

VASILE LAURIC

## A FUGLEDE–PUTNAM TYPE THEOREM FOR A CLASS OF ALMOST NORMAL OPERATORS

ABSTRACT. In this note we will prove that operators  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  with finite  $k_1$  function satisfy a Fuglede–Putnam type modulo the Hilbert–Schmidt class, that is, for arbitrary  $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $TX - XT \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$  implies  $T^*X - XT^* \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ .

KEY WORDS: almost normal operators,  $k_1$ -function, Fuglede–Putnam type theorem.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B20, 47B37.

### 1. Introduction

**1.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable, infinite dimensional, complex Hilbert space, and denote by  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  and by  $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$  (or simply  $\mathcal{C}_p$ ) the Schatten–von Neumann  $p$ -classes and by  $|\cdot|_p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , their respective norm. In this note, of particular interest will be the classes corresponding to  $p = 1, 2$ , that is the trace-class  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and the class of Hilbert–Schmidt operators  $\mathcal{C}_2$ . For arbitrary operators  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $[S, T]$  will denote their commutator  $ST - TS$  and  $D_S$  will denote the self-commutator of  $S$ , that is  $[S^*, S]$ . An operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is called *almost normal* when  $D_S \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$  and the class of operators defined on  $\mathcal{H}$  which are almost normal will be denoted by  $\mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$ .

**2.** Voiculescu’s Conjecture 4 ( $C_4$ ) (cf. [3] or [4]) states that for  $T \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$ , there exists  $S \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $T \oplus S = N + K$ , where  $N$  is a normal operator and  $K$  is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator. Under the assumption that conjecture ( $C_4$ ) has a positive answer, one can easily prove that almost normal operators satisfy a Fuglede–Putnam type theorem, that is, if  $T \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is such that  $[T, X] \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $[T^*, X] \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ , and the family of operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  that have such a property will be denoted by  $\mathcal{FP}_2(\mathcal{H})$ . This is an easy consequence of a theorem of G. Weiss [5] that states that if  $N \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is a normal operator and  $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $[N, X] \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $[N^*, X] \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\|[N, X]\|_2 = \|[N^*, X]\|_2$  (in particular  $N \in \mathcal{FP}_2(\mathcal{H})$ ), and the details are left for the reader.

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_1^+$  denote the set of finite rank orthogonal projections and the finite rank positive semidefinite contractions respectively, and

$$q_p(T) = \liminf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} |((I - P)TP)|_p,$$

$$k_p(T) = \liminf_{A \in \mathcal{R}_1^+} |[T, A]|_p,$$

where the  $\liminf$ 's are with respect to the natural order.

**3.** In [1] it was proved that almost normal operators  $T$  such that  $q_2(T) < \infty$  belong to  $\mathcal{FP}_2(\mathcal{H})$ . It is natural to ask whether almost normal operators  $T$  with finite  $k_2(T)$ , and implicitly  $k_2(T) = 0$  (since the function  $k_2$  is either zero or infinite acc. [2]), belong to  $\mathcal{FP}_2$ .

In this note we will prove that such a result holds under the hypothesis that  $k_1(T)$  is finite. We mention that  $k_1$  is not necessarily zero when it is finite.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $T \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $k_1(T) < \infty$ , then for  $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  the commutator  $[T, X]$  is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator if and only if so is  $[T^*, X]$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $k_1(T) < \infty$ , let  $A_n \in \mathcal{R}_1^+$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , so that  $A_n \uparrow I$  and  $\|[A_n, T]\|_1 \downarrow k_1(T)$ , and let  $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $[T, X] =: R \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ .

It will be enough to prove that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\operatorname{tr}[A_n(QQ^* - RR^*)]| < \infty,$$

where  $Q := T^*X - XT^*$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} A_n RR^* &= A_n T X X^* T^* - A_n T X T^* X^* - A_n X T X^* T^* + A_n X T T^* X^* \\ &= a - b - c + d \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_n QQ^* &= A_n T^* X X^* T - A_n T^* X T X^* - A_n X T^* X^* T + A_n X T^* T X^* \\ &= A - B - C + D, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a, b, \dots, C, D$  are the terms in the order they appear in these expansions. We will use several times each of the following facts about trace-class operators:  $\operatorname{tr}([F, Y]) = 0$ ,  $|\operatorname{tr}(F)| \leq \|F\|_1$ , and  $\|FY\|_1, \|YF\|_1 \leq \|F\|_1 \|Y\|$ , for  $F \in \mathcal{C}_1$  and in particular for finite rank operators, and arbitrary  $Y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .

First

$$(1) \quad |\operatorname{tr}(D - d)| \leq \|D - d\|_1 \leq \|X\|^2 \|D_T\|_1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\operatorname{tr}(B - c)| &= |\operatorname{tr}(A_n T^* X T X^* - A_n X T X^* T^*)| \\
&= |\operatorname{tr}(A_n T^* X T X^* - T^* A_n X T X^*)| = |\operatorname{tr}([A_n, T^*] X T X^*)| \\
&\leq |[A_n, T^*] X T X^*|_1 \leq |[A_n, T^*]|_1 \|X T X^*\| \\
&\leq |[A_n, T^*]|_1 \|X\|^2 \|T\|
\end{aligned}$$

and then after passing to limit

$$(2) \quad |\operatorname{tr}(B - c)| \leq k_1(T) \|X\|^2 \|T\|.$$

In a similar way,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\operatorname{tr}(C - b)| &= |\operatorname{tr}(A_n X T^* X^* T - A_n T X T^* X^*)| \\
&= |\operatorname{tr}(T A_n X T^* X^* - T^* A_n T X T^* X^*)| = |\operatorname{tr}([T, A_n] X T^* X^*)| \\
&\leq |[A_n, T] X T^* X^*|_1 \leq |[A_n, T]|_1 \|X T^* X^*\| \\
&\leq |[A_n, T]|_1 \|X\|^2 \|T\|
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$(3) \quad |\operatorname{tr}(C - b)| \leq k_1(T) \|X\|^2 \|T\|.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\operatorname{tr}(A - a)| &= |\operatorname{tr}(A_n T^* X X^* T - A_n T X X^* T^*)| \\
&= |\operatorname{tr}(T A_n T^* X X^* - T^* A_n T X X^*)| \\
&\leq |T A_n T^* - T^* A_n T|_1 \|X\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
|T A_n T^* - T^* A_n T|_1 &= |(T A_n T^* - A_n T T^*) \\
&\quad + (A_n T T^* - A_n T^* T) + (A_n T^* T - T^* A_n T)|_1 \\
&\leq |[T, A_n] T^*|_1 + |A_n D_T|_1 + |[A_n, T^*] T|_1 \\
&\leq |[T, A_n]|_1 \|T^*\| + |D_T|_1 + |[A_n, T^*]|_1 \|T\| \\
&= 2|[T, A_n]|_1 \|T\| + |D_T|_1,
\end{aligned}$$

and consequently, by passing to limit, we have

$$(4) \quad |\operatorname{tr}(A - a)| \leq (2k_1(T) \|T\| + |D_T|_1) \|X\|^2.$$

Using inequalities (1)-(4),

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\operatorname{tr}[A_n(QQ^* - RR^*)]| \leq 4k_1(T) \|X\|^2 \|T\| + 2|D_T|_1 \|X\|^2,$$

which proves one implication of the theorem. The other implication is a consequence of the previous one since  $k_1(T) = k_1(T^*)$ . ■

The above proof leads to the following.

**Corollary 1.** *If  $T \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $k_1(T) < \infty$  and  $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  so that  $[T, X] \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $\|[T^*, X]\|_2^2 \leq \|[T, X]\|_2^2 + 4k_1(T)\|X\|^2\|T\| + 2\|D_T\|_1\|X\|^2$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *If  $T, S \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $k_1(T)$  and  $k_1(S) < \infty$  and  $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  so that  $R := TX - XS \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $Q := T^*X - XS^* \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q\|_2^2 &\leq \|R\|_2^2 + 4(k_1(T) + k_1(S))\|X\|^2 \max\{\|T\|, \|S\|\} \\ &\quad + 2(\|D_T\|_1 + \|D_S\|_1)\|X\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Let  $T, S, X$  as in the hypothesis. It is straightforward to see that

$$k_1(T \oplus S) \leq k_1(T) + k_1(S) < \infty.$$

Setting  $\tilde{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  then  $(T \oplus S)\tilde{X} - \tilde{X}(T \oplus S) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and thus  $(T \oplus S)\tilde{X} - \tilde{X}(T \oplus S) \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ . Therefore  $(T \oplus S)^*\tilde{X} - \tilde{X}(T \oplus S)^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{C}_2$ . Consequently  $Q \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ , and the inequality is left for the reader.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 3.** *If  $T \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $k_1(T) < \infty$  and  $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  so that  $R' := TX - XT^* \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $Q' := T^*X - XT \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$  and*

$$\|Q'\|_2^2 \leq \|R'\|_2^2 + 8k_1(T)\|X\|^2\|T\| + 4\|D_T\|_1\|X\|^2.$$

## References

- [1] LAURIC V., A Fuglede-Putnam theorem modulo the Hilbert-Schmidt class for almost normal operators with finite modulus of Hilbert-Schmidt quasi-triangularity, *Concr. Oper.*, 3(2016), 8-14.
- [2] VOICULESCU D.V., Some results on norm-ideal perturbations of Hilbert space operators II, *J. Operator Theory*, 5(1981), 77-100.
- [3] VOICULESCU D.V., Hilbert space operators modulo normed ideals, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, August 16-24, W-wa (1983), 1041-1047.
- [4] VOICULESCU D.V., Almost normal operators mod Hilbert-Schmidt and the  $K$ -theory of the algebras  $E\Lambda(\Omega)$ , *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 8(2014), 11231-1145.
- [5] WEISS G., The Fuglede commutativity theorem modulo operator ideals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 83(1981), 113-118.

VASILE LAURIC  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY  
TALLAHASSEE, FL 32307  
e-mail: vasile.lauric@famuedu

*Received on 28.12.2018 and, in revised form, on 15.10.2019.*