

ÖMER KIŞI

**ON WIJSMAN \mathcal{I}_2 -LACUNARY STATISTICAL
CONVERGENCE FOR DOUBLE SET SEQUENCES**

ABSTRACT. The aim of present work is to present some inclusion relations between the concepts of Wijsman \mathcal{I}_2 -lacunary statistical convergence and Wijsman strongly \mathcal{I}_2 -lacunary convergence for double sequences of sets. Also we study the concepts of Wijsman \mathcal{I}_2 -statistical convergence, Wijsman \mathcal{I}_2 -lacunary statistical convergence double sequences of sets and investigate the relationship among them.

KEY WORDS: \mathcal{I} -convergence, lacunary, double sequences.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 40A05, 40A35.

1. Introduction

Hill [9] was the first who applied methods of functional analysis to double sequence. A lot of useful developments of double sequences in summability methods can be found in Limayea and Zeltser [15], Altay and Başar [1].

Convergence of a sequence of real numbers has been extended to statistical convergence independently by Fast [7] and Schoenberg [24]. This notion was extended to the double sequences by Mursaleen and Edely [16].

Lacunary statistical convergence was defined by Fridy and Orhan [8]. Also, Fridy and Orhan gave the relationships between the lacunary statistical convergence and the Cesàro summability. This notion was extended to the double sequences by Savaş, Patterson [23].

P. Kostyrko et al. [13] introduced the concept of \mathcal{I} -convergence of sequences in a metric space and studied some properties of this convergence. The notion of lacunary ideal convergence of real sequences was introduced in [26, 27]. Das, Kostyrko, Wilczyński and Malik [6] defined the notion of \mathcal{I} -convergence of double sequences in a metric space and studied some properties of this convergence.

Convergence of numbers has been extended by several authors to convergence of sequences of sets (see Baronti and Papini [2]; Beer [3], [4]; Nu-

ray and Rhoades [17]; Wijsman [32], [33]; Nuray and Kişi [10], [11], [12]). Nuray and Rhoades [17] introduced the notion of statistical convergence of sequences of sets. Ulusu and Nuray [28] defined the Wijsman lacunary statistical convergence of sequence of sets, and considered its relation with Wijsman statistical convergence, which was defined by Nuray and Rhoades [17]. Ulusu and Nuray [29] introduced the notion of Wijsman strongly lacunary summability for sequences of sets and discussed its relation with Wijsman strongly Cesàro summability.

Das et al. [5] introduced new notions of convergence, namely \mathcal{I} -statistical convergence and \mathcal{I} -lacunary statistical convergence by using ideal approach. \mathcal{I} -convergence of real sequences was extended to the sequences of sets by Kişi and Nuray [10]. Kişi et al. [11] defined Wijsman \mathcal{I} -statistical convergence and Wijsman \mathcal{I} -lacunary statistical convergence of sequences of sets. Sever et al. [25] investigated the ideas of Wijsman strongly \mathcal{I} -lacunary convergence, Wijsman strongly \mathcal{I}^* -lacunary convergence and Wijsman strongly \mathcal{I} -lacunary Cauchy sequences of sets. The notions of convergence, statistical convergence and ideal convergence of double sequences of sets were studied by Nuray et. al [18, 19, 20, 21, 22].

Nuray et al. [20] studied Wijsman Cesàro summability and Wijsman lacunary convergence of double sequences of sets.

In this paper, we investigate the relationship between Wijsman \mathcal{I}_2 -statistical convergence, Wijsman \mathcal{I}_2 -lacunary statistical convergence and Wijsman strongly \mathcal{I}_2 lacunary convergence of double sequences of sets.

2. Definitions and notations

In this section, we recall some definitions and notations, which form the base for the present study.

Definition 1 ([13]). *A family of sets $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called an ideal if and only if*

- (i) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$,
- (ii) for each $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$,
- (iii) for each $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and each $B \subseteq A$ we have $B \in \mathcal{I}$.

Definition 2 ([13]). *A family of sets $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a filter in \mathbb{N} if and only if*

- (i) $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$,
- (ii) for each $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$,
- (iii) for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and each $B \supseteq A$ we have $B \in \mathcal{F}$.

If \mathcal{I} is proper ideal of \mathbb{N} (i.e., $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$), then the family of sets

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{M \subset \mathbb{N} : \exists A \in \mathcal{I} : M = \mathbb{N} \setminus A\}$$

is a filter of \mathbb{N} and it is called the filter associated with the ideal \mathcal{I} .

An ideal is called non-trivial if $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$ and non-trivial ideal is called admissible if $\{n\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3 ([2]). *Let (X, d) be a metric space. For any non-empty closed subsets $A, A_k \subseteq X$, we say that the sequence $\{A_k\}$ is Wijsman convergent to A if*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x, A_k) = d(x, A)$$

for each $x \in X$. In this case we write $W - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k = A$.

As an example, consider the following sequence of circles in the (x, y) -plane: $A_k = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 + 2kx = 0\}$. As $k \rightarrow \infty$, the sequence A_k is Wijsman convergent to the y -axis $A = \{(x, y) : x = 0\}$.

Definition 4 ([17]). *Let (X, d) be a metric space. For any non-empty closed subsets $A, A_k \subseteq X$, we say that the sequence $\{A_k\}$ is Wijsman statistically convergent to A if $\{d(x, A_k)\}$ is statistically convergent to $d(x, A)$; i.e., for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $x \in X$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| < \varepsilon \quad a.a.k. .$$

In this case we write $st - \lim_W A_k = A$.

Also the concept of bounded sequence for sequences of sets was given by Nuray and Rhoades [17]. Let (X, d) be a metric space. For any non-empty closed subsets A_k of X , we say that the sequence $\{A_k\}$ is bounded if $\sup_k d(x, A_k) < \infty$ for each $x \in X$.

By a lacunary sequence we mean an increasing integer sequence $\theta = \{k_r\}$ such that $k_0 = 0$ and $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty, r \rightarrow \infty$. Throughout this paper the intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$, and ratio $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$ will be abbreviated by q_r .

Definition 5 ([28]). *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\theta = \{k_r\}$ be a lacunary sequence. For any non-empty closed subsets $A, A_k \subseteq X$, we say that the sequence $\{A_k\}$ is Wijsman lacunary statistical convergent to A if $\{d(x, A_k)\}$ is lacunary statistically convergent to $d(x, A)$; i.e., for $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $x \in X$,*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} |k \in I_r : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon| = 0.$$

In this case we write $S_\theta - \lim_W A_k = A$ or $A_k \rightarrow A(W S_\theta)$.

Definition 6 ([29]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\theta = \{k_r\}$ be a lacunary sequence. For any non-empty closed subsets $A, A_k \subseteq X$, we say that $\{A_k\}$ is Wijsman lacunary summable to A if $\{d(x, A_k)\}$ is lacunary summable to $d(x, A)$; i.e., for each $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{I_r} d(x, A_k) = d(x, A).$$

In this case we write $A_k \rightarrow A(WN_\theta)$.

Definition 7 ([29]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\theta = \{k_r\}$ be a lacunary sequence. For any non-empty closed subsets $A, A_k \subseteq X$, we say that $\{A_k\}$ is Wijsman strongly lacunary summable to A if $\{d(x, A_k)\}$ is strongly lacunary summable to $d(x, A)$; i.e., for each $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{I_r} |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| = 0.$$

In this case we write $A_k \rightarrow A([WN_\theta])$.

Definition 8 ([10]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a proper ideal in \mathbb{N} . For any non-empty closed subsets $A, A_k \subset X$, we say that the sequence $\{A_k\}$ is Wijsman \mathcal{I} -convergent to A , if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $x \in X$, the set,

$$A(x, \varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

belongs to \mathcal{I} . In this case we write $\mathcal{I}_W - \lim A_k = A$ or $A_k \rightarrow A(\mathcal{I}_W)$, and the set of Wijsman \mathcal{I} -convergent sequences of sets will be denoted by

$$\mathcal{I}_W = \{\{A_k\} : \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

A double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ has a Pringsheim limit L (denoted by $P - \lim x = L$) provided that for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_{k,l} - L| < \varepsilon$, whenever $k, l > n$. We describe such a double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ more briefly as "P-convergent".

The double sequence $(x_{k,l})$ is bounded if there exists a positive integer M such that $|x_{k,l}| < M$ for all k and l . We denote the space of all bounded double sequences by l_∞^2 .

Throught the paper, $A, A_{k,l}$ be any non-empty closed subsets of X .

Definition 9 ([18]). The double sequence $\{A_{k,l}\}$ is Wijsman convergent to A , if for each $x \in X$

$$P - \lim_{k,l \rightarrow \infty} d(x, A_{k,l}) = d(x, A) \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{k,l \rightarrow \infty} d(x, A_{k,l}) = d(x, A).$$

In this case we write $W_2 - \lim A_{k,l} = A$.

Definition 10 ([18]). *The double sequence $\{A_{k,l}\}$ is Wijsman statistically convergent to A , if for each $x \in X$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{mn} |\{k \leq m, l \leq n : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0,$$

that is,

$$|d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| < \varepsilon, \text{ a.a. } (k, l).$$

In this case we write $st_2 - \lim_W A_{k,l} = A$.

The set of Wijsman statistically convergent double sequences will be denoted by

$$W_2S := \left\{ \{A_{k,l}\} : st_2 - \lim_W A_{k,l} = A \right\}.$$

By \mathcal{I}_2 we will denote the admissible ideal of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and by $\theta_{r,s} = \{(k_r, l_s)\}$ a double lacunary sequence of positive real numbers, respectively, unless otherwise stated.

A double sequence $\bar{\theta} = \theta_{r,s} = \{(k_r, l_s)\}$ is called double lacunary sequence if there exist two increasing sequences of integers (k_r) and (l_s) such that

$$k_0 = 0, \quad h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty, \quad r \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$l_0 = 0, \quad \bar{h}_s = l_s - l_{s-1} \rightarrow \infty, \quad s \rightarrow \infty.$$

We will use the following notation $k_{r,s} := k_r l_s$, $h_{r,s} := h_r \bar{h}_s$ and $\theta_{r,s}$ is determined by

$$J_{r,s} := \{(k, l) : k_{r-1} < k \leq k_r \text{ and } l_{s-1} < l \leq l_s\},$$

$$q_r := \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}, \quad \bar{q}_s := \frac{l_s}{l_{s-1}} \text{ and } q_{r,s} := q_r \bar{q}_s.$$

For details on double lacunary sequence we refer to [22].

Definition 11 ([22]). *The double sequence $\{A_{k,l}\}$ is Wijsman lacunary statistically convergent to A , if for each $x \in X$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} |\{(k, l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case we write $st_2 - \lim_{W_\theta} A_{k,l} = A$.

Definition 12 ([18]). Let \mathcal{I}_2 be an admissible ideal of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. We say that the double sequence $\{A_{k,l}\}$ is Wijsman \mathcal{I}_2 -convergent to A , if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $x \in X$, the set,

$$A(x, \varepsilon) = \{(k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

belongs to \mathcal{I}_2 . In this case we write $\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim A_{k,l} = A$ or $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(\mathcal{I}_2)$.

Definition 13 ([18]). Let \mathcal{I}_2 be an admissible ideal of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. We say that the double sequence $\{A_{k,l}\}$ is Wijsman \mathcal{I}_2 -statistically convergent to A or $S(\mathcal{I}_2)$ -convergent to A if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, for each $x \in X$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$\left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{mn} |\{k \leq m, l \leq n : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

In this case, we write $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(S(\mathcal{I}_2))$. The class of all Wijsman \mathcal{I}_2 -statistically convergent double set sequences will be denoted by $S(\mathcal{I}_2)$.

Definition 14 ([31]). Let $\theta_{r,s} = (k_{r,s})$ be a double lacunary sequence and \mathcal{I}_2 be an admissible ideal of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. The double set sequence $\{A_{k,l}\}$ is said to be Wijsman \mathcal{I}_2 -lacunary statistically convergent to A or $S_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ -convergent to A if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, for each $x \in X$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$\left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} |\{(k, l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

In this case, we write $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(S_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2))$. The class of all Wijsman \mathcal{I}_2 -lacunary statistically convergent sequences will be denoted by $S_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2)$.

Definition 15 ([31]). Let $\theta_{r,s} = (k_{r,s})$ be a double lacunary sequence. Then a double set sequence $\{A_{k,l}\}$ is said to be Wijsman strongly \mathcal{I}_2 -lacunary convergent to A or $N_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ -convergent to A , is for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and for every $x \in X$,

$$\left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in J_{r,s}} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

In this case, we write $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(N_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2))$.

3. Main results

In this section, we investigate the relationship between Wijsman \mathcal{I}_2 -statistical convergence, Wijsman \mathcal{I}_2 -lacunary statistical convergence and Wijsman strongly \mathcal{I}_2 -lacunary convergence of double sequences of sets.

The following theorem is a 2-dimensional analogue of Ulusu and Dündar's theorem presented in [30], and Wijsman type of result presented in [14].

Theorem 1. *If \mathcal{I}_2 is an admissible ideal of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, $\theta_{r,s} = (k_{r,s})$ is a double lacunary sequence and A_{kl}, B_{kl} are non-empty closed subsets of X , then*

- (i) (a) *If $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(N_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2))$ then $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(S_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2))$;*
- (b) *$N_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ is a proper subset of $S_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2)$;*
- (ii) *If $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(S_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2))$ and $\{A_{k,l}\} \in l_\infty^2$ then $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(N_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2))$.*

Proof. (i) – (a). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(N_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2))$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(k,l) \in J_{r,s}} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| &\geq \sum_{\substack{(k,l) \in J_{r,s} \\ |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon}} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \\ &\geq \varepsilon |\{(k, l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}|, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in J_{r,s}} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \\ \geq \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} |\{(k, l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for each $x \in X$ and for any $\delta > 0$, we have the containment

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} |\{(k, l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \\ \subseteq \left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in J_{r,s}} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon \delta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(N_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2))$, so that

$$\left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in J_{r,s}} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2,$$

which implies that

$$\left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} |\{(k, l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Hence we have $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(S_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2))$.

(i) – (b). Let $\theta_{r,s} = (k_{r,s})$ be given and let us define a set sequence $\{A_{k,l}\}$ as follows:

$$\{A_{k,l}\} = \begin{pmatrix} \{1\} & \{2\} & \{3\} & \dots & \left\{ \left[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}} \right] \right\} & \{0\} & \dots \\ \{2\} & \{2\} & \{3\} & \dots & \left\{ \left[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}} \right] \right\} & \{0\} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \{2\} & \left\{ \left[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}} \right] \right\} & \dots & \dots & \left\{ \left[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}} \right] \right\} & \{0\} & \dots \\ \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the greatest integer function.

It is clear that $\{A_{k,l}\}$ is an unbounded double set sequence. Moreover, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $x \in X$ we have

$$\frac{1}{h_{r,s}} |\{(k,l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, \{0\})| \geq \varepsilon\}| \leq \frac{\left[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}} \right]}{h_{r,s}}.$$

Then for any $\delta > 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} |\{(k,l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, \{0\})| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{\left[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}} \right]}{h_{r,s}} \geq \delta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $P - \lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}} \right]}{h_{r,s}} = 0$, it follows that the set on the right side is finite and therefore belongs to \mathcal{I}_2 . This shows that

$$\left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} |\{(k,l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, \{0\})| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2$$

and therefore we have $\{A_{k,l}\} \rightarrow \{(0,0)\} (S_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2))$. On the other hand for some fixed $x \in X$,

$$\frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in J_{r,s}} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, \{0\})| = \frac{\left[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}} \right] \left[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}} \right] \left(\left[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}} \right] + 1 \right)}{2h_{r,s}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2},$$

implies that the sequence $\frac{[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}}][\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}}]([\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}}+1)]}{h_{r,s}} \rightarrow 1$, $r, s \rightarrow \infty$, which gives for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in J_{r,s}} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, \{0\})| \geq \frac{1}{4} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}}][\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}}]([\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}}+1)]}{h_{r,s}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $A_{k,l} \rightarrow \{(0,0)\} (N_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2))$ does not hold.

(ii) Suppose that $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A (S_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2))$ and $\{A_{k,l}\} \in l^2_\infty$. Then there exists a $M > 0$ such that

$$|d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \leq M$$

for all $(k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, for each $x \in X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in J_{r,s}} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \\ &= \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{\substack{(k,l) \in J_{r,s} \\ |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{\substack{(k,l) \in J_{r,s} \\ |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \\ & \leq \frac{M}{h_{r,s}} \left| \left\{ (k, l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for each $x \in X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in J_{r,s}} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \left| \left\{ (k, l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A (N_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2))$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Theorem 2. For any double lacunary sequence $\theta_{r,s} = (k_r, l_s)$, if $\liminf_{r,s} q_{r,s} > 1$, then

$$A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(S(\mathcal{I}_2)) \Rightarrow A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(S_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2)).$$

Proof. Suppose first that $\liminf_{r,s} q_{r,s} > 1$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $q_{r,s} \geq 1 + \delta$ for sufficiently large r, s . Then we have

$$\frac{h_{r,s}}{k_r l_s} \geq \frac{\delta}{(1 + \delta)}.$$

If $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(S(\mathcal{I}_2))$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for sufficiently large r, s , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k_r l_s} |\{k \leq k_r, l \leq l_s : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ & \geq \frac{1}{k_r l_s} |\{(k, l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ & \geq \frac{\delta}{(1 + \delta)} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} |\{(k, l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Then for any $\mu > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} |\{(k, l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \mu \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{k_r l_s} |\{k \leq k_r, l \leq l_s : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \right. \\ & \quad \left. \geq \frac{\delta \mu}{(1 + \delta)} \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ■

Theorem 3. If $\mathcal{I}_2 = \mathcal{I}_2(\text{fin}) = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : A \text{ is a finite set}\}$ is a non-trivial ideal, and $\theta_{r,s} = (k_r, l_s)$ is a double lacunary sequence with $\limsup_{r,s} q_{r,s} < \infty$, then we have

$$\{A_{k,l}\} \rightarrow A(S_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2)) \Rightarrow \{A_{k,l}\} \rightarrow A(S(\mathcal{I}_2)).$$

Proof. If $\limsup_{r,s} q_{r,s} < \infty$, then there exists a $K > 0$ such that $q_{r,s} < K$ for all $r, s \geq 1$. Suppose that $\{A_{k,l}\} \rightarrow A(S_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2))$ and let

$$M_{r,s} = |\{(k, l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}|.$$

Since $\{A_{k,l}\} \rightarrow A(S_{\theta_{r,s}}(\mathcal{I}_2))$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} |\{(k, l) \in J_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \\ & = \left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{M_{r,s}}{h_{r,s}} \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, it is a finite set. We choose integers $r_0, s_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{M_{r,s}}{h_{r,s}} < \delta \text{ for all } r > r_0, s > s_0.$$

Let $M = \max \{M_{r,s} : 1 \leq r \leq r_0, 1 \leq s \leq s_0\}$ and m, n are two integers satisfying $k_{r-1} < m \leq k_r, l_{s-1} < n \leq l_s$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{mn} |\{k \leq m, l \leq n : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} |\{k \leq k_r, l \leq l_s : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ & = \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \{M_{1,1} + M_{2,2} + \dots + M_{r_0,s_0} + M_{r_0+1,s_0+1} + \dots + M_{r,s}\} \\ & \leq \frac{M}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} r_0 s_0 + \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \left\{ h_{r_0+1,s_0+1} \left(\frac{M_{r_0+1,s_0+1}}{h_{r_0+1,s_0+1}} \right) + \dots + h_{r,s} \frac{M_{r,s}}{h_{r,s}} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{M}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} r_0 s_0 + \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \left(\sup_{r>r_0, s>s_0} \frac{M_{r,s}}{h_{r,s}} \right) (h_{r_0+1,s_0+1} + \dots + h_{r,s}) \\ & \leq \frac{M}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} r_0 s_0 + \delta \left(\frac{k_r l_s - k_{r_0} l_{s_0}}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{M}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} r_0 s_0 + \delta q_{r,s} \leq \frac{M}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} r_0 s_0 + \delta K. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

Definition 16. We say that the sequence $\{A_{k,l}\}$ is Wijsman \mathcal{I}_2 -Cesàro summable to $\{A\}$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $x \in X$,

$$\left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \left| \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1}^{m,n} (d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

In this case, we write $\{A_{k,l}\} \xrightarrow{C_1(\mathcal{I}_2)} \{A\}$.

Definition 17. We say that the sequence $\{A_{k,l}\}$ is Wijsman strongly \mathcal{I}_2 -Cesàro summable to $\{A\}$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $x \in X$,

$$\left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1}^{m,n} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

In this case, we write $\{A_{k,l}\} \xrightarrow{C_1[\mathcal{I}_2]} \{A\}$.

Theorem 4. Let \mathcal{I}_2 be an admissible ideal of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, $\theta_{r,s} = (k_{r,s})$ be a double lacunary sequence. If $\{A_{kl}\} \in I_\infty^2$ and $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(S(\mathcal{I}_2))$, then $\{A_{k,l}\} \xrightarrow{C_1(\mathcal{I}_2)} \{A\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\{A_{kl}\} \in I_\infty^2$ and $A_{k,l} \rightarrow A(S(\mathcal{I}_2))$. Then we can assume that

$$|d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \leq M$$

for all $(k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Also for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1}^{m,n} (d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1}^{m,n} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}^{m,n} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}^{m,n} |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \\ &\leq M \frac{1}{mn} |\{k \leq m, l \leq n : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}| + \frac{1}{mn} mn \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if $\delta > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > 0$, δ and ε are independent, put $\delta_1 = \delta - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \left| \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1}^{m,n} (d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)) \right| \geq \delta \right\} \\ &\subseteq \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{mn} |\{k \leq m, l \leq n : |d(x, A_{k,l}) - d(x, A)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}| \right. \\ &\quad \left. \geq \frac{\delta_1}{M} \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\{A_{k,l}\} \xrightarrow{C_1(\mathcal{I}_2)} \{A\}$. ■

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for his/her much encouragement, support, constructive criticism, careful reading and making a useful comment which improved the presentation and the readability of the paper.

References

[1] ALTAY B., BAŞAR F., Some new spaces of double sequences, *J. Math Anal Appl.*, 309(1)(2005), 70-90.

- [2] BARONTI M., PAPINI P., Convergence of sequences of sets. In methods of functional analysis in approximation theory., *ISNM 76, Birkhauser*, Basel (1986), 133-155.
- [3] BEER G., On convergence of closed sets in a metric space and distance functions, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 31(1985), 421-432.
- [4] BEER G., Wijsman convergence: A survey, *Set-Valued Var. Anal.*, 2(1994), 77-94.
- [5] DAS P., SAVAŞ E., GHOSAL KR., On generalized of certain summability methods using ideals, *Appl. Math. Letter*, 36(2011), 1509-1514.
- [6] DAS P., KOSTYRKO P., WILCZYSKI W., MALI P., \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I}^* -convergence of double sequences, *Math. Slovaca*, 58(5)(2008), 605-620.
- [7] FAST H., Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq. Math.*, 2(1951), 241-244.
- [8] FRIDY J.A., ORHAN C., Lacunary statistical convergence, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 160(1993), 43-51.
- [9] HILL J.D., On perfect summability of double sequences, *Bull. Am Math Soc.*, 46(1940), 327-331.
- [10] KISIÖ., NURAY F., New convergence definitions for sequences of sets, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Volume 2013, Article ID 852796, 6 pages.
- [11] KISI Ö., SAVAŞ E., NURAY F., On \mathcal{I} -asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalence of sequences of sets, (submitted for publication).
- [12] KISI Ö., Lacunary ideal convergence of double set sequences, *Gen. Math. Notes*, 29(2)(2015), 36-47.
- [13] KOSTYRKO P., ŠALAT T., WILEZYNSKI W., \mathcal{I} -convergence, *Real Anal. Exchange*, 26(2)(2000), 669-686.
- [14] KUMAR S., KUMAR V., BHATIA S.S., On ideal version of lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences, *Gen. Math. Notes*, 17(1)(2013) 32-44.
- [15] LIMAEA BV., ZELTSER M., On the pringsheim convergence of double series, *Proc Est Acad Sci.*, 58(2009), 108-121.
- [16] MURSALEEN M., EDELY O.H.H., Statistical convergence of double sequences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 288(2003), 223-231.
- [17] NURAY F., RHOADES B.E., Statistical convergence of sequences of sets, *Fasc. Math.*, 49(2012), 87-99.
- [18] NURAY F., DUNDAR E., ULUSU U., Wijsman \mathcal{I}_2 -convergence of double sequences of closed sets, *Pure and Applied Mathematics Letters*, 2(2014), 31-35.
- [19] NURAY F., ULUSU U., DUNDAR E., Lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences of sets, (under communication).
- [20] NURAY F., ULUSU U., DUNDAR E., Cesàro summability of double sequences of sets, *Gen. Math. Notes.*, 25(1), November (2014), 8-18.
- [21] NURAY F., DUNDAR E., ULUSU U., Wijsman statistical convergence of double sequences of sets, (under communication).
- [22] NURAY F., ULUSU U., DUNDAR E., Lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences of sets, (under communication).
- [23] SAVAŞ E., PATTERSON R.F., Lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences, *Math. Commun.*, 10(2005), 55-61.
- [24] SCHOENBERG I.J., The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, *Amer. Math. Monthly.*, 66(1959), 361-375.

- [25] SEVER Y., ULUSU U., DUNDAR E., On strongly \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I}^* -lacunary convergence of sequences of sets, (under communication).
- [26] TRIPATHY B.C., HAZARIKA B., CHOUDHARY B., Lacunary \mathcal{I} -convergent sequences, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 52(4)(2012), 473-482.
- [27] TRIPATHY B., TRIPATHY B.C., On \mathcal{I} -convergent double sequences, *Soochow J. Math.*, 315(2005), 549-560.
- [28] ULUSU U., NURAY F., Lacunary statistical convergence of sequences of sets, *Progress in Applied Mathematics*, 4(2)(2012), 99-109.
- [29] ULUSU U., NURAY F., On strongly lacunary summability of sequence of sets, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Bioinformatics*, 3(2013), 75-88.
- [30] ULUSU U., DUNDAR E., \mathcal{I} -lacunary statistical convergence of sequences of sets, *Filomat*, 28(8)(2014), 1567-1574.
- [31] ULUSU U., DUNDAR E., PANCAROGLU N., Strongly \mathcal{I}_2 -lacunary convergence and \mathcal{I}_2 -lacunary Cauchy double sequences of sets, *International Conference on Recent Advances in Pure and Applied Mathematics*,
- [32] WIJSMAN R.A., Convergence of sequences of convex sets, cones and functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 70(1964), 186-188.
- [33] WIJSMAN R.A., Convergence of sequences of convex sets, cones and functions II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 123(1)(1966), 32-45.

ÖMER KIŞI

Faculty of Science

Mathematics Department

Bartın University Bartın, Turkey

e-mail: okisi@bartin.edu.tr

Received on 25.06.2015 and, in revised form, on 23.08.2016.