

P. K. CHAURASIA

## OPERATOR VALUED MEASURES AS MULTIPLIERS OF $L_1(I, X)$ WITH ORDER CONVOLUTION \*

ABSTRACT. Let  $I = (0, \infty)$  with the usual topology and product as max multiplication. Then  $I$  becomes a locally compact topological semigroup. Let  $X$  be a Banach Space. Let  $L_1(I, X)$  be the Banach space of  $X$ -valued measurable functions  $f$  such that  $\int_0^\infty \|f(t)\| dt < \infty$ . If  $f \in L_1(I)$  and  $g \in L_1(I, X)$ , we define

$$f * g(s) = f(s) \int_0^s g(t) dt + g(s) \int_0^s f(t) dt.$$

It turns out that  $f * g \in L_1(I, X)$  and  $L_1(I, X)$  becomes an  $L_1(I)$ -Banach module. A bounded linear operator  $T$  on  $L_1(I, X)$  is called a multiplier of  $L_1(I, X)$  if  $T(f * g) = f * Tg$  for all  $f \in L_1(I)$  and  $g \in L_1(I, X)$ . We characterize the multipliers of  $L_1(I, X)$  in terms of operator valued measures with point-wise finite variation and give an easy proof of some results of Tewari[12].

KEY WORDS: vector valued multiplier, operator valued Measure, order convolution.

*AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:* 43A15, 42A45, 43A25, 42A16.

### 1. Notations and preliminaries

Throughout the paper,  $X$  denotes a separable Banach space and  $I$  denotes the interval  $(0, \infty)$  and we represent the vector valued functions with capital alphabet letters, any set  $A$  as  $\mathbb{A}$  and a family of sets or set of functions  $A$  by the symbol  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $M(I)$  denote the Banach space with total variation norm of all finite regular complex-valued Borel measures on  $I$ . The linear order on the interval  $I = (0, \infty)$  determines a convolution on  $M(I)$  and it becomes a commutative semi-simple Banach algebra with multiplication as

---

\* The author is grateful to Prof. U. B. Tewari for many important discussions.

order convolution defined by Lardy [7]. More specifically, if  $\mu, \nu \in M(I)$ , then  $\mu * \nu \in M(I)$  is defined by the equations

$$\int_I f(z) d(\mu * \nu)(z) = \int_I \left[ \int_I f(x \cdot y) d\mu(x) \right] d\nu(y), \quad (f \in C_0(I)),$$

where  $C_0(I)$  denotes the Banach space of continuous complex - valued functions on  $I$  with usual supremum norm ( $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ ). The Banach subspace  $L_1(I)$  of  $M(I)$  consisting of the equivalence class of all Lebesgue integrable functions on  $I$  is a subalgebra of  $M(I)$  with respect to order convolution and hence it is itself a commutative Banach algebra. If  $f, g \in L_1(I)$ , we have

$$f * g(s) = f(s) \int_0^s g(t) dt + g(s) \int_0^s f(t) dt.$$

The maximal ideal space  $\hat{I}$  of  $L_1(I)$  can be identified with the interval  $(0, \infty]$  and the Gelfand transform  $\hat{f}$  of  $L_1(I)$  is defined by

$$\hat{f}(s) = \int_0^s f(t) dt \quad (0 < s \leq \infty).$$

For these and other results that may be used in the sequel, the reader is referred to [7, 11]. The algebra  $L_1(I)$  is without identity, but it does have approximate identities. One such approximate identity is the sequence  $u_n$  defined by

$$u_n(s) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } 0 < s \leq \frac{1}{n}, \\ 0, & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} < s < \infty. \end{cases} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

A bounded linear operator  $T$  on  $L_1(I)$  is called a multiplier of  $L_1(I)$  if  $T(f * g) = f * Tg$  for all  $f, g \in L_1(I)$ . Johnson and Lahr [1] characterized the multipliers of  $L_1(I)$ . In fact, they considered the interval  $(a, b)$  in place of  $I$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  may be infinite and  $I$  may or may not include one or either of the end points. In their paper,  $L_1(a, b)$  was considered as a semisimple convolution measure algebra (CMA) in the sense of Taylor [6]. Johnson and Lahr [1] had proved that the multiplier algebra  $M(L_1(a, b))$  is the Banach algebra obtained by adjoining the identity multiplier to the canonical image of  $L_1(a, b)$  in  $M(L_1(a, b))$ . Slightly earlier, Larsen [11] had characterized the multipliers of  $L_1[0, 1]$  with order convolution using methods quite different. In [11], Larsen mentions that his idea can be extended to any interval. Using his techniques, in Section 2 we characterize the multiplier algebra of  $L_1(I)$ . Similarly, in Section 3, we extend Larsen's [11] approach to define the positive multipliers of  $L_1(I)$  and in Section 4, we characterize the isometric multipliers of  $L_1(I)$ .

Let  $X$  be a separable Banach Space. Let  $L_1(I, X)$  be the Banach space of  $X$ -valued measurable functions  $F$  such that  $\int_0^\infty \|F(t)\|dt < \infty$ . If  $f \in L_1(I)$  and  $F \in L_1(I, X)$ , we define

$$f * F(s) = f(s) \int_0^s F(t)dt + F(s) \int_0^s f(t)dt.$$

It turns out that  $f * F \in L_1(I, X)$  and  $L_1(I, X)$  becomes an  $L_1(I)$ -Banach module. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces. A bounded linear operator  $T$  from  $L_1(I, X)$  to  $L_1(I, Y)$  is called a multiplier of  $L_1(I, X)$  to  $L_1(I, Y)$  if  $T(f * F) = f * TF$  for all  $f \in L_1(I)$  and  $F \in L_1(I, X)$ .

The past thirty to forty years have seen major research efforts in the general direction of "vector valued multiplier operators". The memoir [3] has laid the foundation for the development of a general theory of convolution operators and vector-valued Fourier multipliers.

Tewari [12] had characterized these multipliers in terms of operator valued functions. In Section 5, using Larsen's [11] ideas and the technique of Tewari, Dutta and Vaidya [13], we characterize the multipliers of  $L_1(I)$  to  $L_1(I, X)$  and then multipliers of  $L_1(I, X)$  to  $L_1(I, Y)$ . We characterize these multipliers in terms of operator valued measures with point-wise finite variation and give an easy proof of some results of Tewari [12].

In [1], Johnson and Lahr had described the multipliers of  $L_1(a, b)$ , where  $I = (a, b)$  is an interval contained in  $R$ ,  $a$  or  $b$  may be infinite and the interval  $I$  may or may not contain one or either of the end points. In the following Section 2 we extend Larsen's approach to any interval.

## 2. Multiplier of $L_1(I)$

Johnson and Lahr [1] had proved the following theorem. The proof of the theorem based on the ideas of Larsen [11], is quite different from [1] and discussed in detail in [10].

**Theorem 1.**  $f T : L^1(I) \rightarrow L^1(I)$ , then the following are equivalent:

- (i) The mapping  $T$  is a multiplier of  $L_1(I)$ .
- (ii) There exists a unique  $\mu \in M(I)$  of the form  $\mu = \alpha\delta + h$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\delta$  the identity of  $M(I)$  and  $h \in L_1(I)$ , such that  $Tf = \mu * f \forall f \in L_1(I)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose (ii) holds, then it is easy to verify that  $T(f * g) = f * Tg = Tf * g, \forall f, \forall g \in L_1(I)$ . Hence  $T$  is a multiplier and (i) holds.

Suppose  $T$  is a multiplier of  $L_1(I)$ . Assume that  $\phi$  is such that  $(Tf)^\wedge = \phi \hat{f}, f \in L_1(I)$ . We have  $\|Tu_n\| \leq \|T\|, n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus  $(Tu_n)$  is a norm bounded sequence in  $M(I)$ . By the Banach - Alaglou's Theorem and the

separability of  $C_0(I)$ , there exists a subsequence  $(Tu_{n_k})$  of  $(Tu_n)$  and a  $\mu$  in  $M(I)$  such that

$$\lim_k \langle g, Tu_{n_k} \rangle = \int_I g(y) d\mu(y), \quad (g \in C_0(I)).$$

Since  $T$  is a multiplier and  $(u_n)$  is an approximate identity in  $L_1(I)$ , we have

$$\lim_k T(u_{n_k} * f) = Tf.$$

Taking  $g \in C_0(I)$  and  $f \in L_1(I) \subseteq M(I)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, Tf \rangle &= \lim_k \langle g, (Tu_{n_k} * f) \rangle \\ &= \lim_k \left\{ \langle g, \hat{f}Tu_{n_k} \rangle + \langle g, f \cdot \phi \hat{u}_{n_k} \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence  $(\hat{u}_n)$  converges to 1 point-wise on  $I$  and  $\|\hat{u}_n\|_\infty = 1$  for each  $n$ . We have

$$\langle g, Tf \rangle = \int_I g(y) \hat{f}(y) d\mu(y) + \langle g, \phi f \rangle.$$

For  $0 < s < \infty$ , we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(s) &= \int_I \chi_{[0,s]}(t) d\mu(t) = \lim_k \int_I \chi_{[0,s]}(t) Tu_{n_k}(t) dt \\ &= \lim_k (T\hat{u}_{n_k})(s), \\ &= \lim_k \phi(s) \hat{u}_{n_k}(s) = \phi(s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\langle g, Tf \rangle = \int_I g(t) \hat{f}(t) d\mu(t) + \langle g, f \hat{\mu} \rangle.$$

Since  $\mu * f \in M(I)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \int_I g(u) d(\mu * f)(u) &= \int_I \left( \int_I g(st) f(s) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_I g(t) \hat{f}(t) d\mu(t) + \int_I g(t) f(t) \hat{\mu}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(2) \quad \int_I g(u) d(\mu * f)(u) = \langle g, Tf \rangle \quad \forall g \in C_0(I).$$

Therefore,  $\mu * f \in L_1(I)$ . It follows from (1) and (2) that for each  $f \in L_1(I)$ , the measure  $\hat{f}d\mu$  on  $I$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue

measure on  $I$ . Thus for each  $k$  there exists some  $h_k \in L_1(I)$  such that  $u_{n_k} \hat{d}\mu = h_k$ .

By Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem we have for each  $g \in L_\infty(I)$ , the sequence of numbers

$$\int_I g(t)u_{n_k} \hat{d}\mu(t) = \langle g, h_k \rangle$$

is a Cauchy sequence, that is,  $h_k$  is a Cauchy sequence in the weak topology on  $L_1(I)$ . However,  $L_1(I)$  is weakly sequentially complete and so there exists some  $h \in L_1(I)$  such that

$$\lim_k \langle g, h_k \rangle = \langle g, h \rangle \quad (g \in L_\infty(I)).$$

In particular, if  $g \in C_0(I)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I g(t)h(t)dt &= \lim_k \int_I g(t)h_k(t)dy \\ &= \lim_k \int_I g(t)\hat{u}_n(t)d\mu(t) = \int_I g(t)d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu$  and  $h$  are seen to define the same measure on  $I$ . Therefore there exists some  $\alpha \in I$  such that  $\mu = \alpha\delta + h$ , where  $\delta$  is the identity of  $M(I)$  and  $h$  can be considered as an element of  $L_1(I)$ . Hence,  $\mu * f \in L_1(I)$  and  $Tf = \mu * f \forall f \in L_1(I)$ . To see that  $\mu$  is unique, suppose  $\nu \in M(I)$  such that  $Tf = \nu * f, f \in L_1(I)$ . Then,

$$\int_0^s d\nu(t) = \hat{\nu}(s) = \hat{\mu}(s) = \alpha + \int_0^s h(t)dt, \quad 0 < s \leq \infty$$

and  $\nu(0) = \alpha = \mu(0)$ . Suppose  $\mu_1 = \mu - \alpha\delta$  and  $\nu_1 = \nu - \alpha\delta$ , we have  $\hat{\mu}_1(s) = \hat{\nu}_1(s)$  i.e.  $\mu_1([0, s]) = \nu_1([0, s])$  i.e.  $(\mu_1 - \nu_1)([0, s]) = 0$ . It can be easily seen that  $\mu_1([c, d]) = \nu_1([c, d])$  for any arbitrary  $[c, d]$ . Therefore,  $\mu_1$  and  $\nu_1$  agree on each element of the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{B}(I)$ . Thus  $\mu_1 = \nu_1$  i.e.  $\mu = \nu$ .

Similar to Larsen's approach [11], we characterize multipliers on  $L_1(I)$  in terms of absolutely continuous functions on  $\hat{I}$ . Tewari [12] had also noted this. If  $T$  is a multiplier of  $L_1(I)$  then there exists a unique  $\mu$  in  $M(I)$  of the form  $\mu = \alpha\delta + h, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, h \in L_1(I)$  such that  $Tf = \mu * f \forall f \in L_1(I)$ . Then given  $0 < s \leq \infty$ , we have for each  $f \in L(I)$ ,

$$(Tf)^\wedge(s) = \hat{\mu}(s)\hat{f}(s) = (\alpha + \hat{h}(s))\hat{f}(s).$$

Define  $\phi$  by  $\phi(s) = \alpha + \hat{h}(s), 0 < s \leq \infty$  and  $\phi(0) = \alpha$ . Then  $\phi$  is an absolutely continuous function  $\phi$  on  $(0, \infty]$  which is of bounded variation.

Conversely, if  $\phi$  is an absolutely continuous function on  $(0, \infty]$  which is of bounded variation, then  $\phi$  determines a multiplier of  $L_1(I)$  with order convolution. Indeed, since  $\phi$  and  $\hat{f}$  are absolutely continuous functions on  $(0, \infty]$ , so is  $\phi\hat{f}$ . Thus the derivative of  $\phi\hat{f}$ ,  $(\phi\hat{f})'$  exists almost everywhere on  $(0, \infty]$ . Since  $\phi\hat{f}(0) = 0$  for each  $f \in L_1(I)$ , we conclude that there exists a  $g \in L_1(I)$  such that  $\hat{g} = \phi\hat{f}$  and  $g$  is almost everywhere equal to the derivative of  $\phi\hat{f}$ , i.e.,  $g = (\phi\hat{f})'$ . Hence every function  $\phi \in AC(0, \infty]$  which is of bounded variation defines a multiplier  $T$  of  $L_1(I)$  such that  $(Tf)^\wedge = \phi\hat{f} \forall f \in L_1(I)$ . Since  $\phi$  is differentiable almost everywhere and  $\phi' \in L_1(I)$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(t)$  exists. Let  $\phi(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(t)$ , then  $Tf = \phi(0)f + (\phi\hat{f})'$ .

We have  $\|T\| \leq \|\mu\|$ . Since  $\mu$  is weak-star limit of a sequence in  $M(I)$  bounded in norm by  $\|T\|$ , and so  $\|\mu\| \leq \|T\|$  as norm closed balls in  $M(I)$  are weak-star closed. By the definition of  $\phi$ , we have  $\mu = \phi(0)\delta + \phi'$ . Hence

$$\|T\| = \|\mu\| = |\phi(0)| + \int_I |\phi'(t)| dt.$$

■

**Remark 1.** The inequality  $\|\phi\|_\infty \leq \|T\| = \|\mu\|$  may be strict. For example let  $\phi(s) = e^{-s^2}$  then  $\|\phi\|_\infty = 1$  but  $\|\mu\| = 2$  as  $\int_I |\phi'(s)| ds = 1$ .

**Remark 2.** Suppose  $T$  is a compact multiplier of  $L_1(I)$ . We show that  $Tf = h * f \forall f \in L_1(I)$ . Suppose  $Tf = \alpha f + h * f$ , where  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Let  $(u_n)$  is an approximate identity in  $L_1(I)$ . Since  $T$  is a compact operator, there exists a subsequence  $Tu_{n_k} = \alpha u_{n_k} + h * u_{n_k}$  which converges. Hence  $\alpha u_{n_k} = Tu_{n_k} - h * u_{n_k}$  is convergent. Since  $L_1(I)$  has no identity,  $u_{n_k}$  can not converge in  $L_1(I)$ . Thus the assumption  $\alpha \neq 0$  is wrong.

It seems that there is no compact multiplier for  $L_1(I)$ . However we observed the following:

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $h$  be any integrable function with support  $(0, r]$  which is properly contained in  $I$ . If  $Tf = h * f \forall f \in L_1(I)$ , then  $T$  is non-compact.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{K} = \{f : f \in L_1(I), f = 0 \text{ on } (0, r]\}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{K}$  is an infinite dimensional space. Hence, there exists a sequence  $f_n$  such that  $\|f_n\| \leq 1 \forall n$  and  $\{f_n\}$  has no convergent subsequence. If  $s \in (0, r]$ , we have,  $\hat{f}_n(s) = 0 \forall n$ , Let  $\int_0^r h(t) dt = c (\neq 0)$ , we have,

$$h * f_n(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s \in (0, r], \\ cf_n(s), & \text{if } s \in (0, r]'. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $h * f_n = cf_n$  has no convergent subsequence. ■

### 3. Positive multipliers of $L_1(I)$

In this section, we give a characterization of positive multipliers of  $L_1(I)$ . Larsen [11] had characterized positive multipliers of  $L_1([0, 1])$  with order convolution. Here we extend Larsen’s approach to any interval. It was discussed in detail in [10].

**Definition 1.** *A multiplier  $T$  of  $L_1(I)$  is said to be a positive multiplier if  $Tf(x) \geq 0$  almost everywhere on  $I$ , whenever  $f \in L_1(I)$  and  $f(x) \geq 0$  almost everywhere.*

In the next theorem, we extend Larsen’s approach [11] for a complete description of the positive multipliers on  $L_1(I)$ . For details we refer to [10].

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $T$  be a multiplier of  $L_1(I)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The multiplier  $T$  is positive.*
- (ii) *If  $\phi$  is an absolutely continuous function on  $I$  which is of bounded variation such that  $(Tf)^\wedge = \phi f \ \forall f \in L_1(I)$ , then  $\phi(x) \geq 0 \ \forall x \in I$  and  $\phi'(x) \geq 0$  almost everywhere.*
- (iii) *If  $\mu = \alpha\delta + h, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $h \in L_1(I)$  is such that  $Tf = \mu * f \ \forall f \in L_1(I)$ , then  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $h(x) \geq 0$  a.e.*

**Proof.** For each  $n$ , we have

$$(Tu_n)^\wedge(s) = \phi(s)\hat{u}_n(s) = \begin{cases} n\phi(s)s, & \text{if } 0 < s \leq \frac{1}{n} \\ \phi(s), & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} < s \leq \infty. \end{cases}$$

Since  $T$  is positive, it follows that  $\phi(s) \geq 0 \ \forall s \in (0, \infty]$ . Since  $\phi$  is continuous on  $\hat{I}$  and  $\phi(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(t)$ , thus  $\phi(0) \geq 0$ . Now for almost every  $s \in I$ , if  $n$  is chosen so that  $0 < \frac{1}{n} < s$ , then

$$Tu_n(s) = (\phi\hat{u}_n)'(s) = \phi'(s)\hat{u}_n(s) + \phi(s)u_n(s) = \phi'(s).$$

Since  $T$  is positive, we conclude that  $\phi'(s) \geq 0$  almost everywhere. Thus (i) implies (ii). Since  $\alpha = \phi(0)$  and  $h = \phi'$  we see that (ii) implies (iii). It is easy to see (iii) implies (i). ■

Similar to Larsen’s remark [11], we see that in the case of a positive multiplier, equality holds in Remark 1.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $T$  be a positive multiplier of  $L_1(I)$  such that  $(Tf)^\wedge = \phi f \ \forall f \in L_1(I)$ . Then  $\|\phi\|_\infty = \|T\|$ .*

**Proof.** As  $T$  is a positive multiplier we see that  $\phi(s) \geq 0$  on  $I$  and  $\phi'(s) \geq 0$  almost everywhere on  $I$ , hence  $\|\phi\|_\infty = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(s)$ . Moreover by Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= |\phi(0)| + \int_I |\phi'(t)| dt \\ &= \phi(0) + \int_I \phi'(t) dt = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi(s). \end{aligned}$$

■

In [11] Larsen had shown that the converse of the above corollary fails even in the case of  $I$  being the closed unit interval (see Corollary 3, [11].)

#### 4. Isometric multipliers of $L_1(I)$

For each  $s \in I$ , the translation operator  $\tau_s$  on  $L_1(I)$  is defined by  $\tau_s f(t) = f(s.y)$ . In [11], Larsen had shown that the translation operator is not a multiplier. It is easy to see that every multiple of the identity operator by a constant  $\alpha$  of absolute value one, that is,  $Tf = \alpha f$ ,  $f \in L_1(I)$ ,  $|\alpha| = 1$  is an isometric multiplier of  $L_1(I)$ . Larsen [11] had shown that these are the only isometric multipliers of  $L_1([0, 1])$  with order convolution. Here we extend Larsen's result to any interval. The proof of the following theorem is based on the ideas of Larsen [11] and discussed in detail in [10].

**Lemma.** *Let  $T$  be an isometric multiplier of  $L_1(I)$ . Let  $\mu \in M(I)$  such that  $Tf = \mu * f \forall f \in L_1(I)$ . If  $f \in L_1(I)$  then  $|\mu * f(s)| = |\mu| * |f|(s)$  for almost every  $s \in I$ .*

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $T$  be an isometric multiplier of  $L_1(I)$  such that  $Tf = \mu * f \forall f \in L_1(I)$  and  $(Tf)^\wedge = \phi \hat{f} \forall f \in L_1(I)$ , then  $T$  is an isometric multiplier if and only if there exists some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\alpha| = 1$  such that  $\mu = \alpha \delta$  or  $\phi(s) = \alpha \forall s \in I$ .*

**Proof.** The Sufficiency is obvious. Suppose  $T$  is an isometry. We shall show first that  $\phi'(s) = 0$  almost everywhere on  $I$  and since  $\phi$  is absolutely continuous, therefore it is constant. For  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $0 < r < \infty$ , define

$$f_r(s) = \begin{cases} ie^{is}, & 0 \leq s \leq r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

And for  $0 \leq s \leq r$ , where  $r < \infty$ , we have  $\hat{f}_r(s) = e^{is} - 1$ . By Lemma, for almost every  $s \in I$ , we have  $\phi(s)\phi'(s) \geq 0$  and therefore,  $\forall s$  such that  $0 \leq s \leq r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\phi \hat{f}_r)'(s)|^2 &= |\phi'(s)(e^{is} - 1) + \phi(s)ie^{is}|^2 = |\phi'(s)|^2 |e^{is} - 1|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\text{Re} \left\{ \phi'(s) \overline{\phi(s)} (e^{is} - 1) i (e^{-is} - 1) \right\} + |\phi(s)|^2 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} &= 2|\phi'(s)|^2(1 - \cos s) + 2\overline{\phi(s)}\phi'(s)\sin s + |\phi(s)|^2 \\ &= 4|\phi'(s)|^2\left(\sin\frac{s}{2}\right)^2 + 2\overline{\phi(s)}\phi'(s)\sin s + |\phi(s)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|f_r| = 1$ , for  $0 \leq s \leq r$ , where  $r < \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{(|\phi||f_r|^\wedge)'\}(s) \}^2 &= \{|\phi'(s)|s + |\phi(s)|\}^2 \\ &= |\phi'(s)|^2s^2 + 2\overline{\phi(s)}\phi'(s)s + |\phi(s)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds  $\forall r$  such that  $r < \infty$ , by the lemma, for almost every  $s \in I$ , we have

$$4|\phi'(s)|^2 \left\{ \left(\sin\frac{s}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^2 \right\} + 2\overline{\phi(s)}\phi'(s)[\sin s - s] = 0.$$

And since  $\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\sin\frac{s}{2}\right)^2 \geq 0$  and  $s - \sin s \geq 0 \forall s \in I$ , it follows that  $|\phi'(s)|^2 = \overline{\phi(s)}\phi'(s) = 0$  almost everywhere on  $I$ . Thus there exists some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\phi(s) = \alpha \forall s \in I$ . Therefore,  $Tf = \alpha f \forall f \in L_1(I)$  and since  $\|Tf\| = \|f\| \forall f \in L_1(I)$  we have  $|\alpha| = 1$ . ■

### 5. Multipliers of $L_1(I, X)$

Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space and the interval  $I = (0, \infty)$  be with the usual topology and max multiplication. Let  $L_1(I, X)$  be the Banach space of  $X$ -valued measurable functions  $F$  such that  $\int_I \|F(t)\| dt < \infty$ . For integration of vector-valued set functions, we follow [3, 5]. Using such integrals, it is possible to define order convolution between various spaces of vector-valued functions and measures on  $I$ . If  $f \in L_1(I)$  and  $F \in L_1(I, X)$ , for  $s \in I$ , we define

$$f * F(s) = f(s) \int_0^s F(t) dt + F(s) \int_0^s f(t) dt.$$

It turns out that  $f * F \in L_1(I, X)$  and  $L_1(I, X)$  becomes an  $L_1(I)$  – Banach module.

We shall make use of the concept of module tensor product and its relation to multipliers (see [8]). Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be a commutative Banach algebra. If  $\mathbb{V}$  and  $\mathbb{W}$  are  $\mathbb{A}$ -modules, the  $\mathbb{A}$ -module tensor product  $\mathbb{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{W}$  is defined to be quotient Banach space  $\mathbb{V} \otimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{W} / \mathbb{K}$ , where  $\mathbb{K}$  is the closed linear subspace of the projective tensor product  $\mathbb{V} \otimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{W}$ , spanned by the elements of the form  $av \otimes w - v \otimes aw$  with  $a \in \mathbb{A}$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{V}$  and  $w \in \mathbb{W}$ . A continuous linear transformation from  $\mathbb{V}$  to  $\mathbb{W}$  is called an  $\mathbb{A}$  - module homomorphism if  $T(a * v) = a * T(v)$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{A}$  and  $v \in \mathbb{V}$ .

The theory of vector measures and integration lets us identify the dual of  $C_0(I, X)$  with  $M(I, X^*)$  where  $X^*$  is the dual of  $X$ . The identification is given by  $\langle \mu, F \rangle = \int_I F d\mu$ , for  $F \in C_0(I, X)$  and  $\mu \in M(I, X^*)$ , (see([3, 9])).

The "integral"  $\int_I F d\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  is defined via a continuous extension procedure from  $C_c(I) \otimes X$  to  $C_0(I, X)$ , where for  $F = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j x_j$  with  $f_j \in C_c(I)$  and  $x_j \in X$

$$\int_I F d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_I f_j d \langle x_j, \mu \rangle$$

here  $\langle x_j, \mu \rangle : \mathfrak{B}(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the complex measure,  $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \langle x_j, \mu(\mathbb{E}) \rangle$  for  $\mathbb{E} \in \mathfrak{B}(I)$ , (see [3]).

A bounded linear operator  $T$  on  $L_1(I, X)$  to  $L_1(I, X)$  is called a multiplier of  $L_1(I, X)$  to  $L_1(I, X)$  if  $T(f * F) = f * TF$  for all  $f \in L_1(I)$  and  $F \in L_1(I, X)$ . Tewari [12] had characterized these multipliers in terms of operator valued functions. In this section, using Larsen's [11] ideas and the technique of Tewari, Dutta and Vaidya [13], we characterize the multipliers of  $L_1(I)$  to  $L_1(I, X)$  and then multipliers of  $L_1(I, X)$  to  $L_1(I, X)$  in terms of operator valued measures with point-wise finite variation.

We know that  $\{u_n\}$  is an approximate identity for  $L_1(I)$ . The following proposition tells us that  $\{u_n\}$  acts as an approximate identity for  $L_1(I, X)$  (see Proposition 3.1, [12]).

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\{u_n\}$  be the approximate identity of  $L_1(I)$  defined earlier. Suppose  $F \in L_1(I, X)$ . Then*

$$\|u_n * F - F\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Definition 2.** *Let  $F \in L_1(I, X)$  and for each  $s \in (0, \infty]$ , define*

$$\hat{F}(s) = \int_0^s F(t) dt.$$

*The function  $\hat{F}$  is called the Gelfand transform of  $F$ . Clearly  $\hat{F}$  is absolutely continuous. Also  $(\hat{F})'(s) = F(s)$  almost everywhere.*

*Note that  $\hat{F}(s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$ . Further, if  $\hat{F}(s) = 0$  for all  $s \in (0, \infty]$  then  $F(s) = 0$  almost everywhere.*

The following proposition follows immediately from Proposition 3.2, [12].

**Proposition 3.** *Suppose  $T$  is a multiplier of  $L_1(I)$  into  $L_1(I, X)$ . Then there exists an  $X$ -valued bounded continuous function  $\Phi$  on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $(Tf)^\wedge(s) = \Phi(s)\hat{f}(s)$  for all  $s \in (0, \infty)$  and  $f \in L_1(I)$ .*

Using the technique of Larsen [11], we characterize the multipliers  $T : L_1(I) \rightarrow L_1(I, X)$  as follows:

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach Space which has the Radon Nikodym property. If  $T : L_1(I) \rightarrow L_1(I, X)$  is a linear map, then following are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$T$  is a multiplier of  $L_1(I)$  to  $L_1(I, X)$  with the order convolution.*
- (ii) *There exists a unique measure  $\mu \in M(I, X)$  of the form  $\mu = x\delta + J$ ,  $x \in X, J \in L_1(I, X)$ ,  $\delta$  the identity of  $M(I)$  such that  $Tf = \mu * f \forall f \in L_1(I)$  and  $\|T\| = \|\mu\|$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\{u_n\}$  be the approximate identity for  $L_1(I)$  defined earlier. Considering the natural embedding of  $X$  into its second dual  $X^{**}$ ,  $L_1(I, X)$  can be embedded isometrically in  $M(I, X^{**})$  and since  $\|Tu_n\|_1 \leq \|T\|$ ,  $\{Tu_n\}$  is a norm bounded sequence in  $M(I, X^{**})$ . By the Banach Alaglou's Theorem and separability of  $C_0(I, X^*)$  (see [9]), there exists a subsequence  $\{Tu_{n_k}\}$  and a  $\mu \in M(I, X^{**})$  such that

$$\lim_k \int_I \langle L(s), Tu_{n_k}(s) \rangle ds = \int_I L(s) d\mu(I) \quad \forall L \in C_0(I, X^*).$$

Since  $T$  is a multiplier and  $\{u_n\}$  is an approximate identity, hence by Proposition 2, we have

$$\lim_k T(u_{n_k} * f) = \lim_k u_{n_k} * Tf = Tf.$$

Let  $L \in C_0(I, X^*)$ . Since  $Tu_{n_k} * f(s) = Tu_{n_k}(s)\hat{f}(s) + f(s)(Tu_{n_k})^\wedge(s)$ , hence by Proposition 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L, Tu_{n_k} * f \rangle &= \int_I \langle L(s), Tu_{n_k}(s) \rangle \hat{f}(s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_I \langle L(s), f(s)\Phi(s) \rangle u_{n_k}^\wedge(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{\hat{u}_n\}$  converges point-wise to 1, on taking limits, Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_k \langle L, Tu_{n_k} * f \rangle &= \lim_k \int_I \langle L(s), Tu_{n_k}(s) \rangle \hat{f}(s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_I \langle L(s), f(s)\Phi(s) \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

If  $x^* \in X^*$ , then

$$\lim_k \int_I \chi_{[0,s]}(t) \langle x^*, Tu_{n_k}(t) \rangle dt = \int_I \chi_{[0,s]}(t) d \langle x^*, \mu \rangle (t) = \langle x^*, \hat{\mu}(s) \rangle.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x^*, \hat{\mu}(s) \rangle &= \lim_k \int_I \chi_{[0,s]}(t) \langle x^*, Tu_{n_k}(t) \rangle dt = \lim_k \langle x^*, T\hat{u}_{n_k}(s) \rangle \\ &= \lim_k \langle x^*, \Phi(s)u_{n_k}(s) \rangle = \langle x^*, \Phi(s) \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for each  $L \in C_0(I, X^*)$ , we have

$$\lim_k \langle L, Tu_{n_k} * f \rangle = \int_I L(s) \hat{f}(s) d\mu(s) + \int_I \langle L(s), f(s) \hat{\mu}(s) \rangle ds.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle L, \mu * f \rangle &= \int_I L(u) d(\mu * f)(u) = \int_I [L(s,t)f(s)] d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_I L(t) \left( \int_0^t f(s) ds \right) + \int_I \left( \int_t^\infty L(s)f(s) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_I L(t) \hat{f}(t) d\mu(t) + \int_I L(s)f(s) \left( \int_0^s d\mu(t) \right) ds \\ &= \int_I L(t) \hat{f}(t) d\mu(t) + \int_I \langle L(t), f(t) \hat{\mu}(t) \rangle dt.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\int_I L(t) d(\mu * f)(t) = \int_I L(t) T f(t) dt.$$

Since this holds for each  $L \in C_0(I, X^*)$ , we conclude that  $\mu * f \in L_1(I, X)$ . Thus the above expressions imply that for each  $f \in L_1(I)$ , the measure  $\hat{f}d\mu$  on  $I$  is absolutely continuous. Therefore, by the Radon Nikodym property of  $X$ , for each  $k$  there exists some  $J_k \in L_1(I, X)$  such that  $u_{n_k} \hat{f}d\mu = J_k$ . Now suppose  $L \in L_\infty(I, X^*)$ . Since the sequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  converges to 1 point-wise on  $I$  and  $\|u_{n_k}\|_\infty = 1$ , Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem tells us that the sequence of numbers

$$\int_I L(t) u_{n_k} \hat{f}d\mu(t) = \int_I \langle L(t), J_k(t) \rangle dt$$

is a Cauchy sequence, i.e.  $\{J_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the weak topology on  $L_1(I, X)$ . Since  $L_1(I, X)$  is weakly sequentially complete there exists some  $J \in L_1(I, X)$  such that

$$\lim_k \int_I \langle L(t), J_k(t) \rangle dt = \int_I \langle L(t), J(t) \rangle dt \quad \forall L \in L_\infty(I, X^*).$$

In particular, if  $L \in C_0(I, X^*)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \langle L(t), J(t) \rangle dt &= \lim_k \int_I \langle L(t), J_k(t) \rangle dt \\ &= \lim_k \int_I L(t) u_{n_k}^\wedge(t) d\mu(t) = \int_I L(t) d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\mu$  and  $J$  are seen to define the same measure on  $I$ . Therefore, there exists some  $x \in X$  such that  $\mu = x\delta + J$ . This also tells us that  $\mu$  is  $X$ -valued. Moreover, since  $\delta$  is the identity of  $M(I)$ , it is obvious that  $\mu * f \in L_1(I, X)$  for each  $f \in L_1(I)$  and so  $Tf = \mu * f \ \forall f \in L_1(I)$ . An easy argument shows that  $\mu$  is unique.

Let  $T$  be a multiplier from  $L_1(I)$  to  $L_1(I, X)$  and  $Tf = \mu * f, f \in L_1(I), \mu \in M(I, X)$ . Since  $\|\mu * f\| \leq \|\mu\| \|f\|, \|T\| \leq \|\mu\|$ . Also since  $\mu$  is the weak-star limit of a sequence in  $M(I, X)$  bounded in norm by  $\|T\|$ , we have  $\|\mu\| \leq \|T\|$ . ■

The following definition is taken from Hille and Phillips [2].

**Definition 3.** Let  $\Phi$  be an  $X$ -valued function on  $(0, \infty)$ .  $\Phi$  is said to be absolutely continuous if  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $\{(s_i, t_i)\}$  is a finite sequence of disjoint open intervals such that  $\sum(t_i - s_i) < \delta$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|\Phi(t_i) - \Phi(s_i)\| < \epsilon.$$

The following characterization is a special case of Theorem 3.9, [12]. We are giving an easy proof.

**Theorem 5.** If  $T : L_1(I) \rightarrow L_1(I, X)$  is a multiplier with order convolution then there exists a unique, bounded, continuous  $X$ -valued function  $\Phi$  such that

- (i) The function  $s \rightarrow \Phi(s)$  is absolutely continuous.
- (ii) The function  $s \rightarrow \Phi(s)$  is differentiable almost everywhere.
- (iii) If  $M_{\Phi'}(f) = \Phi' \hat{f}$  then  $M_{\Phi'} : L_1(I) \rightarrow L_1(I, X)$  is a bounded linear map.
- (iv)  $(Tf)^\wedge = \Phi \hat{f} \ \forall s \in (0, \infty)$  and  $f \in L_1(I)$ .

Conversely, if  $\Phi$  is a bounded  $X$ -valued function on  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying (i) to (iii). Then there exists a multiplier  $T$  of  $L_1(I)$  to  $L_1(I, X)$  satisfying (iv).

**Proof.** Suppose there exists  $\mu \in M(I, X)$  of the form  $\mu = x\delta + J, x \in X, J \in L_1(I, X)$  such that  $Tf = \mu * f \ \forall f \in L_1(I)$ . Then for  $s \in \hat{I}$  and  $f \in L_1(I)$ , we have

$$(Tf)^\wedge(s) = \hat{\mu}(s) \hat{f}(s) \left( x + \hat{J}(s) \right) \hat{f}(s)$$

where  $\hat{\mu}(s) = \int_0^s d\mu(t)$ . Define  $\Phi$  on  $\hat{I}$  by  $\Phi(s) = x + \hat{J}(s) \forall s \in \hat{I}$  and  $\Phi(0) = x$ . Since  $J \in L_1(I, X)$ , (i), (ii) and (iv) follow immediately.

For  $f \in L_1(I)$ , we have  $(Tf)^\wedge(s) = \Phi(s)\hat{f}(s)$ . Therefore,

$$\Phi(s)\hat{f}(s) = \int_0^s (Tf)(t)dt.$$

Differentiating, we have

$$\Phi(s)f(s) + \Phi'(s)\hat{f}(s) = Tf(s) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Hence  $M_{\Phi'}(f) = Tf - \Phi f$ . Since  $\Phi$  is bounded  $\Phi f \in L_1(I, X)$ . It follows that  $M_{\Phi'}(f) \in L_1(I, X)$ . We also have

$$\|M_{\Phi'}(f)\|_1 \leq (\|T\| + \|\Phi\|_\infty)\|f\|_1.$$

Conversely, suppose  $\Phi$  is a bounded  $X$ -valued function on  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying (i) to (iii). We define

$$T : L_1(I) \rightarrow L_1(I, X)$$

by

$$Tf(s) = \Phi(s)f(s) + \Phi'(s)\hat{f}(s) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad \forall f \in L_1(I).$$

It is easy to see that  $\|Tf\|_1 \leq [\|\Phi\|_\infty + \|M_{\Phi'}\|]\|f\|_1$ . Hence  $T$  is a bounded linear map of  $L_1(I)$  to  $L_1(I, X)$ . We also see that the derivative of  $(\Phi\hat{f})$  equals  $\Phi(s)f(s) + \Phi'(s)\hat{f}(s) = Tf(s)$  almost everywhere. Hence  $(Tf)^\wedge(s) = \Phi(s)\hat{f}(s)$  for all  $s \in (0, \infty]$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\blacksquare$

We now characterize the multipliers on  $L_1(I, X)$  with respect to order convolution using the technique of Tewari, Dutta and Vaidya [13].

Let  $T : L_1(I, X) \rightarrow L_1(I, X)$  be a multiplier, i.e.  $T(f * F) = f * TF \forall f \in L_1(I)$  and  $F \in L_1(I, X)$ . For  $x \in X$ , define  $T_x : L_1(I) \rightarrow L_1(I, X)$  by  $T_x(f) = T(fx)$ . It is easy to see that  $T_x$  is a multiplier from  $L_1(I)$  to  $L_1(I, X)$  and  $\|T_x\| \leq \|T\|\|x\|$ .

Therefore, by Theorem (4), there exists a measure  $\mu_x \in M(I, X)$  of the form  $\mu_x = \alpha_x\delta + J_x$  where  $\alpha_x \in X$ , and  $J_x \in L_1(I, X)$  such that  $T_x(f) = \mu_x * f$  and  $\|\mu_x\| \leq \|T\|\|x\|$ . The map  $M : X \rightarrow M(I, X)$  defined by  $M(x) = \mu_x$  is a bounded linear map with  $\|M\| \leq \|T\|$  and  $T(fx) = M(x) * f \forall x \in X$  and  $f \in L_1(I)$ .

Conversely, let  $M$  be a bounded linear operator from  $X$  into  $M(I, X)$ .  $M(x) = \alpha_x\delta + J_x$  where  $\alpha_x \in X$  and  $J_x \in L_1(I, X)$ . Consider the map  $L_1(I) \times X \rightarrow L_1(I, X)$  defined by  $(f, x) \rightarrow M(x) * f \forall f \in L_1(I)$  and  $x \in X$ . It is easy to see that this is a bilinear map and  $\|M(x) * f\| \leq \|M(x)\|\|f\|_1 \leq \|M\|\|x\|\|f\|_1$ . Hence, by the universal property of tensor products, we get a bounded linear map  $T'$  from  $L_1(I) \otimes_\gamma X$  into  $L_1(I, X)$

with  $\|T'\| \leq \|M\|$  such that  $T'(f \otimes x) = M(x) * f$  for any  $f \in L_1(I)$  and  $x \in X$ . However,  $L_1(I) \otimes_\gamma X$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $L_1(I, X)$  (see [8]). Hence we get a bounded linear operator  $T$  of  $L_1(I, X)$  with  $\|T\| \leq \|M\|$  and  $T(fx) = M(x) * f \ \forall f \in L_1(I)$  and  $x \in X$ . Let  $g \in L_1(I)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} T(g * fx) &= T((g * f)x) = T_x(g * f) \\ &= M(x) * (g * f) = g * (M(x) * f) = g * T(fx). \end{aligned}$$

Since functions of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i x_i$  with  $f_i \in L_1(I)$  and  $x_i \in X$  are dense in  $L_1(I, X)$ , it follows that  $T$  is multiplier on  $L_1(I, X)$ . It is easy to see that the bounded linear transformation from  $X$  into  $M(I, X)$  associated with  $T$  is nothing but  $M$  and  $\|M\| \leq \|T\|$ . Therefore  $\|T\| = \|M\|$ .

Thus we have proved the following.

**Theorem 6.** *The set of all multipliers on  $L_1(I, X)$  with respect to order convolution is isometrically isomorphic to  $L(X, M(I, X))$ , the space of bounded linear operators from  $X$  into  $M(I, X)$  in the following sense. Let  $T$  be any multiplier on  $L_1(I, X)$  with order convolution such that  $T(fx) = \mu_x * f$ . Then there exists a bounded linear map  $M$  from  $X$  into  $M(I, X)$  such that  $M(x) = \mu_x = \alpha_x \delta + J_x$  where  $\alpha_x \in X$  and  $J_x \in L_1(I, X)$  and  $\|T\| = \|M\|$ .*

Now for an operator  $M \in L(X, M(I, X))$  where  $M(x) = \mu_x$ , define  $\mu$  from the Borel  $\sigma$ - algebra  $\mathfrak{B}(I)$  into the space of bounded linear operators on  $X$  by  $\mu(\mathbb{E})x = \mu_x(\mathbb{E}) \ \forall \mathbb{E} \in \mathfrak{B}(I)$ . It is easy to see that  $\mu(\mathbb{E})$  is a linear operator. Since

$$\|\mu(\mathbb{E})x\| = \|\mu_x(\mathbb{E})\| = \|M(x)(\mathbb{E})\| \leq \|M\| \|x\|.$$

So,  $\mu(\mathbb{E}) \in L(X)$ .

**Corollary 2.** *The set of all multipliers on  $L_1(I, X)$  with respect to order convolution is isometrically isomorphic to the space of operator valued measures on  $I$  with point-wise finite variation such that  $\mu(\mathbb{E})x = \alpha_x \delta(\mathbb{E}) + \int_{\mathbb{E}} J_x(t) dt$ , where  $x, \alpha_x \in X$  and  $J_x \in L_1(I, X)$ .*

The following definition is taken from Gaudry [3].

**Definition 4.** *For any regular operator-valued measure  $\mu : \mathfrak{B}(I) \rightarrow L(X)$ , the operator-valued function  $\hat{\mu} : \hat{I} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}(X)$  defined by  $\hat{\mu}(s) = \int_0^s d\mu(t)$  is called the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of  $\mu$ .*

**Note.** The definition of regularity of an operator valued measure is equivalent to the regularity of the scalar measure  $\langle \mu x_1, x_2^* \rangle$  for each  $x_1 \in X$  and  $x_2^* \in X^*$ .

The following definitions are taken from Hille and Phillips [2].

**Definition 5.** Let  $\Phi(s)$  be an operator valued function on  $(0, \infty]$ . We say that  $\Phi$  is of strong bounded variation on  $(0, \infty)$  if for each  $x \in X$  the function  $s \rightarrow \Phi(s)x$  is of strong bounded variation, that is,

$$\sup \sum_{i=1}^n \|\Phi(t_i)x - \Phi(t_{i-1})x\| < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all possible finite sets

$$\{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n \subset (0, \infty) : t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n\}.$$

$\Phi$  is called strongly absolutely continuous if  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $\{(s_i, t_i)\}$  is a finite sequence of disjoint open intervals for which  $\sum(t_i - s_i) < \delta$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|\Phi(t_i)x - \Phi(s_i)x\| < \epsilon.$$

Tewari [12] had proved the following theorem (see Theorem 3.9, [12]). We are giving an easy proof here.

**Theorem 7.** Let  $T$  be a multiplier of  $L_1(I, X)$  into itself with order convolution. Then there exists an operator valued bounded strongly continuous function  $\Phi$  on  $(0, \infty]$  such that

- (i) The function  $s \rightarrow \Phi(s)x$  is strongly absolutely continuous and hence of strong bounded variation on  $(0, \infty)$ ,
- (ii) The function  $s \rightarrow \Phi(s)x$  is strongly differentiable almost everywhere,
- (iii) If  $M_{\Phi'}(F) = \Phi' \hat{F}$  then  $M_{\Phi'} : L_1(I, X) \rightarrow L_1(I, X)$  is a bounded linear map,
- (iv)  $(TF)^\wedge(s) = \Phi(s)(\hat{F}(s))$  for all  $s \in (0, \infty)$  and  $F \in L_1(I, X)$ .

Conversely, if  $\Phi$  is a bounded  $\mathfrak{L}(X)$  - valued function on  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying (i) to (iii) then there exists a multiplier  $T$  of  $L_1(I, X)$  to itself satisfying (iv).

**Proof.** Suppose there exists an operator-valued measure  $\mu$  of the form  $\mu(\mathbb{E})x = \mu_x(\mathbb{E})$ , where  $\mu_x = \alpha_x \delta + J_x$ ,  $\alpha_x \in X$ ,  $J_x \in L_1(I, X)$  such that  $TF = \mu * F \forall F \in L_1(I, X)$ . Then for each  $s \in I$  and  $F \in L_1(I, X)$ , we have  $(TF)^\wedge(s) = \hat{\mu}(s)\hat{F}(s)$  where  $\hat{\mu}(s) = \int_0^s d\mu(t)$ . Define  $\Phi(s) = \hat{\mu}(s)$ . Therefore,  $\Phi(s)x = (\hat{\mu}(s))(x) = \int_0^s d\mu_x(t) = \hat{\mu}_x(s) = \alpha_x + \int_0^s J_x(t)dt$ . Since  $J_x$  belongs to  $L_1(I, X)$ , (i) and (ii) follow immediately. Since  $\Phi(s)\hat{F}(s) = \int_0^s (TF)(t)dt$ , we have  $\Phi(s)F(s) + \Phi'(s)\hat{F}(s) = TF(s)$  almost everywhere. Hence  $M_{\Phi'}(F) = TF - \Phi F$  and since  $\Phi$  is bounded we have  $M_{\Phi'}(F) \in$



$L_1(I, X)$  and  $\|M_{\Phi'}(F)\|_1 \leq (\|T\| + \|\Phi\|_\infty)\|F\|_1$ . Thus (iii) holds. Moreover, (iv) is a consequence of the relationship between  $T$  and  $\Phi$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\Phi$  is a bounded operator valued function on  $(0, \infty)$  which satisfies all conditions from (i) to (iii). We define

$$T : L_1(I, X) \rightarrow L_1(I, X).$$

by  $TF(s) = \Phi(s)F(s) + \Phi'(s)\hat{F}(s)$  a.e. for  $F \in L_1(I, X)$ . Since  $\Phi$  is bounded and continuous in the strong operator topology, the function  $\Phi(s)F(s)$  is strongly measurable and

$$\int_0^\infty \|\Phi(s)F(s)\| ds \leq \|\phi\|_\infty \|F\|_1.$$

Therefore,  $\|TF\|_1 \leq [\|\Phi\|_\infty + \|M_{\Phi'}\|]\|F\|_1$  and we conclude that  $T$  is a bounded linear map.

We can easily see that the derivative of  $(\Phi\hat{F})$  equals  $\Phi(s)F(s) + \Phi'(s)\hat{F}(s) = TF(s)$  almost everywhere. Hence, it follows that  $(TF)^\wedge(s) = \Phi(s)\hat{F}(s) \forall s \in (0, \infty]$ .

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

**Remark 3.** Let  $T$  be a compact multiplier from  $L_1(I, X)$  with order convolution into itself. We show that  $T(fx) = J_x * f$ ,  $J_x \in L_1(I, X)$ . Suppose  $T(fx) = \alpha_x f + J_x$ ,  $\alpha_x (\neq 0) \in X$  and  $J_x \in L_1(I, X)$ . Let  $(u_n)$  be an approximate identity in  $L_1(I)$  and  $x \in X$ . Since  $T$  is a compact operator, there exists a subsequence  $T(u_{n_k}x) = \alpha_x u_{n_k} + J_x * u_{n_k}$  which converges. Hence  $\alpha_x u_{n_k} = Tu_{n_k} - J_x * u_{n_k}$  is convergent, which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\alpha_x = 0$ .

**Remark 4.** Finally, we remark that the characterization of isometric multipliers of  $L_1(I, X)$  with order convolution will be quite interesting. Let  $T : L_1(I, X) \rightarrow L_1(I, X)$  such that  $T(f.x) = \alpha_x f$ , where  $\alpha_x \in X$ ,  $\|\alpha_x\| = 1$  and  $f \in L_1(I)$ . Then  $T$  is an isometric multiplier of  $L_1(I, X)$  into itself. We feel that these are the only isometric multipliers of  $L_1(I, X)$  with order convolution. The characterization of isometric multipliers of  $L_1(I, X)$  is an open problem.

## References

- [1] JOHNSON D.L., LAHR C.D., Multipliers of  $L_1$ - algebra with order convolution, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 28(1981), 153-161.
- [2] HILLE E., PHILLIPS R.S., Functional Analysis and Semigroups, *Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ.*, 31, 1957.
- [3] GAUDRY G.I., JEFFERIES B.R.F., RICKER W.J., *Vector-valued multipliers: Convolution with operator valued measures*, *Dissertationes Mathematicae (Rozprawy Matematyczne)*, Warszawa, 2000.

- [4] J. DIESTEL J., UHL. JR., J.J., *Vector Measures, Surveys 15*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1977.
- [5] HUNEYCUTT, JR., J.E., Products and convolutions of vector valued set functions, *Studia Math.*, 41(1972), 101-129.
- [6] TAYLOR J.L., Measure Algebras, *Regional Conference Series in Math.*, No. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., 1973.
- [7] LARDY L.J.,  $L_1(a, b)$  with order convolution, *Studia Math.*, 27(1966), 1-8.
- [8] RIEFFEL M., Multipliers and tensor products of  $L_p$ - spaces of locally compact groups, *Studia Math.*, 33(1969), 71-82.
- [9] DINCULEANU N., *Vector Measures*, Pergamon Press, London, 1967.
- [10] CHAURASIA P.K., *Some Results on Vector Valued Function Spaces and Multipliers*, Dissertation, IIT, Kanpur, 2007.
- [11] R. LARSEN, The multipliers of  $L_1[0, 1]$  with order convolution, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 23(1976), 239-248.
- [12] TEWARI U.B., Order Convolution and Vector-Valued Multipliers, *Colloquim Mathematicum*, 108(2007), 53-61.
- [13] TEWARI U.B., DUTTA M., VAIDYA D.P., Multipliers of group algebras of vector-valued functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81(1981), 223-229.

P. K. CHAURASIA

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION IN SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS

REGIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION (NCERT)

305004 AJMER, INDIA

*e-mail:* praveenc1@gmail.com

*Received on 03.08.2013 and, in revised form, on 26.01.2015.*