

D. KUMAR<sup>1</sup> AND H.S. KASANA<sup>2</sup>

**APPROXIMATION OF ENTIRE HARMONIC FUNCTIONS IN  $R^3$   
IN  $L^\beta$  -NORM**

ABSTRACT: A function which is harmonic in a neighbourhood of the origin in  $R^3$  has there an expansion in spherical harmonics. For  $H_R$ , the class of all harmonic functions regular in  $D_R = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 < R^2\}$  and continuous on  $\bar{D}_R$ , the closure of  $D_R$ , we define approximation error as  $E_n^\beta(H, R) = \inf_{g \in \pi_n} \|H - g\|_{\beta, R}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$  is the set of all harmonic polynomials of degree at most  $n$ . In this paper we have obtained the necessary and sufficient condition on the rate of decrease of  $E_n^\beta(H, R)$  such that  $H \in H_R$  has an analytic continuation as an entire harmonic function with  $(p, q)$ -growth.

KEY WORDS: harmonic functions, index-pair, proximate order,  $(p, q)$ -type, approximation error,  $L^\beta$ -norm.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The harmonic functions in  $R^3$  are the solutions of the Laplace equation

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_3^2} = 0.$$

A harmonic function regular about the origin can be expanded as

$$(1.2) \quad H \equiv H(r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \sum_{m=0}^n (a_{nm}^{(1)} \cos m\phi + a_{nm}^{(2)} \sin m\phi) P_n^m(\cos\theta),$$

where  $x_1 = r \cos\theta$ ,  $x_2 = r \sin\theta \cos\phi$ ,  $x_3 = r \sin\theta \sin\phi$  and  $P_n^m(t)$  are associated Legendre's functions of the first kind of degree  $m$  and order  $n$ . A harmonic polynomial of degree  $k$  is a polynomial of degree  $k$  in  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  which satisfies (1.1).

A harmonic function is said to be regular in  $D_R = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 < R^2, 0 < R \leq \infty\}$  if the series (1.2) converges uniformly on every compact subset of  $D_R$ . A harmonic function is called entire if it is regular in  $D_\infty$ . The concepts of *index-pair*  $(p, q)$ ,  $p \geq q \geq 1$ ,  *$(p, q)$ -order* and  *$(p, q)$ -type* were introduced by Juneja *et al.* ([5], [6]).

The  $(p, q)$ -order of an entire harmonic function is defined as

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, H)}{\log^{[q]} r} = \rho(p, q) \equiv \rho,$$

and, the function having  $(p, q)$ -order  $\rho$  ( $b < \rho(p, q) < \infty$ ) is said to be of  $(p, q)$ -type  $T$  if

$$(1.3) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M(r, H)}{(\log^{[q-1]} r)^p} = T(p, q) \equiv T,$$

where  $M(r, H) = \max_{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = r^2} |H(x_1, x_2, x_3)|$   $b=1$  if  $p=q$  and  $b=0$  otherwise.

The growth parameters  $\rho$  and  $T$  of an entire harmonic function with index-pair  $(p, q) = (2, 1)$  have been studied in  $L^2$ -norm by Fryant [4] but these concepts are inadequate to compare the growth of those entire functions which are of the same order but of infinite type. Hence, for a refinement of the above scale one may utilize the concept of proximate order cf., ([7], [1]).

A positive function  $\rho(r)$  defined on  $[r_0, \infty)$ ,  $r_0 > \exp^{[q-1]} 1$ , is said to be a proximate order of an entire function with index-pair  $(p, q)$  if

$$(i) \quad \rho(r) \rightarrow \rho(p, q) \equiv \rho \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad b < \rho < \infty;$$

$$(ii) \quad \Lambda_{[q]}(r) \rho'(r) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\rho'(r)$  denotes the derivative of  $\rho(r)$ , and, for convenience,

$$\Lambda_{[q]}(r) = \prod_{i=0}^q \log^{[i]} r.$$

We now define  $(p, q)$ -type  $T^*$  of  $H$  with respect to a given proximate order  $\rho(r)$  as

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M(r, H)}{(\log^{[q-1]} r)^{\rho(r)}} = T^*(p, q) \equiv T^*.$$

If the quantity  $T^*$  is different from zero and infinity then  $\rho(r)$  is said to be the proximate order of a given entire harmonic function  $H$  with index-pair  $(p, q)$ .

For  $H_R$ ,  $0 < R < \infty$ , the class of all harmonic functions regular in  $D_R$  and continuous on  $\bar{D}_R$  (the closure of the open disc  $D_R$ ), set

$$\|H\|_{\beta, R} = \left[ \iint_{\bar{D}_R} |H|^\beta dx dy \right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad 1 \leq \beta < \infty,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{\beta, R}$  denotes  $L^\beta$ -norm. The approximation error is defined as

$$E_n^\beta(H, R) = \inf_{g \in \pi_n} \|H - g\|_{\beta, R}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where  $\pi_n$  denotes the set of all polynomials of degree at most  $n$ . For ( $\beta = \infty$ ), the above norm is understood to be the sup norm:

$$\|H - g\|_{R, \infty} = \max_{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in D_R} |H(x_1, x_2, x_3) - g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|.$$

In this paper we have obtained the necessary and sufficient condition on the rate of decrease of  $E_n^\beta(H, R)$  such that  $H \in H_R$  has analytic continuation as an entire harmonic function with  $(p, q)$ -growth.

### 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

Here, we mention some results, which will be utilized in the sequel. The function  $(\log^{[q-1]} r)^{\rho(r)-A}$  is a monotonically increasing function of  $r$  for  $r > r_0$ . This can be verified just by noting the fact that its first derivative is positive for  $r > r_0$ . This ensures to define  $F(x)$  to be the unique solution of the equation

$$x = (\log^{[q-1]} r)^{\rho(r)-A} \Leftrightarrow F(x) = \log^{[q-1]} r,$$

where  $A = 1$ , if  $(p, q) = (2, 2)$  and  $A = 0$ , otherwise.

By the definition of proximate order and using the above equation, we have

$$\frac{d[\log F(x)]}{d[\log x]} = \frac{1}{\rho(r) - A + \Lambda_{[q]}(r)\rho'(r)} \Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d[\log F(x)]}{d[\log x]} = \frac{1}{\rho - A}.$$

Thus, for given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x > x_0$ , it follows that for  $\eta > 1$

$$\int_x^{\eta x} \left( \frac{1}{\rho - A} - \varepsilon \right) d[\log t] < \int_x^{\eta x} d[\log F(t)] < \int_x^{\eta x} \left( \frac{1}{\rho - A} + \varepsilon \right) d[\log t]$$

or

$$\left( \frac{1}{\rho - A} - \varepsilon \right) \log \eta < \log \frac{F(\eta x)}{F(x)} < \left( \frac{1}{\rho - A} + \varepsilon \right) \log \eta$$

or

$$\eta^{-\varepsilon + 1/(\rho - A)} < \frac{F(\eta x)}{F(x)} < \eta^{\varepsilon + 1/(\rho - A)}.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(\eta x)}{F(x)} = \eta^{1/\rho - A} \text{ uniformly for every } \eta, 1 < \eta < \infty.$$

The inclusion of  $0 < \eta \leq 1$  is simple, and hence left for the reader.

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $H \in H_R$  be an entire harmonic function of  $(p, q)$ -order  $p$ . Then

$$\rho(p, q) = P(L(p, q)),$$

where

$$L(p, q) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} n}{\log^{[q]} \alpha_n^{-1/n}},$$

$$P(L(p, q)) = \begin{cases} L(p, q) & \text{if } q < p < \infty, \\ 1 + L(p, q) & \text{if } p = q = 2, \\ \max(1, L(p, q)) & \text{if } 3 \leq p = q, \\ \infty & \text{if } p = q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\alpha_n = \max_{m, i} \sqrt{\frac{(n+m)!}{(n-m)!}} |a_{nm}^{(i)}|, \quad i=1, 2.$$

**PROOF.** Fryant [4] has proved that  $H$  is an entire function if and only if the function  $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n (1+n^{-1/2})^n z^n$  is entire. Applying Theorem 1 of Juneja *et al.* [5] to the function  $g(z)$  and after simple manipulations, Lemma 1 follows.

**LEMMA 2.** If  $H$  is an entire harmonic function having  $(p, q)$ -order  $\rho$  ( $b < \rho < \infty$ ), then the generalized  $(p, q)$ -type  $T^*$  of  $H$  is given by

$$\frac{T^*}{M^*} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{F(\log^{[p-2]} \eta)}{\log^{[q-1]} \alpha_n^{-1/n}} \right]^{\rho-A},$$

where  $b$  has been defined in (1.3),  $A=1$  if  $q=2$ ,  $A=0$  if  $q \neq 2$  and

$$M^* \equiv M^*(p, q) = \begin{cases} (\rho-1)^{\rho-1} / \rho^\rho & \text{if } (p, q) = (2, 2), \\ 1/ep & \text{if } (p, q) = (2, 1), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**PROOF.** Applying Theorem 3 of Nandan *et al.* [8] to the function  $g(z)$ , defined in Lemma 1, we get the result of Lemma 2 after simple manipulations.

**LEMMA 3.** Associated Legendre's functions  $P_n^m(t)$  satisfy

$$\max_{-1 \leq t \leq 1} |P_n^m(t)| \leq K \sqrt{\frac{(n+m)!}{(n-m)!}},$$

where  $K$  is a constant independent of  $n$  and  $m$ .

**PROOF.** It is known from Erdelyi [2] that

$$P_n^m(t) = \frac{(1-t^2)^{m/2}}{2^n n!} \frac{d^{n+m}}{dt^{n+m}} [(t^2 - 1)^n].$$

Thus,  $[P_n^m(t)]^2$  is a polynomial of degree  $2n$ . Using Theorem 2.2.1 due to Sewel [10], we have

$$\max_{-1 \leq t \leq 1} |P_n^m(t)|^2 \leq K2n \int_{-1}^1 (P_n^m(t))^2 dt,$$

where  $K$  is a constant independent of  $n$  and  $m$ . It is also known from Sansonne ([9], p. 247)

$$\int_{-1}^1 (P_n^m(t))^2 dt = \frac{2}{2n+1} \frac{(n+m)!}{(n-m)!}.$$

Combining the above inequalities, Lemma 3 is immediate.

**LEMMA 4.** Let  $H \in H_R$ . Then, for any  $R_* < R$  and  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$R_*^n \max_{m,i} \left[ \left| a_{nm}^{(i)} \right| \left( \frac{(n+m)!}{(n-m)!} \right)^{1/2} \right] \leq k_0 (2n+1) E_{n-1}^\beta(H, R),$$

where  $k_0$  is a constant.

**PROOF.** For  $H \in H_R$ , it is known from Fryant [3] that

$$a_{nm}^{(i)} R_*^n = \frac{2n+1}{2\pi\alpha_m} \frac{(n-m)!}{(n+m)!} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} H(R_*, \theta, \phi) P_n^m(\cos\theta) T_{i,m}(\phi) \sin\theta d\theta d\phi$$

for every  $R_* < R$ . Here  $\alpha_m = 2$  if  $m=0$ ,  $\alpha_m = 1$  otherwise,  $T_{1,m}(\phi) = \cos m\phi$ , and  $T_{2,m}(\phi) = \sin m\phi$ . Thus, for  $g \in \pi_{n-1}$

$$a_{nm}^{(i)} R_*^n = \frac{2n+1}{2\pi\alpha_m} \frac{(n-m)!}{(n+m)!} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} (H(R_*, \theta, \phi) - g(R_*, \theta, \phi)) P_n^m(\cos\theta) T_{i,m}(\phi) \sin\theta d\theta d\phi$$

Using Lemma 3, we get

$$(2.1) \quad \left| a_{nm}^{(i)} R_*^n \right| \leq (2n+1) k\pi \left[ \frac{(n+m)!}{(n-m)!} \right]^{1/2} \|h - g\|_{\beta, R}.$$

By the definition of  $E_n^\beta(H, R)$  there exists a  $\tilde{g} \in \pi_{n-1}$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad \|H - \tilde{g}\| \leq 2E_{n-1}^\beta(H, R).$$

Taking in particular,  $g = \tilde{g}$  in (2.1), and then using (2.2), we have the result.

Let  $w = \psi(z)$  be the univalent function mapping the complement of  $\bar{D}_R$  on  $|w| > 1$  such that  $\psi(\infty) = \infty$  and  $\psi'(\infty) > 0$ . Set  $D_r = \{z : |\psi(z)| = r, r > 1\}$ . Then,

**LEMMA 5.** Let  $H \in H_R$  be an entire harmonic function of  $(p, q)$ -order  $\rho$  and  $(p, q)$ -type  $T^*$  with respect to  $\rho(r)$ . Then

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} \bar{M}(r, H)}{\log^{[q]} r} = \rho,$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} \bar{M}(r, H)}{(\log^{[q-1]} r)^{\rho(r)}} = \frac{T^*}{\gamma},$$

where  $\bar{M}(r, H) = \max_{z \in D_r} |H|$ ,  $\gamma = R^{-\rho}$  for  $q=1$  and  $\gamma=1$ , otherwise.

The lemma follows on the lines of proof of Lemma 3.1 [11].

**LEMMA 6.** Let  $H \in H_R$  be an entire harmonic function and  $r' > 1$ . Then, for all sufficiently large values of  $n$ , we have

$$E_n^\beta(H, R) \leq K_0 \bar{M}(r, H) \left( \frac{r'R}{r} \right)^{n+1},$$

where  $K_0$  is a constant independent of  $n$  and  $r > 2r'R$ .

**PROOF.** Let

$$Q_n = \sum_{k=0}^n r^k \sum_{m=0}^k (a_{km}^{(1)} \cos m\phi + a_{km}^{(2)} \sin m\phi) P_k^m(\cos\theta).$$

Then  $Q_n \in \pi_n$ . Now, using the definition of  $E_n^\beta(H, R)$  and Lemma 3, we get

$$(2.3) \quad E_n^\beta(H, R) \leq |H - Q_n|_{\beta, R} \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} R^k \sum_{m=0}^k \left| (a_{km}^{(1)} \cos m\phi + a_{km}^{(2)} \sin m\phi) P_k^m(\cos\theta) \right| \leq$$

$$\leq K_0 \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} R^k (2k+1) \max_{m,i} |a_{km}^{(i)}| \left( \frac{(k+m)!}{(k-m)!} \right)^{1/2}.$$

For an entire harmonic function  $H$  ([3], eqn. 2.3),

$$(2.4) \quad \max_{m,i} |a_{km}^{(i)}| \left( \frac{(k+m)!}{(k-m)!} \right)^{1/2} \leq 2\sqrt{2k+1} \frac{\bar{M}(r, H)}{r^k}.$$

Combining (2.3) and (2.4), we get'

$$E_n^\beta(H, R) \leq 2K_0 \bar{M}(r, H) \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty (2k+1)^{3/2} (R/r)^k, \quad z \in D_r.$$

Thus, for  $n > n_0$  and  $r > 2r'R$ , the above inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^\beta(H, R) &\leq 2K_0 \bar{M}(r, H) \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty (r'R/r)^k = \\ &= 2K_0 \bar{M}(r, H) \frac{(r'R/r)^{n+1}}{1-(r'R/r)}, \quad z \in D_r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma.

**LEMMA 7.** Let  $H \in H_R$  be an entire harmonic function. Then

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (2n+1)^2 E_{n-1}^\beta(H, R) \left( \frac{z}{R_*} \right)^n,$$

is entire. Further,  $\rho(H) = \rho(h)$  and for  $b < \rho(H) = \rho(h) < \infty$ ,  $T^*(H) = \gamma T^*(h)$ ,  $b$  and  $\gamma$  have been defined in (1.3) and Lemma 5, respectively.

**PROOF.** Since  $(2n+1)^{1/n} \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows from Lemma 6 that  $h(z)$  is entire and

$$E_{n-1}^\beta(H, R) \leq K_0 \bar{M}(r+1, H) \left( \frac{r'R}{r+1} \right)^n,$$

we have

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (2n+1)^2 E_{n-1}^\beta(H, R) \left( \frac{z}{R_*} \right)^n,$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad M(r/Rr', h) &\leq A(r) + K_0 \bar{M}(r+1, H) \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left[ \frac{r}{R^*(r+1)} \right]^n = \\ &= A(r) + K_0 \frac{R^*(r+1) \bar{M}(r+1, H)}{(r+1)R^* - r}, \quad r' > 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A(r)$  is a polynomial for all sufficiently large values of  $r$ .

On the other hand, using (1.2), Lemmas 3 and 4, we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^n r^n \sum_{m=0}^n (a_{nm}^{(1)} \cos m\phi + a_{nm}^{(2)} \sin m\phi) P_n^m(\cos\theta) \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left| a_{00}^{(1)} \right| + K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)r^n \max_{m,i} \left[ \left| a_{nm}^{(i)} \right| \left( \frac{(n+m)!}{(n-m)!} \right)^{1/2} \right] \leq \\ &\leq \left| a_{00}^{(1)} \right| + K K_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)^2 E_{n-1}^{\beta}(H, R) \left( \frac{r}{R_*} \right)^n, \quad z \in D_r, \quad R_* < R \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(2.6) \quad M(r, H) \leq M \left( \frac{r}{R_*}, \left| a_{00}^{(1)} \right| + K K_0 h(z) \right).$$

Now, proof concludes in view of (2.5) and (2.6).

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $H \in H_R$ ,  $r > 2r'R$ . Then  $H$  has an analytic continuation as an entire function, if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E_n^{\beta}(H, R)]^{1/n} = 0.$$

**PROOF.** First suppose that  $H$  is entire. Then, it follows from Lemma 6 that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E_n^{\beta}(H, R)]^{1/n} \leq r'R/r, \quad r > 2r'R.$$

Thus, for all sufficiently large  $r$ , we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E_n^{\beta}(H, R)]^{1/n} = 0.$$

Conversely if (3.1) holds, then it follows from (2.6) that the series on the right hand of (1.2) converges uniformly on every compact subset of  $D_{\infty}$  and  $H$  is entire.

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $H \in H_R$ ,  $r > 2r'R$ . Then  $H$  has analytic continuation as an entire harmonic function of finite  $(p, q)$ -order  $\rho$  if and only if

$$\rho(p, q) = P(L^*(p, q)),$$

where

$$L^*(p, q) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} n}{\log^{[q]} [E_n^{\beta}(H, R)]^{-1/n}}.$$

**PROOF.** By Theorem 1, we have concluded that  $H \in H_R$ , has an analytic

continuation as an entire function if and only if  $h(z)$  is an entire function. Moreover, by Lemma 7,  $H$  and  $h(z)$  have same  $(p, q)$ -order. The remaining part of the proof of Theorem 2 is straightforward.

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $H \in H_R$ . Then  $H$  has an analytic continuation as an entire harmonic function of  $(p, q)$ -order  $\rho$  ( $b < \rho < \infty$ ) and  $(p, q)$ -type  $T^*$  of  $H$  with respect to a proximate order  $\rho(r)$  if and only if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{F(\log^{[p-2]} n)}{\log^{[q-1]} [E_n^\beta(H, R)]^{-1/n}} \right]^{\rho-A} = \frac{T^*}{M^* \gamma}.$$

**PROOF.** To prove this theorem, we apply Lemma 2 to the function  $h(z)$  and resulting characterization of  $T^*$  in terms of  $E_n^\beta(H, R)$  and the relation  $T^* = \gamma T^*(h)$ , in view of Lemma 7, taking together proves the theorem.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S.M. Einstein-Matthews, H.S. Kasana, Proximate order and type of entire functions of several complex variables, *Israel J. Math.* (Jerusalem), 92(1-3) (1995), 273-284.
- [2] A. Erdelyi *et al.*, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. I, McGraw-Hill book Co. Inc., New York, 1953.
- [3] A.J. Fryant, Growth of entire harmonic functions in  $R^3$ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 66(1978), 599-605.
- [4] A.J. Fryant, Spherical harmonic expansions, *Pure Appl. Math. Sci.* 22(1985), 25-31.
- [5] O.P. Juneja, G.P. Kapoor, S.K. Bajpai, On the  $(p, q)$ -order and lower  $(p, q)$ -order of an entire function, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 282(1976), 53-67.
- [6] O.P. Juneja, G.P. Kapoor, S.K. Bajpai, On the  $(p, q)$ -type and lower  $(p, q)$ -type of an entire function, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 290(1977), 180-190.
- [7] H.S. Kasana, A. Sahai, The proximate order of entire Dirichlet series, *Complex Variables: Theory and Application* (New York-USA) 9(1)(1987), 49-62.
- [8] K. Nandan, R.P. Doherey, R.S.L. Srivastave, On the generalized type and lower generalized lower type of an entire function with index-pair  $(p, q)$ , *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 11(1980), 1424-1433.
- [9] G. Sansonne, *Orthogonal Functions*, Interscience, New York, 1959.
- [10] W.E. Sewel, *Degree of Approximation by Polynomials in the Complex Domain*, Ann. of Math., Studies no. 9, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1942.

- [11] T. Winiarski, Approximation and interpolation of entire functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 23(1970), 259-273.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, P.O.Box 1176, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics&Computer Applications, Thapar Institute of Engineering and Technology, Patiala-147 001, India)

Received on 05.06.2001 and, in revised form, on 31.12.2002.