacksquare

The next result follows from Theorem 4 and Theorem 5.

Corollary 1. *If $\liminf_{v \rightarrow \infty} \inf_n \frac{f_n(v)}{v} > 0$ and $1 < \liminf q_r \leq \limsup q_r < \infty$ then $V_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma) = V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$.*

3. $S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$ -statistical convergence

Let the matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ be given as previously, $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence, the sequence of φ -functions $\varphi = (\varphi_k)$ and a positive number $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We write,

$$K_\theta^r(((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), \varepsilon)) = \left\{ n \in I_r : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

The sequence x is said to be lacunary (A, φ_k, σ) - statistically convergent to a number zero if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(K_\theta^r(((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), \varepsilon))) = 0$$

where $\mu(K_\theta^r(((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), \varepsilon)))$ denotes the number of elements belonging to $K_\theta^r(((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), \varepsilon))$. We denote by $S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$, the set of sequences $x = (x_k)$ which are lacunary (A, φ_k, σ) -statistically convergent to a number zero. We write

$$S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(K_\theta^r(((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), \varepsilon))) = 0 \right\}.$$

When we take $\theta = (2^r)$, $S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$ reduces to $S^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$.

If we take $A = I$ and $\varphi_k(x) = x$ for all k and x , then $S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$ reduces to $S_\theta^0(\sigma)$ defined by

$$S_\theta^0(\sigma) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r : \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0 \right\}.$$

Now we have,

Theorem 6. Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence, $\varphi = (\varphi_k(v))$ and $\psi = (\psi_k(v))$ are two sequences of φ -functions.

(i) If $\psi \prec \varphi$ and φ_k satisfies the Δ_2 -condition for large v and for all k then $S_\theta^0(A, \psi_k, \sigma) \subset S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$.

(ii) If $\varphi \sim \psi$ and φ_k and ψ_k satisfy the Δ_2 -condition for large v and for all k then $S_\theta^0(A, \psi_k, \sigma) = S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in S_\theta^0(A, \psi_k, \sigma)$. By assumption we have $\psi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \leq b\varphi_k \left(c \left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right)$ and we have for all m ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \psi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \leq b \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(c \left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \leq K \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right)$$

for $b, c > 0$, where the constant K is connected with properties of φ functions. Thus the condition $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \psi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \geq \varepsilon$ implies the condition $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \geq \varepsilon$ and in consequence we get

$$K_\theta^r(((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), \varepsilon)) \subset K_\theta^r(((A, \psi_k, \sigma), \varepsilon))$$

and

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(K_\theta^r(((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), \varepsilon))) \leq \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(K_\theta^r(((A, \psi_k, \sigma), \varepsilon))).$$

This completes the proof.

(ii) The identity $S_\theta^0(A, \psi_k, \sigma) = S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$ is proved by using the same argument. \blacksquare

Theorem 7. *Let $f = (f_n)$ be given a sequence of modulus functions. If $\inf_n f_n(v) > 0$, for $v > 0$, then*

$$V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n) \subset S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma).$$

Proof. If $\inf_n f_n(v) > 0$ then there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ such that $f_n(v) \geq \alpha$ for $v > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x \in V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{n \in I_r \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \geq \varepsilon}} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right) \\ & \geq \frac{\alpha}{h_r} \left| \left\{ n \in I_r : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that $x \in S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$. \blacksquare

Theorem 8. *Let $f = (f_n)$ be given a sequence of modulus functions. If $\supsup_v f_n(v) < \infty$ then*

$$S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma) \subset V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n).$$

Proof. We suppose $T(v) = \sup_n f_n(v)$ and $T = \sup_v T(v)$. Let $x \in S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma)$. Since $f_n(v) \leq T$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{n \in I_r \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \geq \varepsilon}} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{n \in I_r \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) < \varepsilon}} f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{h_r} T \left| \left\{ n \in I_r : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k \left(\left| x_{\sigma^k(m)} \right| \right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| + T(\varepsilon).$$

Taking the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $x \in V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$. \blacksquare

Corollary 2. *Let $f = (f_n)$ be a given sequence of modulus functions. If $\inf_n f_n(v) > 0$ ($v > 0$) and $\sup_v \sup_n f_n(v) < \infty$ then $S_{\theta}^0(A, \varphi_k, \sigma) = V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \sigma), f_n)$.*

Acknowledgement. I would like to express my gratitude to the reviewer for his/her careful reading and valuable suggestions which is improved the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] LORENTZ G.G., A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences, *Acta Math.*, 80(1948), 167-190.
- [2] MADDOX I.J., Spaces of strongly summable sequences, *Quart. J. Math.*, 18(1967), 345-355.
- [3] SCHAEFER P., Infinite matrices and invariant means, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 36(1972), 104-110.
- [4] FREEDMAN A.R., SEMBER J.J., RAPHAEL M., Some Cesaro-type summability spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 37(3)(1978), 508-520.
- [5] MURSALEEN, Matrix transformation between some new sequence spaces, *Houston J. Math.*, 9(1993), 505-509.
- [6] RUCKLE W.H., FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded, *Canad. J. Math.*, 25(1973), 973-978.
- [7] MADDOX I.J., Sequence spaces defined by a modulus, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 100(1986), 161-166.
- [8] PEHLIVAN S., FISHER B., Lacunary strong convergence with respect to a sequence of modulus functions, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 36(1)(1995), 71-78.
- [9] BILGIN T., On statistical convergence, *An. Univ. Timisoara Ser. Math. Inform.*, 32(1)(1994), 3-7.
- [10] KARAKAYA V., ŞİMŞEK N., On lacunary invariant sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus functions, *App. Math. And Comp.*, 156(2004), 597-603.
- [11] SAVAŞ E., On some generalized sequence spaces defined by a modulus, *Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 30(5)(1999), 459-464.
- [12] WASZAK A., Some remarks on strong convergence in modular spaces of sequences, *Fasc. Math.*, 35(2005), 151-162.
- [13] WASZAK A., On the strong convergence in some sequence spaces, *Fasc. Math.*, 33(2002), 125-137.
- [14] BAŞARIR M., ALTUNDAĞ S., Some difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of φ -functions, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, 57(2008), 149-160.

- [15] PEHLIVAN S., FISHER B., Some sequence spaces defined by a modulus function, *Math. Slovaca*, 45(3)(1995), 275–280.
- [16] KOLK E., MÖLDER A., Inclusion theorems for some sets of sequences defined by φ -functions, *Math. Slovaca*, 54(3)(2004), 267-279.

METIN BAŞARIR
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS
SAKARYA UNIVERSITY, 54187, SAKARYA, TURKEY
e-mail: basarir@sakarya.edu.tr

Received on 05.03.2009 and, in revised form, on 27.07.2009.