

PRABHAKAR GUPTA* AND VIJAY GUPTA

**RATE OF CONVERGENCE ON
BASKAKOV-SZASZ TYPE OPERATORS**

ABSTRACT: In the present article, we obtain a direct in simultaneous approximation for the linear combination of Baskakov-Szasz type operators in terms of higher order modulus of continuity

KEY WORDS: Stelov mean, modulus of continuity, rate of convergence, linear combination, Baskakov-Szasz type operators.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Gupta and Srivastava [4], introduced Baskakov-Szasz type operators defined for functions integrable on $[0, \infty)$ as

$$(1.1) \quad S_n(f, x) = n \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p_{n,\nu}(x) \int_0^{\infty} q_{n,\nu}(t) f(t) dt, \quad x \in [0, \infty)$$

where $p_{n,\nu}(x) = \binom{n+\nu-1}{\nu} x^\nu (1+x)^{-n-\nu}$ and $q_{n,\nu}(t) = \frac{e^{-nt} (nt)^\nu}{\nu!}$.

Let $C_\gamma[0, \infty) = \{f \in C[0, \infty) : |f(t)| \leq Me^{\gamma t}, \text{ for some } \gamma > 0, M > 0\}$. We define the norm $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ on $C_\gamma[0, \infty)$ by $\|f\|_\gamma = \sup_{0 \leq t < \infty} |f(t)| e^{-\gamma t}$.

It turns out that the order of approximation by the operators (1.1) is $O(n^{-1})$ for smooth functions. Thus to improve the order of approximation, we consider the linear combination of the operators (1.1) as described below.

For d_0, d_1, \dots, d_k arbitrary but fixed distinct positive integers the linear combination $S_n(f, k, x)$ of $S_{d_j n}(f, x)$, $j = 0(1)k$ are defined as

$$(1.2) \quad S_n(f, k, x) = \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) S_{d_j n}(f, x)$$

where $C(j, k) = \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^k \frac{d_j}{d_j - d_i}$, $k \neq 0$ and $C(0, 0) = 1$.

LEMMA 2.1 [3]. For $m \in N^0$ (the set of non-negative integers), if

$$U_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p_{n,v}(x)(vn^{-1} - x)^m$$

then there holds the recurrence relation

$$nU_{n,m+1}(x) = x(1+x)[U_{n,m}^{(1)}(x) + mU_{n,m-1}(x)], \quad \text{for } m \geq 2.$$

Consequently,

- (i) $U_{n,m}(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree $\leq m$
- (ii) for every fixed $x \geq 0$, $U_{n,m}(x) = O(n^{-(m+1)/2})$ where $[\alpha]$ denotes the integral part of α .

LEMMA 2.2. [4]. For $m \in N^0$ (the set of non-negative integers), $n \in N$ and $x \in [0, \infty)$, if we define

$$(2.1) \quad T_{n,m}(x) = n \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p_{n,v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} q_{n,v}(t)(t-x)^m dt$$

then there holds

$$nT_{n,m+1}(x) = x(1+x)T_{n,m}^{(1)}(x) + (m+1)T_{n,m}(x) + mx(2+x)T_{n,m-1}(x), \quad m \geq 2.$$

Consequently we have

$$T_{n,0}(x) = 1, \quad T_{n,1}(x) = n^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad T_{n,2}(x) = \frac{2+nx(2+x)}{n^2}.$$

Also for every fixed $x \geq 0$, $T_{n,m}(x) = O(n^{-(m+1)/2})$.

LEMMA 2.3 [6]. There exist the polynomials $\phi_{i,j,r}(x)$ independent of n and v such that

$$\frac{d^r}{dx^r} [x^v(1+x)^{-n-v}] = \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^i (v-nx)^j \phi_{i,j,r}(x) x^{v-r} (1+x)^{-n-v-r}.$$

LEMMA 2.4. If f is r times ($r=1,2,\dots$) differentiable on $[0, \infty)$ $f^{(r)}$ is locally integrable in Lebesgue sense on $[0, \infty)$ and $f^{(r)}(t) = O(e^{\gamma t})$ for some $\gamma > 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then for $r=1,2,\dots$ and $n > \gamma + r$, we have

$$S_n^{(r)}(f, x) = \frac{(n+r-1)!}{n^{r-1}(n-1)!} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} q_{n,v+r}(t) f^{(r)}(t) dt.$$

PROOF. By (1.1), we have

$$S_n^{(r)}(f, x) = n \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p_{n,v}^{(r)}(x) \int_0^{\infty} q_{n,v}(t) f(t) dt.$$

Applying Leibniz theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^{(r)}(f, x) &= \\ &= n \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{v=i}^{\infty} \binom{r}{i} \frac{(n+v+r-i-1)!}{(n-1)!(v-i)!} (-1)^{r-i} x^{v-i} (1+x)^{-n-v-r-i} \int_0^{\infty} q_{n,v}(t) f(t) dt = \\ &= n \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+v+r-1)!}{(n-1)!v!} \frac{x^v}{(1+x)^{n+v+r}} \int_0^r \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{r-i} \binom{r}{i} q_{n,v+i}(t) f(t) dt = \\ &= n \frac{(n+r-1)!}{(n-1)!} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,v}(x) \int_0^r \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{r-i} \binom{r}{i} q_{n,v+i}(t) f(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using again Leibniz theorem, we get

$$q_{n,v+r}^{(r)}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i n^r \frac{e^{-nt} (nt)^{v+i}}{(v+i)!} = n^r \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} q_{n,v+i}(t).$$

Therefore

$$S_n^{(r)}(f, x) = \frac{(n+r-1)!}{(n-1)!n^{r-1}} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} q_{n,v+r}^{(r)}(t) (-1)^r f(t) dt$$

integrating by part r times, we get the desired result.

Let $0 < a < a_1 < b_1 < b < \infty$, then for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, the $(2k+2)$ -th order Steklov mean $g_{2k+2,\delta}(t)$ corresponding to $g \in C_\gamma[0, \infty)$ is defined by

$$g_{2k+2,\delta}(t) = \delta^{-(2k+2)} \left(\int_{-\delta/2}^{\delta/2} \right)^{2k+2} [g(t) - \Delta_\eta^{2k+2} g(t)] \prod_{i=1}^{2k+2} dt_i$$

where $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{2k+2} t_i$, $t \in [a, b]$ and $\Delta_\eta^{2k+2} g(t)$ is the $(2k+2)$ -th forward difference of g with step length η . Then, we have

(i) $g_{2k+2,\delta}$ has continuous derivatives upto order $(2k+2)$ on $[a, b]$,

(ii) $\|g_{2k+2,\delta}^{(r)}\|_{C[a_1, b_1]} \leq S_1 \delta^{-1} \omega_r(g, \delta, a, b)$,

$$(iii) \|g - g_{2k+2,\delta}\|_{C[a_1,b_1]} \leq S_2 \omega_{2k+2}(g, \delta, a, b),$$

$$(iv) \|g_{2k+2,\delta}\|_{C[a_1,b_1]} \leq S_3 \|g\|_\gamma$$

where S_i 's are certain constants, independent of g and δ .

The above properties (i) – (iv) are extensions of the properties given in Freud and Popov [1] and Timan [7]. However for conciseness the proof is given as follows.

By repeated application of Theorem 18.17 of [5], it follows that $g_{2k+2,\delta}$ has continuous derivatives up to order $(2k+2)$ on $[a, b]$. Hence (i) is obtained. To prove (ii), writing

$$h_m(t) = \left(\int_{-\delta/2}^{\delta/2} \right)^{2k+2} g \left(t + m \sum_{i=1}^{2k+2} t_i \right) dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_{2k+2}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq 2k+2.$$

Now using Theorem 18.17 of [5], we have

$$h_m^{(1)}(t) = m^{-1} \left(\int_{-\delta/2}^{\delta/2} \right)^{2k+1} \Delta_{m\delta}^1 g \left(t - \frac{m\delta}{2} + m \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} t_i \right) dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_{2k+1}.$$

A repeated differentiation of above expression gives

$$(1.3) \quad h_m^{(r)}(t) = m^{-r} \left(\int_{-\delta/2}^{\delta/2} \right)^{2k+2-r} \Delta_{m\delta}^r g \left(t - \frac{rm\delta}{2} + m \sum_{i=0}^{2k+2-r} t_i \right) dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_{2k+2-r},$$

$$1 \leq r \leq 2k+1$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad h_m^{(2k+2)}(t) = m^{-(2k+2)} \Delta_{m\delta}^{2k+2} g \left(t - \frac{m(2k+2)\delta}{2} \right) \text{ a.e.}$$

Now, from the definition of $g_{2k+2,\delta}(t)$ and $\delta_n^{2k+2}g(t)$

$$g_{2k+2,\delta}(t) = \frac{(-1)^{2k+1}}{\delta^{2k+2}} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{2k+2} \binom{2k+2}{m} (-1)^{2k+2-m} h_m(t) \right\}.$$

From this one obtains

$$(1.5) \quad g_{2k+2,\delta}^{(r)}(t) = \frac{(-1)^{2k+1}}{\delta^{2k+2}} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{2k+2} \binom{2k+2}{m} (-1)^{2k+2-m} h_m^{(r)}(t) \right\}.$$

Using (1.3) – (1.5), we obtain

$$\|g_{2k+2,\delta}^{(r)}\|_{C[a_1,b_1]} \leq S_1 \delta^{-r} \omega_r(g, \delta, a, b).$$

Next, we prove (iii), by the definition of $g_{2k+2,\delta}(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{2k+2,\delta}(t) - g(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\delta^{2k+2}} \left(\int_{-\delta/2}^{\delta/2} \right)^{2k+2} |\Delta_\eta^{2k+2} g(t)| \prod_{i=1}^{2k+2} dt_i \leq \\ &\leq S_2' \omega_{2k+2}(g, \delta(k+1), a, b) \leq S_2 \omega_{2k+2}(g, \delta, a, b), \quad t \in [a_1, b_1] \end{aligned}$$

and thus (iii) is immediate.

Finally, it is trivial from the definition of $g_{2k+2,\delta}(t)$ that

$$\|g_{2k+2,\delta}\|_{C[a_1,b_1]} \leq S_3 \|g\|_{C[a,b]} \leq S_3 \|g\|_\gamma.$$

In [4] the authors have obtained a Voronovskaja type asymptotic formula and an estimate of error in simultaneous approximation. Recently Gupta and Srivastava [3] obtained similar direct results for the combinations (1.2). In the present paper, we extend the results of [3] and [4] and we obtain an estimate of error in terms of higher order modulus of continuity. The proof is carried out by using properties of a linear method of approximation viz. Steklov means.

2. MAIN RESULT

Our main theorem is as follows:

THEOREM 3.1. Let $f^{(r)} \in C_\gamma[0, \infty)$ and $0 < a < a_1 < b_1 < b < \infty$. Then for n sufficiently large

$$\|S_n^{(r)}(f, k, \cdot) - f^{(r)}\|_{C[a_1,b_1]} \leq \max\{C_1 \omega_{2k+2}(f^{(r)}, n^{-1/2}, a, b), C_2 n^{-(k+1)} \|f\|_\gamma\}$$

where $C_1 = C_1(k, r)$ and $C_2 = C_2(k, r, f)$.

PROOF. First

$$\|S_n^{(r)}(f, k, \cdot) - f^{(r)}\|_{C[a_1,b_1]} \leq \|S_n^{(r)}(f - f_{2k+2,\delta}, k, \cdot)\|_{C[a_1,b_1]} +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left\| S_n^{(r)}(f_{2k+2,\delta}, k, \cdot) - f_{2k+2,\delta}^{(r)} \right\|_{C[a_1, b_1]} + \left\| f^{(r)} - f_{2k+2,\delta}^{(r)} \right\|_{C[a_1, b_1]} = \\
& = J_1 + J_2 + J_3, \quad \text{say.}
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious from the definition of Steklov mean that $f_{2k+2,\delta}^{(r)}(t) = (f^{(r)})_{2k+2,\delta}(t)$. Now by property (iii) of Steklov mean, we get

$$J_3 \leq C_1 \omega_{2k+2}(f^{(r)}, \delta, a, b).$$

Next by [3, Th. 3.1], we have

$$J_2 \leq C_2 n^{-(k+1)} \sum_{j=r}^{2k+r+2} \left\| f_{2k+2,\delta}^{(j)} \right\|_{C[a,b]}.$$

Applying the interpolation property due to Goldberger and Meir [2], for each $j = r, r+1, \dots, (2k+r+2)$ followed by properties (ii) and (iv) of Steklov mean, we get

$$J_2 \leq C_3 n^{-(k+1)} \{ \|f\|_\gamma + \delta^{-(2k+2)} \omega_{2k+2}(f^{(r)}, \delta, a, b) \}.$$

Finally, we estimate J_1 , choosing a^* , b^* satisfying $0 < a < a^* < a_1 < b_1 < b^* < b < \infty$. Also let $\phi(t)$ be the characteristic function of interval $[a^*, b^*]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 & \leq \left\| S_n^{(r)}(\phi(t)(f(t)) - f_{2k+2,\delta}(t), k, \cdot) \right\|_{C[a_1, b_1]} + \\
& + \left\| S_n^{(r)}((1 - \phi(t))(f(t) - f_{2k+2,\delta}(t))), k, \cdot) \right\|_{C[a_1, b_1]} = J_4 + J_5, \quad \text{say.}
\end{aligned}$$

We may note that to estimate J_4 and J_5 , it is sufficient to consider their expressions without the linear combination. By Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_n^{(r)}(\phi(t)(f(t) - f_{2k+2,\delta}(t)), x) & = \frac{(n+r-1)!}{n^{r-1}(n-1)!} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,v}(x) \times \\
& \times \int_0^{\infty} q_{n,v+r}(t) \phi(t) (f^{(r)}(t) - f_{2k+2,\delta}^{(r)}(t)) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left\| S_n^{(r)}(\phi(t)(f(t) - f_{2k+2,\delta}(t)), \cdot) \right\|_{C[a_1, b_1]} \leq C_5 \left\| f^{(r)} - f_{2k+2,\delta}^{(r)} \right\|_{C[a^*, b^*]}.$$

Now, for $x \in [a_1, b_1]$ and $t \in (0, \infty) \setminus [a^*, b^*]$, we can choose a $\delta_1 > 0$ satisfying $|t - x| \geq \delta_1$. Therefore by Lemma 2.3 and Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= \left| S_n^{(r)}((1 - \phi(t))(f(t) - f_{2k+2, \delta}(t)), x) \right| \leq \\
 &\leq n \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j > 0}} n^i \frac{|\phi_{i, j, r}(x)|}{x^r (1+x)^r} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p_{n, v}(x) |v - nx|^j \times \\
 &\quad \times \int_0^{\infty} q_{n, v}(t) (1 - \phi(t)) |f(t) - f_{2k+2, \delta}(t)| dt \leq \\
 &\leq C_6 \|f\|_{\gamma} n \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^i \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p_{n, v}(x) |v - nx|^j \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} q_{n, v}(t) dt \leq \\
 &\leq C_6 \delta_1^{-2s} \|f\|_{\gamma} n \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^i \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p_{n, v}(x) |v - nx|^j \times \\
 &\quad \times \left(\int_0^{\infty} q_{n, v}(t) dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{\infty} q_{n, v}(t) (t-x)^{4s} dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
 &\leq C_6 \delta_1^{-2s} \|f\|_{\gamma} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^i \left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p_{n, v}(x) (v - nx)^{2j} \right)^{1/2} \times \\
 &\quad \times \left(n \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p_{n, v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} q_{n, v}(t) (t-x)^{4s} dt \right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1. and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$I \geq C_7 \|f\|_{\gamma} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^{i+(j/2)-s} \leq C_7 n^{-q} \|f\|_{\gamma}$$

where $q = (s - (r/2))$. Now choose $s > 0$ such that $q \geq k+1$. Then $I \leq C_7 n^{-(k+1)} \|f\|_{\gamma}$. Hence by property (iii) of Steklov mean, we get

$$J_1 \leq C_8 \|f^{(r)} - f_{2k+2, \delta}^{(r)}\|_{C[a^*, b^*]} + C_7 n^{-(k+1)} \|f\|_{\gamma} \leq$$

$$\leq C_9 \omega_{2k+2}(f^{(r)}, \delta, a, b) + C_7 n^{-(k+1)} \|f\|_y.$$

Therefore, with $\delta = n^{-1/2}$, the theorem follows.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. *We are grateful to the referee for many suggestions that greatly improved this paper.*

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Freud, V. Popov, On the approximation by spline functions, *Proceeding, Conf. Constructive Theory Functions*, Budapest (1969), 163-172.
- [2] M. Goldberg, A. Meir, Minimum moduli of ordinary differential operators, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 23(3)(1971), 1-15.
- [3] V. Gupta, G.S. Srivastava, On simultaneous approximation by combinations of Baskakov-Szasz type operators, *Fasc. Math.* 27(1997), 29-41.
- [4] V. Gupta, G.S. Srivastava, Simultaneous approximation by Baskakov-Szasz type operators, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. (N.S)* 37(85), 3(1993).
- [5] E. Hewitt, J. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi 1978.
- [6] H.S. Kasana, P.N. Agrawal, V. Gupta, Inverse and saturation theorems for linear combination of modified Baskakov operators, *Approx. Theory and its Appl.* 7(2)(1991), 65-81.
- [7] A.F. Timan, *Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable*, Hindustan Publ. Corp. Delhi, 1966.

(Department of Mathematics, Institute of Engineering and Technology, M.J.P. Rohilkhand University, Bareilly-243006 (U.P.), India)

*Presently working in Department of Mathematics, SRMS College of Engineering and Technology, Bareilly (U.P.) India)

Received on 1999.08.25 and, in revised form, on 2000.05.25.