## **ZBIGNIEW WALCZAK**

# CERTAIN MODIFICATION OF SZASZ-MIRAKYAN OPERATORS

ABSTRACT: We consider certain modified Szasz-Mirakyan operators  $A_n(f;r)$  in space  $C_0$  of uniformly continuous functions. We study approximation properties of these operators.

KEY WORDS: Szasz-Mirakyan operator, degree of approximation, Voronovskaya type theorem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1.** In the paper [1] were examined approximation properties of Szasz–Mirakyan operators

(1) 
$$S_n(f;x) := e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad x \in R_0 = [0,+\infty), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1,2...\},$$

in polynomial weighted spaces  $C_p$ ,  $p \in N_0 := \{0,1,2,...\}$ .

If p=0, then  $C_0$  is the set of all real-valued functions f uniformly continuous and bounded on  $R_0$  and the norm in  $C_0$  is defined by the formula

(2) 
$$||f|| \equiv ||f(\cdot)|| := \sup_{x \in R_0} |f(x)|.$$

In [1] were proved theorems on the degree of approximation of  $f \in C_p$  by operators  $S_n$  defined by (1). From these theorems was deduced that

(3) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} S_n(f; x) = f(x),$$

for every  $f \in C_p$ ,  $p \in N_0$ , and  $x \in R_0$ . Moreover, the convergence (3) is uniform on every interval  $[x_1, x_2]$ ,  $x_2 > x_1 \ge 0$ .

**1.2.** In this paper we shall modify the formula (1) and we shall study certain approximation properties of introduced operators.

Let  $C_0$  be the space given in above and let  $C_0^1 := \{ f \in C_0 : f' \in C_0 \}$ , where f' is the first derivative of f.

For  $f \in C_0$  we define the modulus of continuity  $\omega_1(f;\cdot)$  as usual ([2]) by formula

(4) 
$$\omega_1(f;t) \equiv \omega_1(f;C_0;t) := \sup_{0 \le h \le t} ||\Delta_h f(\cdot)||, \quad t \in R_0,$$

where  $\Delta_h f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$ , for  $h, x \in R_0$ . From the above it follows that

$$\lim_{t\to 0+}\omega_1(f;t)=0,$$

for every  $f \in C_0$ . Moreover, if  $f \in C_0^1$  then there exists  $M_1 = const. > 0$  such that

(6) 
$$\omega_1(f;t) \le M_1 \cdot t$$
 for  $t \in R_0$ .

**1.3.** We introduce the operators  $A_n$  by the following

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $R_2 := [2, +\infty)$  and let  $r \in R_2$  be a fixed number. For function  $f \in C_0$  we define the operators

(7) 
$$A_n(f;r;x) := e^{-(nx+1)^r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx+1)^{rk}}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n(nx+1)^{r-1}}\right), \quad x \in R_0, \ n \in N.$$

It is obvious that  $A_n(f;r)$  is well defined for every  $f \in C_0$  and  $n \in N$ . Moreover from (7) we easily derive the following formulas

(8) 
$$A_{n}(1;r;x) = 1,$$

$$A_{n}(t;r;x) = x + \frac{1}{n},$$

$$A_{n}(t^{2};r;x) = \left(x + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{(nx+1)^{r}}\right],$$

for every fixed  $r \in R_2$  and for all  $n \in N$  and  $x \in R_0$ .

### 2. MAIN RESULTS

**2.1.** From formulas (7), (8) and  $A_n(t^k; r; x)$ , k = 1, 2, given in the above we obtain

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $r \in R_2$  be a fixed number. Then for all  $x \in R_0$  and  $n \in N$  we have

$$A_n(t-x;r;x) = \frac{1}{n},$$

$$A_n((t-x)^2;r;x) = \frac{1}{n^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{(nx+1)^{r-2}} \right],$$

and

$$||A_n((t-\cdot)^2;r;\cdot)|| \equiv \sup_{x \in R_0} A_n((t-x)^2;r;x) \le \frac{2}{n^2}$$
 for  $n \in N$ .

Moreover, by the Hölder inequality and by (7) and (8) we have

$$||A_n(|t-\cdot|;r;\cdot)||^2 \le ||A_n((t-\cdot)^2;r;\cdot)||$$
 for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now we shall prove the main lemma.

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $r \in R_2$  be a fixed number. Then for every  $f \in C_0$  and  $n \in N$  we have

(9) 
$$||A_n(f;r;\cdot)|| \le ||f||.$$

The formula (7) and the inequality (9) show that  $A_n(f;r;\cdot)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}_2$ , is a positive linear operator from the space  $C_0$  into  $C_0$ .

PROOF. From (7) and (2) we deduce that

$$||A_n(f;r;\cdot)|| \le ||f|| ||A_n(1;r;\cdot)||$$

for  $f \in C_0$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $r \in R_2$ . Now applying (8), we obtain (9).

**2.2.** In this section we shall give three theorems on the degree of approximation of  $f \in C_0$  by  $A_n$ .

**THEOREM 1.** If  $f \in C_0^1$  and  $r \in R_2$  is a fixed number, then

(10) 
$$||A_n(f;r;\cdot) - f(\cdot)|| \le \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n} ||f'||, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $x \in R_0$  be a fixed point. Then for  $f \in C_0^1$  we have

$$f(t) - f(x) = \int_{x}^{t} f'(u)du, \qquad t \in R_0.$$

From this and by (7) and (8) we get

$$A_n(f(t);r;x) - f(x) = A_n \left( \int_x^t f'(u) du; r; x \right), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

But by (2) we have

$$\left| \int_{x}^{t} f'(u) du \right| \leq \|f'\| |t-x|, \quad t, x \in R_0,$$

which implies

(11) 
$$||A_n(f(t);r;x) - f(x)|| = ||f'||A_n(|t-x|;r;)$$

for  $n \in N$ . Applying Lemma 1, we get

$$A_n(|t-x|;r;x) \le \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From this and by (11) we immediately obtain (10).

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $r \in R_2$  be a fixed number and let  $f \in C_0$ . Then

(12) 
$$\|A_n(f;r;\cdot) - f(\cdot)\| \le 3\omega_1\left(f;\frac{\sqrt{2}}{n}\right) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

PROOF. In this proof we shall use the Stieklov function

(13) 
$$f_h(x) := \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h f(x+t)dt, \quad x \in R_0, \ h > 0,$$

of function  $f \in C_0$ . From (13) we get

$$f_h(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \Delta_t f(x) dt,$$
  
$$f_h'(x) = \frac{1}{h} \Delta_h f(x), \quad x \in R_0, \ h > 0.$$

Consequently

(14) 
$$||f_h - f|| \le \omega_1(f; h),$$

(15) 
$$||f_h'|| \le h^{-1} \omega_1(f;h),$$

for h > 0 and we see that  $f_h \in C_0^1$  if  $f \in C_0$ . Hence, for  $x \in R_0$  and  $n \in N$ , we can write

(16) 
$$A_n(f;r;x) - f(x) \le A_n(f - f_h;r;x) +$$
  
  $+ [A_n(f_h;x) - f_h(x)] + [f_h(x) - f(x)] := K_1(x) + K_2(x) + K_3(x),$ 

for  $x \in R_0$ ,  $n \in N$  and h > 0. Applying Lemma 2 and (14), we get

$$||K_1|| \le ||f - f_h|| \le \omega_1(f;h).$$

By Theorem 1 and (15) it follows that

$$||K_2|| \le \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n} ||f_h|| \le \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n} h^{-1} \omega_1(f;h),$$

for h > 0,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence from (16) and (15) we derive the inequality

$$\|A_n(f;r;\cdot) - f(\cdot)\| \le \left(2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n}h^{-1}\right)\omega_1(f;h),$$

for every  $n \in N$  and h > 0. Choosing  $h = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n}$  for every fixed  $n \in N$ , we obtain

$$||A_n(f;r;\cdot) - f(\cdot)| \le 3\omega_1 \left(f; \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n}\right)$$

and we complete the proof of (12).

From Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 and by (6) we obtain

**COROLLARY 1.** For every fixed  $r \in R_2$  and  $f \in C_0$  we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|A_n(f;r;\cdot) - f(\cdot)\| = 0.$$

**COROLLARY 2.** If  $f \in C_0^1$  and  $r \in R_2$ , then

$$\left\|A_n(f;r;\cdot)-f(\cdot)\right\|=O(1/n).$$

**2.3.** Finaly, we shall give the Voronovskaya type theorem for  $A_n$ .

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $f \in C_0^1$  and let  $r \in R_2$  be fixed number. Then,

(17) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \{ A_n(f; r; x) - f(x) \} = f'(x)$$

for every  $x \in R_0$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $x \in R_0$  be a fixed point. Then by the Taylor formula we have

$$f(t) = f(x) + f'(x)(t - x) + \varepsilon(t; x)(t - x)$$

for  $t \in R_0$ , where  $\varepsilon(t) \equiv \varepsilon(t; x)$  is a function belonging to  $C_0$  and  $\varepsilon(x) = 0$ . Hence by (7) and (8) we get

(18) 
$$A_n(f;r;x) = f(x) + f'(x)A_n(t-x;r;x) + A_n(\varepsilon(t)(t-x);r;x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$
 and by Hölder inequality

$$\left|A_n(\varepsilon(t)(t-x);r;x)\right| \leq \left\{A_n(\varepsilon^2(t);r;x)\right\}^{1/2} \left\{A_n((t-x)^2;r;x)\right\}^{1/2}.$$

By Corollary 1 and by (2) we deduce that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n(\varepsilon^2(t); r; x) = \varepsilon^2(x) = 0.$$

From this and by Lemma 1 we get

(19) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} nA_n(\varepsilon(t)(t-x);r;x) = 0.$$

Using (19) and Lemma 1 to (18), we obtain the desired assertion (17).

**REMARK 1.** It is easily verified that analogous approximation properties in the space  $C_0$  have the operators

$$\overline{A}_n(f;r;x) := e^{-(nx+1)^r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx+1)^{rk}}{k!} n(nx+1)^{r-1} \int_{k/(n(nx+1)^{r-1})}^{(k+1)/(n(nx+1)^{r-1})} f(t) dt,$$

 $f \in C_0$ ,  $n \in N$ ,  $x \in R_0$  and  $r \in R_2$ .

**REMARK 2.** In [1] was proved that if  $f \in C_0$ , then for the Szasz–Mirakyan operators  $S_n$  (defined by (1)) is satisfed the following inequality

$$|S_n(f;x)-f(x)| \le M_1\omega_2\left(f;\sqrt{\frac{x}{n}}\right), \quad x \in R_0, \quad n \in N,$$

where  $M_1 = const. > 0$  and  $\omega_2(f; \cdot)$  is the modulus of smoothness defined by the formula

$$\omega_2(f;t) \equiv \omega_2(f;C_0;t) := \sup_{0 \le h \le t} \|\Delta_h^2 f(\cdot)\|, \quad t \in R_0,$$

$$\Delta_h^2 f(x) \coloneqq f(x) - 2f(x+h) + f(x+2h)$$
 . In particular, if  $f \in C_0^1$  , then

$$\left|S_n(f;x) - f(x)\right| \le M_2 \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}},$$

for  $x \in R_0$  and  $n \in N$   $(M_2 = const. > 0)$ .

Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 and Corollary 2 in our paper show that operators  $A_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , give better degree of approximation of functions  $f \in C_0$  and  $f \in C_0^1$  than  $S_n$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Becker, Global approximation theorems for Szasz Mirakjan and Baskakov operators in polynomial weight spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 27(1)(1978), 127 142.
- [2] R.A. De Vore, G.G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1993.

(Institute of Mathematics, Poznań University of Technology, Piotrowo 3A, 60-965 Poznań, Poland)

Received on 23.01.2002 and, in revised form, on 31.01.2002.

