

HARINA P. WAGHAMORE AND RAMYA MALIGI

**SOME RESULTS ON UNIQUENESS AND VALUE
DISTRIBUTION FOR q -SHIFT DIFFERENCE
DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS**

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the uniqueness and value distribution of q -shift difference differential polynomials of entire and meromorphic functions with zero order and obtain some results which improve and generalizes the previous results of Harina P. Waghamore and Sangeetha Anand [1].

KEY WORDS: entire functions, meromorphic functions, sharing values, difference-differential polynomials.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D35.

1. Introduction and main results

In this article, we use some basic results and symbols of Nevanlinna's value distribution theory of meromorphic functions in \mathbb{C} such as the first and second main theorems, and the common notations such as the characteristic function $T(r, f)$, the proximity function $m(r, f)$ and the counting functions $N(r, f)$ (with multiplicities) and $\bar{N}(r, f)$ (without multiplicities); $S(r, f)$ denotes any quantity satisfying $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ except possibly on a set of finite Lebesgue measure, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions defined in the open complex plane \mathbb{C} . If for some $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same set of zeros with the same multiplicities, we say that f and g share the value a CM (counting multiplicities), and if we do not consider the multiplicities then f and g are said to share the value a IM (ignoring multiplicities).

Definition 1. *Linear differential polynomial is defined as*

$$L(f) = \sum_{i=0}^k b_i(z) f^{(i)}(z),$$

where $b_1(z), b_2(z), \dots, b_k(z)$ are small functions of $f(z)$.

In 2011, Liu et al. [2] considered uniqueness of difference polynomials of meromorphic functions, corresponding to uniqueness theorems of meromorphic functions sharing values (see, e.g., [5]) and obtained the following results.

Theorem A. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions with finite order. Suppose that c is a non-zero complex constant and n is an integer. If $n \geq 14$ and $f^n(z)f(z+c)$ and $g^n(z)g(z+c)$ share 1 CM, then $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ or $f(z)g(z) = t$, where $t^{n+1} = 1$.*

Theorem B. *Under the conditions of Theorem A, if $n \geq 26$ and $f^n(z)f(z+c)$ and $g^n(z)g(z+c)$ share 1 IM, then $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ or $f(z)g(z) = t$, where $t^{n+1} = 1$.*

In 2013, Liu et al. [4], considered the case of q -shift difference polynomials and extended the Theorem A as follows:

Theorem C. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions with $\rho(f) = \rho(g) = 0$. Suppose that q and c are two non-zero complex constants and n is an integer. If $n \geq 14$ and $f^n(z)f(qz+c)$ and $g^n(z)g(qz+c)$ share 1 CM, then $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ or $f(z)g(z) = t$, where $t^{n+1} = 1$.*

Theorem D. *Under the conditions of Theorem C, if $n \geq 26$ and $f^n(z)f(qz+c)$ and $g^n(z)g(qz+c)$ share 1 IM, then $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ or $f(z)g(z) = t$, where $t^{n+1} = 1$.*

Theorem E. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions with $\rho(f) = \rho(g) = 0$, let q and c be two non-zero complex constants, let $P(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$ be a non-zero polynomial, where $a_n (\neq 0), a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0$, are complex constants and k denotes the number of the distinct zero of $P(z)$. If $n > 2k+1$ and $P(f(z))f(qz+c)$ and $P(g(z))g(qz+c)$ share 1 CM, then one of the following results holds:*

- a). $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where
 $d = \text{GCD}\{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ and

$$\lambda_j = \begin{cases} n+1, & a_j = 0, \\ j+1, & a_j \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

- b). $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f(z), g(z)) = 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1)w_1(qz+c) - P(w_2)w_2(qz+c).$$

In 2016, Harina P. Waghamore and Sangeetha Anand [1] investigated the value distribution for q -shift polynomials of transcendental meromorphic and entire functions with zero order and obtained the following results.

Theorem F. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions with $\rho(f) = \rho(g) = 0$. Let q and c be two non-zero complex constants, n an integer and $P_m(z) = a_m z^m + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$. If $n \geq 5m + 19$ and $f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))f'(z)$ and $g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))g'(z)$ share 1 CM, then $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ or $f(z)g(z) = t$, where $t^d = 1, d = \text{GCD}(n + m + 1, n + m, \dots, n + m + 1 - i, \dots, n + 1), a_{m-i} \neq 0$, for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

Theorem G. Under the conditions of Theorem F, if $n \geq 11m + 31$, $f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))f'(z)$ and $g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))g'(z)$ share 1 IM, then conclusion of Theorem F still holds.

Theorem H. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions with $\rho(f) = \rho(g) = 0$, q and c are two non-zero complex constants and k denote the number of distinct zeros of $P_m(z)$. If $m > n + 2k + 4$, $f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))f'(z)$ and $g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))g'(z)$ share 1 CM, then one of the following results holds:

- a). $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where

$$d = \text{GCD}(n + m + 1, n + m, \dots, n + m + 1 - i, \dots, n + 1).$$

$a_{m-i} \neq 0$, for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

- b). $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$ where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^{n+1} \left[\frac{a_m w_1^m}{n + m + 1} + \frac{a_{m-1} w_1^{m-1}}{n + m} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{n + 1} \right] - w_2^{n+1} \left[\frac{a_m w_2^m}{n + m + 1} + \frac{a_{m-1} w_2^{m-1}}{n + m} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{n + 1} \right].$$

By considering Definition 1, we obtain results on the uniqueness and value distribution of q -shift difference differential polynomials of transcendental entire and meromorphic functions of the form $f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f)$ and $g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))L(g)$. Our results improve and generalize the results due to [1].

Theorem 1. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions with $\rho(f) = \rho(g) = 0$. Let q and c be two non-zero complex constants, n an integer and $P_m(z) = a_m z^m + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$. If $n \geq 3m + 5k + 14$ and $f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f)$ and $g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))L(g)$ share 1 CM, then $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ or $f(z)g(z) = t$, where $t^d = 1, d = \text{GCD}(\{n + m, n + m - 1, \dots, n + m - i, \dots, n\}, \{n + m + 1, n + m, \dots, n + m + 1 - i, \dots, n + 1\}, \dots, \{n + m + k, n + m + k - 1, \dots, n + m + k - i, \dots, n + k\}), a_{m-i} \neq 0$, for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

Theorem 2. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, if $n \geq 9m + 11k + 20$, $f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f)$ and $g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))L(g)$ share 1 IM, then conclusion of Theorem 1 still holds.

Theorem 3. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions with $\rho(f) = \rho(g) = 0$, q and c are two non-zero complex constants and t_m denote the number of distinct zeros of $P_m(z)$. If $m > n + 2t_m + k + 1$, $f^n(z)P_m(f(qz+c))L(f)$ and $g^n(z)P_m(g(qz+c))L(g)$ share 1 CM, then one of the following results holds:

a). $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where

$$d = \text{GCD}(\{n+m+2, n+m+1, \dots, n+m+2-i, \dots, n+2\}, \\ \{n+m+1, \dots, n+m, \dots, n+m+1-i, \dots, n+1\}, \dots, \\ \{n+m+k-1, n+m+k-2, \dots, n+m+k-i, \dots, n+k-1\}).$$

$a_{m-i} \neq 0$, for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

b). $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$ where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^{n+2} \left[\frac{a_m b_0 w_1^m}{n+m+2} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_0}{n+2} \right] \\ + w_1^{n+1} \left[\frac{a_m b_1 w_1^m}{n+m+1} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_1}{n+1} \right] + \dots \\ + w_1^{n+k-1} \left[\frac{a_m b_k w_1^m (k-1)}{n+m+k-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_k (k-1)}{n+k-1} \right] \\ - \left\{ w_2^{n+2} \left[\frac{a_m b_0 w_2^m}{n+m+2} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_0}{n+2} \right] \right. \\ + w_2^{n+1} \left[\frac{a_m b_1 w_2^m}{n+m+1} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_1}{n+1} \right] + \dots \\ \left. + w_2^{n+k-1} \left[\frac{a_m b_k w_2^m (k-1)}{n+m+k-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_k (k-1)}{n+k-1} \right] \right\}.$$

Remark 1. For $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$, if $b_i(z) = 0$ for $i \neq 1$ and $b_1(z) = 1$ in $L(f)$ of Theorems 1, 2 and 3, then Theorems 1, 2 and 3 reduces to Theorems F, G and H.

Remark 2. If $k = 1$ in Theorems 1 and 2, then Theorems 1 and 2 improve and generalize Theorems F and G.

Remark 3. If $k = 1$ and $t_m = k$ in Theorem 3, then Theorem 3 improve and generalize Theorem H.

The following example shows that the conditions in Theorem 1 cannot be removed.

Example 1. Let $f(z) = \sin z, g(z) = \cos z, q = 1, k = 0, c = 2\pi, n = 17$ and $m = 1$. Hence we have $n \geq 17$ and $f^n(z)P_m(f(qz+c))L(f) = g^n(z)P_m(g(qz+c))L(g)$. Therefore $f^n(z)P_m(f(qz+c))L(f)$ and $g^n(z)P_m(g(qz+c))L(g)$ share 1 CM. Clearly, we get $f = tg$.

Example 2. Let $f(z) = e^z$, $g(z) = -e^z$, $q = 1$, $k = 3$, $c = 1$, $n = 32$ and $m = 1$. Hence we have $n > 31$. Here $L(f) = f + f^{(1)} + f^{(2)} + f^{(3)} = 4e^z$, $L(g) = g + g^{(1)} + g^{(2)} + g^{(3)} = -4e^z$ and $f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f) = g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))L(g)$. Therefore $f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f)$ and $g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))L(g)$ share 1 CM. Then we get $f = tg$, where t is d^{th} root of unity.

Example 3. Let $f(z) = e^z$, $g(z) = e^{-z}$, $q = 1$, $k = 4$, $c = 1$, $n = 37$ and $m = 1$. Hence we have $n > 36$. Here $L(f) = f^{(4)} + f^{(3)} - f^{(2)} - f^{(1)} - f = -e^z$, $L(g) = g^{(4)} + g^{(3)} - g^{(2)} - g^{(1)} - g = -e^{-z}$ and $f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f) = g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))L(g)$. Therefore $f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f)$ and $g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))L(g)$ share 1 CM. Then we get $f(z)g(z) = t$, where t is d^{th} root of unity.

2. Some lemmas

For the proof of our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 ([8]). *Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function and $a_n (\neq 0), a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0$ be small functions with respect to $f(z)$. Then*

$$T(r, a_n f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 f + a_0) = nT(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

Lemma 2 ([6]). *Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite logarithmic order and q, η be two non-zero complex constants. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f(qz + \eta)) &= T(r, f) + S(r, f), \\ N(r, f(qz + \eta)) &= N(r, f) + S(r, f), \\ N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(qz + \eta)}\right) &= N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 ([8]). *Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function in the complex plane. Then*

1. $m\left(r, \frac{L(f)}{f}\right) = S(r, f)$.
2. $T(r, L(f)) \leq T(r, f) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f)$.

Lemma 4 ([3]). *Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function and p, k be positive integers. Then*

1. $T(r, f^k) \leq T(r, f) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f)$
2. $N_p\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq T(r, f^k) - T(r, f) + N_{p+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f)$
3. $N_p\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq N_{p+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f)$
4. $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f)$.

Lemma 5 ([7]). *Let $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions. If $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ share 1 CM, then one of the following three cases holds:*

1. $T(r, F) + T(r, G) \leq 2 \left\{ N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + N_2(r, F)N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) + N_2(r, G) \right\} + S(r, F) + S(r, G),$
2. $F \equiv G,$
3. $FG \equiv 1.$

Lemma 6 ([5]). *Let F and G be two non-constant meromorphic functions. Let F and G share 1 IM and*

$$H = \frac{F''}{F'} - 2\frac{F'}{F-1} - \frac{G''}{G'} + 2\frac{G'}{G-1}$$

If $H \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2 \left(N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + N_2(r, F) + N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) + N_2(r, G) \right) \\ &\quad + 3 \left(\bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7 ([4]). *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function with $\rho(f) = 0$, let c and q be two fixed non-zero complex constants, let $P(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$ be a non-zero polynomial, where $a_n (\neq 0), a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0$, are complex constants, then*

$$T(r, P(f(z))f(qz + c)) = T(r, P(f(z))f(z)) + S(r, f).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $F(z) = f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f)$ and $G(z) = g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))L(g)$.

Since $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic function of zero order, by Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad T(r, F) &\leq T(r, f^n(z)) + T(r, P_m(f(qz + c))) + T(r, L(f)) \\ &\leq (n + m + k + 1)T(r, f) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

On the otherhand from Lemma 1, Lemma 2, Lemma 4 and Lemma 3, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m)T(r, f) &\leq T(r, f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))) \\ &\leq T \left(r, \frac{F}{L(f)} \right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, F) + (k + 1)T(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(2) \quad (n + m - k - 1)T(r, f) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, F).$$

From (1) and (2), we obtain

$$(3) \quad (n + m - k - 1)T(r, f) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, F) \\ \leq (n + m + k + 1)T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Similarly, we have

$$(4) \quad (n + m - k - 1)T(r, g) + S(r, g) \leq T(r, G) \\ \leq (n + m + k + 1)T(r, g) + S(r, g).$$

Also, we have

$$(5) \quad N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{P_m(f(qz + c))}\right) \\ + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + S(r, f) \\ \leq (k + m + 3)T(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

Similarly,

$$(6) \quad N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \leq (k + m + 3)T(r, g) + S(r, g),$$

$$(7) \quad N_2(r, F) \leq (k + m + 3)T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

$$(8) \quad N_2(r, G) \leq (k + m + 3)T(r, g) + S(r, g).$$

Since F and G share 1 CM, let us assume (1) of Lemma 5 holds and hence

$$T(r, F) + T(r, G) \leq 2\left[N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2(r, F) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r, G)\right] \\ + S(r, F) + S(r, G).$$

Substituting (3)-(8), we obtain

$$(9) \quad T(r, F) + T(r, G) \leq 2(2(k + m + 3)(T(r, f) + T(r, g))) \\ + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ \leq (4k + 4m + 12)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \\ + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

From (3), (4) and (9), we get

$$(n + m - k - 1 - 4k - 4m - 12)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$

Therefore,

$$(10) \quad (n - 3m - 5k - 13)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$

which is a contradiction, since $n \geq 3m + 5k + 14$. Thus by Lemma 5, we have $F \equiv G$ or $FG \equiv 1$. If $F \equiv G$, that is,

$$f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f) \equiv g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))L(g)$$

Set $H(z) = f(z)/g(z)$. Suppose that $H(z)$ is not a constant. Then, we obtain

$$\frac{f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f)}{g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))L(g)} = 1$$

$$(11) \quad H^n(z)P_m(H(qz + c))L(H) = 1$$

From Lemma 2 and (11), we get

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} nT(r, H) &= T\left(r, \frac{1}{P_m(H(qz + c))L(H)}\right) \\ &\leq T(r, P_m(H(qz + c))L(H)) + S(r, H) \\ &\leq (k + m + 1)T(r, H(z)) + S(r, H) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $H(z)$ must be non-zero constant, since $n \geq 3m + 5k + 14$. Set $H(z) = t$. By (11), we have $t^d = 1$. Thus $f(z) = tg(z)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} d &= GCD(\{n + m, n + m - 1, \dots, n + m - i, \dots, n\}, \\ &\quad \{n + m + 1, n + m, \dots, n + m + 1 - i, \dots, n + 1\}, \dots, \\ &\quad \{n + m + k, n + m + k - 1, \dots, n + m + k - i, \dots, n + k\}, \end{aligned}$$

$a_{m-i} \neq 0$, for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$. If $FG \equiv 1$, that is,

$$f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f) \cdot g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))L(g) = 1$$

Let $L(z) = f(z) \cdot g(z)$. Using similar method as above, we obtain that $L(z)$ must also be a non-zero constant. Thus we have $fg = t$, where $t^d = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} d &= GCD(\{n + m, n + m - 1, \dots, n + m - i, \dots, n\}, \\ &\quad \{n + m + 1, n + m, \dots, n + m + 1 - i, \dots, n + 1\}, \dots, \\ &\quad \{n + m + k, n + m + k - 1, \dots, n + m + k - i, \dots, n + k\}) \end{aligned}$$

$a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $F(z) = f^n(z)P_m(f(qz+c))L(f)$ and $G(z) = g^n(z)P_m(g(qz+c))L(g)$, then F and G share 1 IM. If $H \not\equiv 0$ then by Lemma 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (13) \quad T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2 \left(N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + N_2(r, F) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) + N_2(r, G) \right) \\
 &\quad + 3 \left(\bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) \right) + S(r, F) + S(r, G).
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (14) \quad \bar{N}(r, F(z)) &= \bar{N}(r, f^n(z)P_m(f(qz+c))L(f)) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq (k+m+1)T(r, f) + S(r, f),
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(15) \quad \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{F(z)} \right) \leq (k+m+1)T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

$$(16) \quad \bar{N}(r, G(z)) \leq (k+m+1)T(r, g) + S(r, g),$$

$$(17) \quad \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{G(z)} \right) \leq (k+m+1)T(r, g) + S(r, g).$$

Together Lemma 6 with (5)-(8) and (14)-(17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (18) \quad T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2(2(k+m+3))(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \\
 &\quad + 3(2(k+m+1))(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \\
 &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (3), (4) and (18)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (19) \quad (n+m-k-1)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \\
 \leq (10k+10m+18)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
 \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible, since $n \geq 9m + 11k + 20$. Hence, we have $H \equiv 0$.

By integrating H twice, we have

$$(20) \quad F = \frac{(b+1)G + (a-b-1)}{bG + (a-b)}$$

which yields $T(r, F) = T(r, G) + O(1)$. From (3), (4), we obtain

$$(21) \quad (n+m-k-1)T(r, f) \leq (n+m+k+1)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$

$$(22) \quad (n+m-k-1)T(r, g) \leq (n+m+k+1)T(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Next, we will prove that $F \equiv G$ or $FG \equiv 1$.

Case 1. ($b \neq 0, -1$). If $a-b-1 \neq 0$, by (20), we obtain

$$(23) \quad \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G - (a-b-1)/(b+1)}\right).$$

Combining the Nevanlinna second main theorem with Lemma 2, (3),(4) and (22), we obtain

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} (n+m-k-1)T(r, g) &\leq T(r, G) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G - (a-b-1)/(b+1)}\right) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{P_m(g(qz+c))}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, P_m(g(qz+c))) + \bar{N}(r, L(g)) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{P_m(f(qz+c))}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (3+2k+m)T(r, g) + (1+k+m)T(r, f) + S(r, g) \end{aligned}$$

By simple calculation, we get contradiction, since $n \geq 9m + 11k + 20$. Hence we obtain, $a-b-1=0$, so

$$(25) \quad F = \frac{(b+1)G}{bG+1}$$

Using the similar method as above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n+m-k-1)T(r, g) &\leq T(r, G) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G+1/b}\right) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (3+2k+m)T(r, g) + (1+k+m)T(r, f) + S(r, g) \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible.

Case 2. If $b = -1$ and $a = -1$, then $FG \equiv 1$ follows trivially. Therefore, consider $b = -1$ and $a \neq -1$. By (20), we have

$$(26) \quad F = \frac{a}{a + 1 - G}.$$

Similarly, as above we get contradiction.

Case 3. If $b = 0$, $a = 1$, then $F \equiv G$ follows trivially. Therefore, consider $b = 0$ and $a \neq 1$. By (20), we have

$$(27) \quad F = \frac{G + a - 1}{a}.$$

Similarly, as above we get contradiction.

5. Proof of Theorem 3

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions. Since $f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f)$ and $g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))L(g)$ share 1 CM, we have

$$(28) \quad \frac{f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f) - 1}{g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))L(g) - 1} = e^{l(z)}$$

where $l(z)$ is an entire function, by $\rho(f) = 0$ and $\rho(g) = 0$, we have $e^{l(z)} \equiv \eta$ a constant. Rewriting (28),

$$(29) \quad \eta g^n(z)P_m(g(qz + c))L(g) = f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f) + \eta - 1.$$

If $\eta \neq 1$, by the first main theorem, the second main theorem and Lemma 2, we have

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} T(r, f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f)) &\leq \overline{N}(r, f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f)) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f)}\right) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n(z)P_m(f(qz + c))L(f) - 1}\right) + S(r, F) \\ &\leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n(z)}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{t_m} \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f(qz + c) - \gamma_j}\right) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (n + t_m + k + 1)T(r, f) + (n + t_m + k + 1)T(r, g) \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7 and (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n+m+k+1)T(r, f) &= T(r, f^n(z)P_m(f(qz+c))L(f)) \\
 &\leq (n+t_m+k+1)T(r, f) \\
 &\quad + (n+t_m+k+1)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 (31) \quad (m-t_m)T(r, f) &\leq (n+t_m+k+1)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(32) \quad (m-t_m)T(r, g) \leq (n+t_m+k+1)T(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$

Equations (31) and (32) imply that

$$(33) \quad (m-n-2t_m-k-1)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$

which is impossible, since $m > n + 2t_m + k + 1$.

Hence we have $\eta = 1$. Rewriting (28),

$$(34) \quad f^n(z)P_m(f(qz+c))L(f) = g^n(z)P_m(g(qz+c))L(g)$$

Set $h(z) = f(z)/g(z)$

Case 1. Suppose that $h(z)$ is a constant. Integrating (34), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (35) \quad & f^{n+2} \left[\frac{a_m b_0 f^m(qz+c)}{n+m+2} + \frac{a_{m-1} b_0 f^{m-1}(qz+c)}{n+m+1} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_0}{n+2} \right] \\
 & + f^{n+1} \left[\frac{a_m b_1 f^m(qz+c)}{n+m+1} + \frac{a_{m-1} b_1 f^{m-1}(qz+c)}{n+m} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_1}{n+1} \right] \\
 & + \dots + f^{n+k-1} \left[\frac{a_m b_k f^m(qz+c)(k-1)}{n+m+k-1} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{a_{m-1} b_k f^{m-1}(qz+c)(k-1)}{n+m+k-2} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_k (k-1)}{n+k-1} \right] \\
 & = g^{n+2} \left[\frac{a_m b_0 g^m(qz+c)}{n+m+2} + \frac{a_{m-1} b_0 g^{m-1}(qz+c)}{n+m+1} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_0}{n+2} \right] \\
 & + g^{n+1} \left[\frac{a_m b_1 g^m(qz+c)}{n+m+1} + \frac{a_{m-1} b_1 g^{m-1}(qz+c)}{n+m} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_1}{n+1} \right] \\
 & + \dots + g^{n+k-1} \left[\frac{a_m b_k g^m(qz+c)(k-1)}{n+m+k-1} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{a_{m-1} b_k g^{m-1}(qz+c)(k-1)}{n+m+k-2} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_k (k-1)}{n+k-1} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

By substituting $f = gh$ in (35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& g^{n+2}h^{n+2} \left[\frac{a_m b_0 g^m (qz + c) h^m}{n + m + 2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{a_{m-1} b_0 g^{m-1} (qz + c) h^{m-1}}{n + m + 1} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_0}{n + 2} \right] \\
& \quad + g^{n+1}h^{n+1} \left[\frac{a_m b_1 g^m (qz + c) h^m}{n + m + 1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{a_{m-1} b_1 g^{m-1} (qz + c) h^{m-1}}{n + m} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_0}{n + 1} \right] \\
& \quad + \dots + g^{n+k-1}h^{n+k-1} \left[\frac{a_m b_k g^m (qz + c) h^m (k-1)}{n + m + k - 1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{a_{m-1} b_k g^{m-1} (qz + c) h^{m-1} (k-1)}{n + m + k - 2} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_k (k-1)}{n + k - 1} \right] \\
& = g^{n+2} \left[\frac{a_m b_0 g^m (qz + c)}{n + m + 2} + \frac{a_{m-1} b_0 g^{m-1} (qz + c)}{n + m + 1} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_0}{n + 2} \right] \\
& \quad + g^{n+1} \left[\frac{a_m b_1 g^m (qz + c)}{n + m + 1} + \frac{a_{m-1} b_1 g^{m-1} (qz + c)}{n + m} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_1}{n + 1} \right] \\
& \quad + \dots + g^{n+k-1} \left[\frac{a_m b_k g^m (qz + c) (k-1)}{n + m + k - 1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{a_{m-1} b_k g^{m-1} (qz + c) (k-1)}{n + m + k - 2} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_k (k-1)}{n + k - 1} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
(36) \quad & g^{n+2} \left[\frac{a_m b_0 g^m (qz + c)}{n + m + 2} (h^{n+m+2} - 1) \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{a_{m-1} b_0 g^{m-1} (qz + c)}{n + m + 1} (h^{n+m+1} - 1) \\
& \quad + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_0}{n + 2} (h^{n+2} - 1) \\
& \quad + \frac{a_m b_1 g^{m-1} (qz + c)}{n + m + 1} (h^{n+m+1} - 1) \\
& \quad + \frac{a_{m-1} b_1 g^{m-2} (qz + c)}{n + m} (h^{n+m} - 1) \\
& \quad + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_1 g^{-1} (qz + c)}{n + 1} (h^{n+1} - 1) \\
& \quad + \dots + \frac{a_m b_k g^{m+k-3} (qz + c)}{n + m + k - 1} (h^{n+m+k-1} - 1) (k-1) \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{a_{m-1} b_k (k-1) g^{m+k-4} (qz + c)}{n + m + k - 2} (h^{n+m+k-2} - 1) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \dots + \frac{a_0 b_k (k-1) g^{k-3} (qz+c)}{n+k-1} (h^{n+k-1} - 1) \Big] \equiv 0$$

Since g is a transcendental entire function, we have $g^{n+2}(z) \neq 0$. Hence, we obtain

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{a_m b_0 g^m (qz+c)}{n+m+2} (h^{m+n+2} - 1) + \frac{a_{m-1} b_0 g^{m-1} (qz+c)}{n+m+1} (h^{n+m+1} - 1) \\ & + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_0}{n+2} (h^{n+2} - 1) + \frac{a_m b_1 g^{m-1} (qz+c)}{n+m+1} (h^{n+m+1} - 1) \\ & + \frac{a_{m-1} b_1 g^{m-2} (qz+c)}{n+m} (h^{n+m} - 1) + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_1 g^{-1} (qz+c)}{n+1} (h^{n+1} - 1) \\ & + \dots + \frac{a_m b_k g^{m+k-3} (qz+c)}{n+m+k-1} (h^{n+m+k-1} - 1) (k-1) \\ & + \frac{a_{m-1} b_k (k-1) g^{m+k-4} (qz+c)}{n+m+k-2} (h^{n+m+k-2} - 1) \\ & + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_k (k-1) g^{k-3} (qz+c)}{n+k-1} (h^{n+k-1} - 1) \equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

Equation (37) implies that $h^d = 1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} d = & \text{GCD}(\{n+m+2, n+m+1, \dots, n+m+2-i, \dots, n+2\}, \\ & \{n+m+1, \dots, n+m, \dots, n+m+1-i, \dots, n+1\}, \dots, \\ & \{n+m+k-1, n+m+k-2, \dots, n+m+k-i, \dots, n+k-1\}), \end{aligned}$$

$a_{m-i} \neq 0$, for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

Thus $f = tg$ for a constant t , such that $t^d = 1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} d = & \text{GCD}(\{n+m+2, n+m+1, \dots, n+m+2-i, \dots, n+2\}, \\ & \{n+m+1, \dots, n+m, \dots, n+m+1-i, \dots, n+1\}, \dots, \\ & \{n+m+k-1, n+m+k-2, \dots, n+m+k-i, \dots, n+k-1\}), \end{aligned}$$

$a_{m-i} \neq 0$, for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

Case 2. Suppose that $h(z)$ is not a constant. Then by (37) $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} R(w_1, w_2) = & w_1^{n+2} \left[\frac{a_m b_0 w_1^m}{n+m+2} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_0}{n+2} \right] \\ & + w_1^{n+1} \left[\frac{a_m b_1 w_1^m}{n+m+1} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_1}{n+1} \right] \\ & + \dots + w_1^{n+k-1} \left[\frac{a_m b_k w_1^m}{n+m+k-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_k (k-1)}{n+k-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left\{ w_2^{n+2} \left[\frac{a_m b_0 w_2^m}{n+m+2} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_0}{n+2} \right] + w_2^{n+1} \left[\frac{a_m b_1 w_2^m}{n+m+1} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_1}{n+1} \right] \right. \\
& \left. + \dots + w_2^{n+k-1} \left[\frac{a_m b_k w_2^m}{n+m+k-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0 b_k (k-1)}{n+k-1} \right] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

6. Open question

Question. Whether the Theorem 3. hold for Meromorphic functions?

References

- [1] HARINA P. WAGHAMORE; SANGEETHA ANAND, Uniqueness and value distribution for q -shift difference polynomials, *International J. of Math. Sci. and Engg. Appls.(IJMSEA)*, 10(2016), 1-13.
- [2] LIU, KAI; LIU, XINLING; CAO, TINGBIN, Value distributions and uniqueness of difference polynomials, *Adv. Difference Equ.* 2011, Art. ID 234215, 12 pp.
- [3] LIU, KAI; LIU, XINLING; CAO, TINGBIN, Some results on zeros distributions and uniqueness of derivatives of difference, ..., (2011) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1107.0773v1>.
- [4] LIU, YONG; CAO, YINHONG; QI, XIAO GUANG; YI, HONGXUN, Value sharing results for q -shifts difference polynomials, *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* 2013, Art. ID 152069, 6 pp.
- [5] XU, JUNFENG; YI, HONGXUN, Uniqueness of entire functions and differential polynomials, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 44(4)(2007), 623-629.
- [6] XU, JUNFENG; ZHANG, XIAOBIN, The zeros of q -shift difference polynomials of meromorphic functions, *Adv. Difference Equ.*, 200(2012), 10 pp..
- [7] YANG, CHUNG-CHUN; HUA, XINHO, Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 22(2)(1997), 395-406.
- [8] YANG, CHUNG-CHUN; YI, HONG-XUN, Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions, *Mathematics and its Applications*, 557. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 2003.

HARINA P. WAGHAMORE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
JNANABHARATHI CAMPUS
BANGALORE UNIVERSITY
BENGALURU-560056, INDIA
e-mail: harinapw@gmail.com

RAMYA MALIGI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
JNANABHARATHI CAMPUS
BANGALORE UNIVERSITY
BENGALURU-560056, INDIA
e-mail: ramyamalgi@gmail.com

Received on 03.10.2019 and, in revised form, on 10.03.2020.