## XIAOYAN LIN<sup>1</sup>, JIANHUA SHEN

# BOUNDED OSCILLATION FOR A CLASS OF EVEN ORDER NEUTRAL DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS\*

ABSTRACT: We investigate bounded oscillation for the even order neutral delay difference equation

$$\Delta^{u}(x_{n}-cx_{n-m})=p_{n}x_{n-k},$$

where u is even. The sufficient conditions obtained in this paper improve and generalize the results in related literature.

KEY WORDS: neutral difference equation, bounded solution, oscillation, nonoscillation.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there has been a lot of activity concerning the oscillatory behavior of difference equations, and various applications have been found in the literature. We refer to [1-9] and the references cited therein for more details.

In [3, 4], the authors considered the following second order neutral delay difference equation

(1) 
$$\Delta^{2}(x_{n}-cx_{n-m})=p_{n}x_{n-k}, \qquad n\geq n_{0},$$

and proved that Eq. (1) always has an unbounded positive solution, where c,  $p_n$  are real numbers with  $p_n \ge 0$ ,  $p_n \ne 0$ ,  $n \ge n_0$ , m, k,  $n_0$  are nonnegative integers and  $m \ge 1$ ,  $\Delta$  denotes the forward operator  $\Delta x_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$ . Therefore, for Eq. (1) we only need to find conditions for all bounded solutions to be oscillatory. [3] first established such conditions in the cases when 0 < c < 1 and c > 1. Later, these conditions were further improved by [4]. The main results in [4] are the following two theorems.

**THEOREM A.** Let  $0 \le c < 1$  and  $k \ge 1$ . If

(2) 
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (i+1) p_{n+i} > 1 - c,$$

then every bounded solution of Eq. (1) oscillates.

<sup>\*</sup> This work is pratially supported by the NNSF of China (No. 10071018).

THEOREM B. Let c < 0 and k > m. If

(3) 
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{p_n}{p_{n-m}} = \alpha \in (0, \infty)$$

and

(4) 
$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k-m} i \cdot p_{n+i} > 1 - c\alpha,$$

then every bounded solution of Eq. (1) oscillates.

In this paper, we consider the following more general even order neutral delay difference equation

$$\Delta^{u}(x_{n}-cx_{n-m})=p_{n}x_{n-k},$$

where  $u \ge 2$  is an even integer, c,  $p_n$ , m and k are the same as in (1). Our main aim is to establish some criteria which guarantee every bounded solution of Eq. (5) oscillates, which generalize and improve the above Theorem A and Theorem B.

For the sake of convenince, throughout this paper, we use the convention

$$\sum_{n=i}^{j} p_n \equiv 0 \text{ whenever } j \le i-1,$$
 
$$x^{(0)} = 1 \text{ and } x^{(n)} = x(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-(n-1)) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (x-j).$$

### 2. MAIN RESULTS

**THEOREM 1.** Assume that  $0 \le c < 1$  and  $k \ge 1$ , and that

(6) 
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-i} \frac{q_i(j)}{1-c+q_{k+1}(j)} \left( \prod_{s=j-k+i+1}^{j+1} \frac{1-c+q_{k+1}(s-1)}{1-c-q_k(s-1)} \right) > 1,$$

where  $q_i(n) = ((i+u-2)^{(u-2)}/(u-2)!)p_{i+n}$ . Then every bounded solution of Eq. (5) oscillates.

**PROOF.** Suppose the contrary, and let  $\{x_n\}$  be and bounded eventually positive solution of (5). Set  $y_n = x_n - cx_{n-m}$ . Then  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded and  $\Delta^u y_n = p_n x_{n-k} \ge 0$ . It follows that  $\Delta^i y_n$  (i = 1, 2, ..., u - 1) are monotone and each of them doesn't change sign eventually. In view of [1. Theorem 1.7.11], there exists an integer  $n_1 > n_0$  such that

(7) 
$$(-1)^i \Delta^i y_n > 0$$
 for  $n \ge n_1$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta^i y_n = 0$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, u - 1$ .

Let  $h_n = [(n - n_1)/m]$ , where  $[\cdot]$  denotes the greatest integer function. Then we have for  $n > n_1$ 

$$x_n = y_n + cx_{n-m} =$$

$$= y_n + cy_{n-m} + c^2 y_{n-2m} + \dots + c^{h_n - 1} y_{n - (h_n - 1)m} + c^{h_n} x_{n - h_n m}.$$

By using the decreasing nature of  $\{y_n\}$ , we have

$$x_n \ge (1 + c + c^2 + \dots + c^{h_n - 1})y_n = \frac{1 - c^{h_n}}{1 - c}y_n.$$

From (6) there exists sufficiently small positive number  $\varepsilon$  such that

(8) 
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-i} \frac{(1-\varepsilon)q_{i}(j)}{1-c+(1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(j)} \times \left( \prod_{s=j-k+i+1}^{j+1} \frac{1-c+(1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(s-1)}{1-c-(1-\varepsilon)q_{k}(s-1)} \right) > 1.$$

For this  $\varepsilon$ , there must exists an integer N such that

$$\frac{1-c^{h_n}}{1-c} \ge \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1-c}, \qquad n \ge N-k,$$

and thus

$$x_n \ge \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1-c} y_n, \quad n \ge N-k.$$

Substituting the last inequality into Eq. (5), we have

(9) 
$$\Delta^n y_n \ge \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1-c} p_n y_{n-k}, \quad n \ge N.$$

Summing (9) from  $n \ge N$  to  $\infty$  for (u-1) times and using (7), we have

$$\Delta y_n + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1-c} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{(i-n+u-2)^{(u-2)}}{(u-2)!} p_i y_{i-k} \le 0.$$

Hence

$$\Delta y_n + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1-c} \sum_{i=r}^{n+k+1} \frac{(i-n+u-2)^{(u-2)}}{(u-2)!} p_i y_{i-k} \le 0,$$

and thus

$$\Delta y_n + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1-c} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \frac{(i+u-2)^{(u-2)}}{(u-2)!} \, p_{i+n} y_{i+n-k} \, \leq \, 0 \, .$$

That is

(10) 
$$\Delta y_n + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1-c} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} q_i(n) y_{i+n-k} \le 0, \qquad n \ge N.$$

From (10) we have

$$y_{n+1} - y_n + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1-c} q_i(n) y_{i+n-k} \le 0, \quad 0 \le i \le k+1.$$

Then

$$y_{n+1} - y_n + \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{1 - c} q_i(n) y_n \le 0, \qquad 0 \le i \le k.$$

Hence

$$0 < y_{n+1} \le \left(1 - \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{1 - c} q_i(n)\right) y_n,$$

which implies

(11) 
$$1-c-(1-\varepsilon)q_i(n)>0, \qquad 0\leq i\leq k.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (10) that

$$\begin{split} \bigg(1 + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1-c} q_{k+1}(n)\bigg) y_{n+1} - \bigg(1 - \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1-c} q_k(n)\bigg) y_n + \\ + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1-c} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q_i(n) y_{i+n-k} & \leq 0, \quad n \geq N. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} y_{n+1} - \frac{1 - c - (1 - \varepsilon)q_k(n)}{1 - c + (1 - \varepsilon)q_{k+1}(n)} y_n + \\ + \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{1 - c + (1 - \varepsilon)q_{k+1}(n)} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q_i(n) y_{i+n-k} \leq 0, \quad n \geq N. \end{split}$$

Multpling both sides of the last inequality by  $\prod_{i=N}^{n+1} \frac{1-c+(1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(i-1)}{1-c-(1-\varepsilon)q_k(i-1)}$ , we have

$$\Delta \left( y_n \prod_{i=N}^n \frac{1 - c + (1 - \varepsilon)q_{k+1}(i-1)}{1 - c - (1 - \varepsilon)q_k(i-1)} \right) + \\ + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( y_n \prod_{j=N}^{n+1} \frac{1 - c + (1 - \varepsilon)q_{k+1}(j-1)}{1 - c - (1 - \varepsilon)q_k(j-1)} \right) \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)q_i(n)}{1 - c + (1 - \varepsilon)q_{k+1}(n)} y_{n-k+i} \le 0.$$

Set  $z_n = y_n \prod_{i=N}^n \frac{1-c+(1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(i-1)}{1-c-(1-\varepsilon)q_k(i-1)}$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Delta z_n \ + \ & \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( \prod_{j=N}^{n+1} \frac{1-c+(1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(j-1)}{1-c-(1-\varepsilon)q_k(j-1)} \right) \times \\ & \times \frac{(1-\varepsilon)q_i(n)}{1-c+(1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(n)} z_{n-k+i} \prod_{j=N}^{n-k+1} \frac{1-c-(1-\varepsilon)q_k(j-1)}{1-c+(1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(j-1)} \leq 0 \,. \end{split}$$

So, we have

(12) 
$$\Delta z_{n} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( \prod_{j=n-k+i+1}^{n+1} \frac{1-c+(1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(j-1)}{1-c-(1-\varepsilon)q_{k}(j-1)} \right) \times \frac{(1-\varepsilon)q_{i}(n)}{1-c+(1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(n)} z_{n-k+i} \leq 0.$$

It is obvious that (12) has an eventually positive solution and  $\Delta z_n < 0$ . Summing (12) from M to  $\infty$ , we have

$$\begin{split} z_{M} & \geq \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-c + (1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(n)} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( \prod_{j=n-k+i+1}^{n+1} \frac{1-c + (1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(j-1)}{1-c - (1-\varepsilon)q_{k}(j-1)} \right) \times \\ & \times (1-\varepsilon)q_{i}(n)z_{n-k+i} \geq \\ & \geq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{n=M}^{M+k-i} \frac{1}{1-c + (1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(n)} \left( \prod_{j=n-k+i+1}^{n+1} \frac{1-c + (1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(j-1)}{1-c - (1-\varepsilon)q_{k}(j-1)} \right) \times \\ & \times (1-\varepsilon)q_{i}(n)z_{n-k+i} \geq \\ & \geq z_{M} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{n=M}^{M+k-i} \frac{(1-\varepsilon)q_{i}(n)}{1-c + (1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(n)} \left( \prod_{j=n-k+i+1}^{n+1} \frac{1-c + (1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(j-1)}{1-c - (1-\varepsilon)q_{k}(j-1)} \right). \end{split}$$

Thus, we have

$$(13) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{n=M}^{M+k-i} \frac{(1-\varepsilon)q_i(n)}{1-c+(1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(n)} \left( \prod_{j=n-k+i+1}^{n+1} \frac{1-c+(1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(j-1)}{1-c-(1-\varepsilon)q_k(j-1)} \right) \leq 1.$$

Taking the limit superior as  $M \to \infty$  we obtain

$$\limsup_{M\to\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\sum_{n=M}^{M+k-i}\frac{(1-\varepsilon)\,q_i(n)}{1-c+(1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(n)}\left(\prod_{j=n-k+i+1}^{n+1}\frac{1-c+(1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(j-1)}{1-c-(1-\varepsilon)q_k(j-1)}\right)\leq 1.$$

That is

(14) 
$$\limsup_{M \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-i} \frac{(1-\varepsilon)q_i(j)}{1-c+(1-\varepsilon)p_{k+1}(j)} \times \left( \prod_{s=j-k+i+1}^{j+1} \frac{1-c+(1-\varepsilon)q_{k+1}(s-1)}{1-c-(1-\varepsilon)q_k(s-1)} \right) \le 1.$$

This contradicts (8). The proof is complete.

When u = 2, it is easy to see that  $q_i(n) = p_{n+i}$ . So we obtain the following.

**COROLLARY 1.** Assume that  $0 \le c < 1$  and  $k \ge 1$  and that

(15) 
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-i} \frac{p_{i+j}}{1-c+p_{k+1+j}} \left( \prod_{j=n-k+i+1}^{j+1} \frac{1-c+p_{k+s}}{1-c-p_{k+s-1}} \right) > 1.$$

Then every bounded solution of Eq. (1) oscillates.

**REMARK 1.** Corollary 1 improves Theorem A. In fact, when k = 1, it is easy to verify that (15) implies (2). When  $k \ge 2$ , in view of Theorem A, if  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} p_n \ge \frac{2}{3}(1-c)$ , then every bounded solution of Eq. (1) oscillates. Therefore, we only consider the case when  $p_n \le \frac{2}{3}(1-c)$ .

Note that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-i} \frac{p_{i+j}}{1-c+p_{k+1+j}} \left( \prod_{s=j-k+i+1}^{j+1} \frac{1-c+p_{k+s}}{1-c-p_{k+s-1}} \right) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{1-c} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-i} p_{j+i} \frac{1}{1-(1/(1-c))p_{j+k}} \left( \prod_{s=j-k+i+1}^{j} \frac{1-c+p_{s+k}}{1-c-p_{s+k-1}} \right) > \\ &= \frac{1}{1-c} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-i} p_{j+i} &= \frac{1}{1-c} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (i+1)p_{n+i} + kp_{n+1} \right) > \\ &> \frac{1}{1-c} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (i+1)p_{n+i} \,. \end{split}$$

This shows that (15) also implies (2). On the other hand, we can easily cite an example to show that condition (2) does not imply condition (5). We omit it.

**THEOREM 2.** Assume that c < 0, k > m and that

(16) 
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{p_n}{p_{n-m}} = \alpha \in (0, \infty)$$

and

(17) 
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{k-m-1} \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-m-i} \frac{q_i(j)}{1 - c\alpha + q_{k-m+1}(j)} \times \left( \prod_{s=j-(k-m)+i+1}^{j+1} \frac{1 - c\alpha + q_{k-m+1}(s-1)}{1 - c\alpha - q_{k-m}(s-1)} \right) > 1,$$

where  $q_i(n) = ((i+u-2)^{(u-2)}/(u-2)!)p_{i+n}$ . Then every bounded solution of Eq. (5) oscillates.

**PROOF.** For the sake of contradiction, assume that (5) has an bounded eventually positive solution  $\{x_n\}$ . Set  $y_n = x_n - cx_{n-m}$ . Then  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded and  $\Delta^u y_n = p_n x_{n-k} \ge 0$ . It follows that  $\Delta^i y_n$  (i = 1, 2, ..., u - 1) are monotone and each of them doesn't change sign eventually. In view of [1. Theorem 1.7.11], there exists an integer  $n_1 > n_0$  such that

(18) 
$$(-1)^i \Delta^i y_n > 0$$
 for  $n \ge n_1$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta^i y_n = 0$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., u - 1$ 

In view of (17) there must exist a constant  $\mu > 1$  such that

(19) 
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{k-m-1} \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-m-i} \frac{q_{i}(j)}{1 - \mu c \alpha + q_{k-m+1}(j)} \times \left( \prod_{s=j-(k-m)+i+1}^{j+1} \frac{1 - \mu c \alpha + q_{k-m+1}(s-1)}{1 - \mu c \alpha - q_{k-m}(s-1)} \right) > 1.$$

For this  $\mu$ , it follows from (16) that there must exist an integer  $n_2 \ge n_1$  such that

$$(20) -c\frac{p_n}{p_{n-m}} \le -\mu c\alpha, n \ge n_2$$

clearly

$$\Delta^u y_n - c \, \frac{p_n}{p_{n-m}} \Delta^u y_{n-m} \, = \, p_n x_{n-k} - c \, \frac{p_n}{p_{n-m}} \, p_{n-m} \, x_{n-m-k} \, ,$$

that is

$$\Delta^{u} y_{n} - c \frac{p_{n}}{p_{n-m}} \Delta^{u} y_{n-m} = p_{n} y_{n-k}, \quad n \ge n_{2}.$$

Substituting (20) into the obove equation, we obtain

(21) 
$$\Delta^{u}(y_{n} - \mu c \alpha y_{n-m}) \geq p_{n} y_{n-k}.$$

Set  $z_n = y_n - \mu c \alpha y_{n-m}$ , then  $\{z_n\}$  is bounded. Meanwhile, there must exist an integer  $N > n_2$  such that

(22) 
$$\Delta^{u} z_{n} \geq p_{n} y_{n-k},$$

$$(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i} z_{n} > 0 \text{ for } n \geq N \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta^{i} z_{n} = 0 \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, u-1.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$z_n = y_n - \mu c \alpha y_{n-m} \le y_{n-m} - \mu c \alpha y_{n-m} = (1 - \mu c \alpha) y_{n-m}$$

and then

$$y_n \geq \frac{1}{1 - \mu c \alpha} z_{n+m} \,.$$

Therefore, we obtain

(23) 
$$\Delta^{u} z_{n} \geq \frac{1}{1 - \mu c \alpha} p_{n} z_{n+m-k}.$$

Summing (23) from n > N to  $\infty$  for (u-1) times and applying (22), we have

$$\Delta z_n + \frac{1}{1 - \mu c \alpha} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{(i - n + u - 2)^{(u-2)}}{(u-2)!} p_i z_{i-(k-m)} \leq 0,$$

that is

$$\Delta z_n + \frac{1}{1 - \mu c \alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i + u - 2)^{(u-2)}}{(u-2)!} p_{n+i} z_{n+i-(k-m)} \leq 0.$$

Then we have

(24) 
$$\Delta z_n + \frac{1}{1 - \mu c \alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q_i(n) z_{n+i-(k-m)} \leq 0.$$

It follows from (24), we have

(25) 
$$\Delta z_n + \frac{1}{1 - \mu c \alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{k-m+1} q_i(n) z_{n+i-(k-m)} \le 0, \quad n \ge N,$$

and so

$$z_{n+1} - z_n + \frac{1}{1 - \mu c \alpha} q_i(n) z_n \le 0, \quad 0 \le i \le k - m.$$

Hence

$$0 < z_{n+1} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 - \mu c\alpha} q_i(n)\right) z_n,$$

which implies

(26) 
$$1 - \frac{1}{1 - \mu c \alpha} q_i(n) > 0, \quad 0 \le i \le k - m.$$

Set  $w_n = z_n \prod_{i=N}^n \frac{1-\mu c\alpha + q_{k-m+1}(i-1)}{1-\mu c\alpha + q_{k-m+1}(i-1)}$ . Similar to the proof of Theorem 1, from (25) we have

(27) 
$$\Delta w_{n} + \frac{1}{1 - \mu c \alpha + q_{k-m+1}(n)} \sum_{i=0}^{k-m-1} \left( \prod_{j=n+i-(k-m)+1}^{n+1} \frac{1 - \mu c \alpha + q_{k-m+1}(j-1)}{1 - \mu c \alpha - q_{k-m}(j-1)} \right) \times q_{i}(n) w_{n+i-(k-m)} \leq 0.$$

Summing (27) from N to  $\infty$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} w_{N} & \geq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \mu c \alpha + q_{k-m+1}(n)} \sum_{i=0}^{k-m-1} \left( \prod_{j=n-(k-m)+i+1}^{n+1} \frac{1 - \mu c \alpha + q_{k-m+1}(j-1)}{1 - \mu c \alpha - q_{k-m}(j-1)} \right) \times \\ & \times q_{i}(n) \, w_{n+i-(k-m)} \geq \\ & \geq \sum_{i=0}^{k-m-1} \sum_{n=N}^{N+k-m-i} \frac{1}{1 - \mu c \alpha + q_{k-m+1}(n)} \left( \prod_{j=n-(k-m)+i+1}^{n+1} \frac{1 - \mu c \alpha + q_{k-m+1}(j-1)}{1 - \mu c \alpha - q_{k-m}(j-1)} \right) \times \\ & \times q_{i}(n) \, w_{n+i-(k-m)} \geq \\ & \geq w_{N} \sum_{i=0}^{k-m-1} \sum_{n=N}^{N+(k-m)-i} \frac{q_{i}(n)}{1 - \mu c \alpha + q_{k-m+1}(n)} \left( \prod_{j=n-(k-m)+i+1}^{n+1} \frac{1 - \mu c \alpha + q_{k-m+1}(j-1)}{1 - \mu c \alpha - q_{k-m}(j-1)} \right). \end{split}$$

It follows that

(28) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-m-1} \sum_{n=N}^{N+(k-m)-i} \frac{q_{j}(n)}{1 - \mu c\alpha + q_{k-m+1}(n)} \times \left( \prod_{j=n-(k-m)+i+1}^{j+1} \frac{1 - \mu c\alpha + q_{k-m+1}(j-1)}{1 - \mu c\alpha - q_{k-m}(j-1)} \right) \le 1.$$

Taking the limit superior as  $N \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{k-m-1} \sum_{n=N}^{N+k-m-i} \frac{q_i(n)}{1 - \mu c \alpha + q_{k-m+1}(n)} \times \\ \times \left( \prod_{j=n-(k-m)+i+1}^{n+1} \frac{1 - \mu c \alpha + q_{k-m+1}(j-1)}{1 - \mu c \alpha - q_{k-m}(j-1)} \right) \le 1. \end{split}$$

That is

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{k-m-1} \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-m-i} \frac{q_i(j)}{1 - \mu c \alpha + q_{k-m+1}(j)} \times \left( \prod_{s=j-(k-m)+i+1}^{j+1} \frac{1 - \mu c \alpha + q_{k-m+1}(s-1)}{1 - \mu c \alpha - q_{k-m}(s-1)} \right) \le 1,$$

which contradicts (19). The proof is complete.

**COROLLARY 2.** Assume that c < 0, k > m and that

(29) 
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{p_n}{p_{n-m}} = \alpha \in (0, \infty)$$

and

(30) 
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{k-m-1} \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-m-i} \frac{p_{j+i}}{1 - c\alpha + p_{j+k-m+1}} \times \left( \prod_{s=j-k+m+i+1}^{j+1} \frac{1 - c\alpha + p_{s+k-m}}{1 - c\alpha - p_{s+k-m-1}} \right) > 1.$$

Then every bounded solution of Eq. (1) oscillates.

**REMARK 2.** Similarly, we can prove that Corollary 2 improves Theorem B.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and* Inequalites, Marcel Dekker. New York 1992.
- [2] I. Györi, G. Ladas, Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1991.
- [3] B.S. Lalli, B.G. Zhang, On existence of positive solutions and bounded oscillations for neutral difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (166)(1992), 272-287.
- [4] J.H. Shen, On second order neutral delay difference equations with variable coefficients, *Journal of Mathematical Study* 27(1994), 60-70.

- [5] J.H. Shen, I.P. Stavroulakis, Oscillation criteria for delay difference equations, *Electron. J. Diff. Eqns.* 10(2001), 1-15.
- [6] X.H. Tang, J.S. Yu, Oscillation of delay difference equations, *Computers Math. Applic.* 37(1999), 11-20.
- [7] X.H. Tang, J.S. Yu, A further result on the oscillation of delay difference equations, *Computers Math. Applic.* 38(1999), 229-237.
- [8] X.H. Tang, J.S. Yu, D.H. Peng, Oscillation and nonoscillation of neutral difference equations with positive and negative coefficients, *Computers Math. Applic.* 39(2000), 169-181.
- [9] G. Zhang, Y. Gao, Positive solution of higher order nonlinear difference equations, J. Sys. Sci. and Math. Scis. 19(2)(1994), 157-161.

(<sup>1</sup>The present address of the corresponding author is Huaihua Teacher Colleage of Hunan. Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, China) Received on 19.09.2001 and, in revised form, on 10.01.2002.

